1	
2	
3	IN RE:
4	UPPER MERION TOWNSHIP PUBLIC MEETING
5	TO ANNOUNCE THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY'S
6	PROPOSED REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN FOR
7	CRATER RESOURCES SUPERFUND SITE
8	
9	
10	
11	PUBLIC HEARING TO ANNOUNCE THE
12	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY'S PROPOSED
13	REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN FOR THE CRATER
14	RESOURCES SUPERFUND SITE OF THE
15	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, taken
16	before James O. Moyer, III, Court Reporter
17	and Notary Public, at Upper Merion
18	Township Building, 175 West Valley Forge
19	Road, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania, on
20	Tuesday, June 27, 2000, commencing at
21	approximately 7:04 p.m.
22	ORIGINAL
23	KARASCH & ASSOCIATES
24	REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTERS PENNSYLVANIA and DELAWARE
25	(800) 621-5689

1					
2					
3	APPEARANCES:				
4					
5	PERSONNEL PRESENT FROM THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY:				
6 7	ANDREA M. LORD, REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGER				
8	WILLIAM HUDSON, COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT COORDINATOR				
9	BRUCE RUNDELL, HYDROLOGIST				
10	ANTHONY DAPPOLONE, SECTION CHIEF				
11	JENNIFER HUBBARD, TOXICOLOGIST				
12	JOSEPH McDOWELL, PROJECT MANAGER				
13	·				
14	PERSONNEL PRESENT FROM UNIVERSE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.				
15	GENEVIEVE WHITE				
16	PATRICK HIME				
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

		. –					
1							
2							
3							
4	INDEX						
5							
6	PAGE						
7	OPENING REMARKS 4						
8	PRESENTATION OF PROPOSED PLAN 6						
9	COMMENTS/QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC 20						
10	CLOSING REMARKS 135						
11							
12	EXHIBITS	EXHIBITS					
13	None						
14							
15	CERTIFICATE 136						
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

OPENING REMARKS

MR. HUDSON: I want to thank everybody for coming tonight. I'm Bill Hudson; I'm the community involvement coordinator, EPA Region 3, Philadelphia.

And the reason we're having this meeting tonight is that the Crater Resources superfund site has come to the point and the mediation is over and we need to have a proposed plan. And that is, the plan is to clean up the site.

Many of you, if you're residents in this area, may have received the fact sheet we sent out. It gave you a good overall explanation of what's going to be discussed tonight. Andrea Lord is the remedial project manager for the site. She'll be going over, in the beginning of this meeting, explaining to you some of the in's and out's and the possible solutions or remedies at the site.

I'd like to introduce to you some of the EPA folks who are with us tonight. We have Tony Dappolone, who is the section chief for Eastern Pennsylvania, the

There's Bruce Rundell, He's the hydrologist. Jennifer Hubbard, who is a toxicologist, who will help you understand or answer questions about the site. And Joseph McDowell is here. He is the project

We would like to limit this meeting to 9 o'clock tonight. That's what we've scheduled for. We may not go that far, but if we do, we'd like to try to stop at 9 o'clock. And if it's necessary, we'll meet with you individually afterwards.

In addition, Andrea would like to make a presentation to begin with. possible, we'd like you to refrain from asking questions at the beginning. after the initial presentation, Andrea will ask for questions.

We have a stenographer tonight. you're speaking and you have something to say, it would be very helpful if you could identify yourself, and, you know, that'll give the stenographer an opportunity to see where you are before you begin to

remedial section. who is here also. manager.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

speak so that he can make it a part of the record, because we are making an official record of this meeting tonight.

Okay. We're ready.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSED PLAN

MS. LORD: Okay. One second. I need to set up something. Can you put the -- (laughter). I just saw it. Yeah.

As Bill said, we're here to discuss the proposed plan that was released for the Crater Resources site. The site is located in Upper Merion Township. The outline is this black line here (indicating). The Schuylkill River is about a mile to the east (indicating). This is the Pennsylvania Turnpike, and this is the Schuylkill Expressway (indicating), to give you an idea of where it's located.

I'll start with some quick background on the site. The Alan Wood Steel Company had a coke and coke byproduct facility down on the Schuylkill River. From 1918 through 1981, that plant sent its coke and coke byproducts waste ammonia liquor to

2..

the site. Actually, it stopped in 1980. They sent it to the site via a pipeline that ran directly from the Alan Wood Steel plant into the quarries, which I'll show you in a second, and which are also on this map (indicating). It's important to remember that this map is not to scale.

We have four quarries that we identified on the site. They're actually former quarries. They used to be mined for sand and gravel. This is Quarry 1, Quarry 2, Quarry 3, and Quarry 4 (indicating). The waste ammonia liquor that was piped from the Alan Wood Steel plant to the quarries contained mostly light oils, tars, phenolic compounds, naphthalene, and ammonia. Most of the time, they piped the liquid into Quarry 3, which this quarry (indicating). But sometime Quarry 3 needed to be bypassed, and they piped it to Quarry 1 or Quarry Quarry 4 is in this area of the site (indicating), and as far as we know, it didn't receive waste directly from the pipeline, but may have received wastes

25

from when Quarry 3 overflowed or when the pipeline broke. And here's another map. It's a little more detailed of where the quarries are. The quarries are outlined in red. It might be hard to see in the back. Here's Quarry 1; here's Quarry 2, Quarry 3, and Quarry 4 (indicating). The pipeline that ran from the Alan Wood Steel plant is in purple. This is Crooked Lane (indicating), I think, and this is Renaissance Boulevard (indicating).

EPA originally started investigating the site because they needed -- there was a -- contamination found in a well that supplied Upper Merion Reservoir; so, EPA came out; they started looking at the site; and they found that there was contamination in the quarries, in the bottom of the quarries; so, they put the site on the National Priorities List in October of 1992. The National Priorities List is a list of superfund sites across the country. Since that time, three potentially responsible parties came forward. They are Beazer East

Incorporated, Keystone Coke, and Vesper
Corporation. And they signed a consent
order in September 1994 with EPA to
conduct and pay for our remedial
investigation and feasibility study with

EPA's oversight.

They began doing the sampling of the soils and the groundwater and the sediment in the quarries and the groundwater beneath the quarries in 1994. They completed that work in January 1999. And in June 1999, EPA approved the remedial investigation report. After the remedial investigation, they moved on to the feasibility study. The purpose of the feasibility study is to identified alternatives that could be used to clean up the contamination at the site. That report was completed in June of 2000.

Now, I'm going to go over the main focus areas that we identified in the remedial investigation and feasibility study. The first is Quarry 1, which is this quarry right here (indicating). It's about two acres in area, probably had

depths up to 24 feet. As I said before, it received waste ammonia liquor directly from the pipeline that ran from the Alan Wood Steel plant to the site, but it only received the waste when Quarry 3 needed to be bypassed. In 1960s, Quarry 1 was filled in and now it's completely covered up and has soil on top and trees growing out of it. When we did the remedial investigation, we found contaminants in the surface soils and the subsurface soils.

This is Quarry 2 (indicating). It was -- it's about almost an acre, with depths up to 23 feet. It also received waste ammonia liquor directly from the plant when Quarry 3 needed to be bypassed. It was also filled in during the 1960s. And we found contamination in the surface and subsurface soils.

Quarry 3 is the only quarry that remains open. This area here (indicating). It's about eight acres. It has depths up to 65 feet. The waste ammonia liquor was discharged directly

1 | 2

into the quarry from the -- from the Alar Wood Steel plant, and it received the majority of the waste. There are three ponds in the quarry. We call them Ponds 1, 2, and 3 (indicating). And we found contamination in the pond water and sediments in the ponds, and we also found contamination in the surface and subsurface soils in the quarry.

Quarry 4 is located here

(indicating). We don't think that the

pipeline ran directly into Quarry 4, but

we have found site-related contamination

in the quarry. It has also been filled

in. The contaminants are in the surface

and subsurface soils. And right now,

there is a portion of a building and a

parking lot on parts of Quarry 4.

We also looked at the groundwater beneath the site. The groundwater is defined as the water that -- that is beneath the ground. We found that the groundwater pretty much flows from the east/north -- to the east/northeast, which is in this direction (indicating), with

the Schuylkill River being over here where I'm standing (indicating). It contains SVOCs, which are semi-volatile organic compounds; VOCs, which are volatile organic compounds; cyanide; and metal contamination, which are all related to the site and the waste ammonia liquor that was disposed there. We also identified a ground water plume, which is kind of the area of the groundwater that has the contamination in it. And that extends towards the northeast and reaches to Renaissance Boulevard. Here is an example of the plum for one of the contaminants (indicating) that's out there, which is benzene (indicating). Let's see if I can

This is Renaissance Boulevard. The red areas are the areas of the highest And they're directly below Quarries 1, Quarry 2, and Quarry 3. The green area has the second highest concentration; blue, third; purple, fourth. The purple area

23

24

23

24

25

represents concentrations that are greater than .25 parts per billion. To put that into prospective for you, if you had a 55-gallon drum, which is pretty much your average trash can you'd put out on the curb, maybe a little bigger, one drop of liquid in that drum would represent about 200 parts per billion. So the purple area, which is reaching to Renaissance Boulevard, is less than .25 parts per billion; so, it's less -- that much less than that drop in the drum. The red area (indicating) represents concentrations greater than a hundred parts per billion.

The other major area that we identified at the site is the waste ammonia liquor pipeline that ran from the Alan Wood Steel plant to the site.

Portions of that pipeline have been removed by private companies and parties who have done construction along the route, but we're still not sure if the entire pipeline is gone.

After we did the remedial investigation and found out what was at

22

23

24

5

the site and where it was going, EPA performed a human health risk assessment. In the risk assessment, we identified who could be the -- who the people would be that could potentially be exposed to the areas of the site that has contamination. We identified child and adult residents, people who would trespass or visit the site, construction workers who would work at the site, and industrial workers who would work in office buildings on the site. We evaluated those receptors against both a carcinogen and a noncarcinogen -- carcinogenic substances that are at the site in the contamination, and we found that the highest risks are from the Quarry 3 soils, which is the main quarry, and the groundwater.

So after having the risk assessment and the remedial investigation, the potentially responsible parties conducted a feasibility study to identify which alternatives could be used to clean up the site. They broke these alternatives into three categories: The site-wide

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

categories, which would be alternatives that could be implemented site wide without respect to different quarries or different areas; the groundwater alternative; and then, also soil and sediment alternatives. These alternatives begin -- the descriptions of these begin on page 10 of the proposed plan if you picked one up. I'm not going into too much detail about them because it would take too long. But if you want to read about them, they start on page 10. these are the ones for the site-wide alternatives; and then, the groundwater alternatives (indicating). And these were the alternatives listed in the feasibility study for the soils and sediments.

So after the feasibility study, EPA evaluated the -- all the alternatives that were identified in the feasibility study to figure out what our preferred alternative would be. And we evaluate those alternatives against nine criteria that are listed in the National Contingency Plan for Superfund Sites.

These are the nine criteria that we compared those alternatives to (indicating). The explanation of those criteria starts on page 17 of your proposed plan if you want to read through that, and it goes into a rather long narrative of about how we prepared them; so, I'm not going to go into that now.

So after comparing all the alternatives that are identified in the feasibility study, EPA came up with what we think is our preferred alternative for the site, and that meets the nine criteria and is protective of human health and the environment. First, we want to continue investigating the waste ammonia liquor pipeline from where it ran from the Alan Wood Company to the site to make -- to see if any portions remain, if there is contaminated soils associated with those portions, and then those portions would be removed. We also want to remove all soils and sediments in Quarry 3, in the ponds and in the surface and subsurface soils that have contamination in them.

23

24

25

propose to put a low permeability cap on the remaining quarries, Quarries 4, Quarry 2, and Quarry 1, and other areas of the site that might have contamination, which could be Area 5 and Area 6, which are two areas that we identified and are talked about in the proposed plan. And then, other areas of soil around the site where contamination has been found (indicating). And then, we chose monitored natural attenuation, evaluated against the nine criteria in the National Contingency Plan, monitored natural attenuation of the groundwater to monitor if the groundwater is moving off the site and that it might be naturally attenuating through biological processes and -- and not -- the contaminants are not reaching the Schuylkill River.

Another way of looking at this is through the costs. This table is -- should be right near the back of the proposed plan if you want to look at it. It might be easier to see. You might not even be able to see that at all. The

first three costs are the costs that would be associated with the site-wide alternatives. Then come the -- the soils and sediment alternatives; and then, the groundwater alternatives. The bolded alternatives are those that EPA chose for their -- its preferred alternatives. They're also represented by the green We evaluate the costs based on the capital costs, which is the basic cost of construction. Then we have to throw in the annual operation and maintenance costs, which would be how much it would -once we built the remedy, how much it would cost each year to maintain that remedy and make sure it's still protective of human health and the environment. then, we calculate those together on a present work basis.

The total cost of the EPA-preferred alternative would be \$12,702,000. That's adding the natural attenuation of the groundwater, the removal of all affected soils and sediments in Quarry 3 and the low permeability cap on the other

25

21

22

23

quarries, and continued investigation of the waste ammonia liquor pipeline.

As you can see, stabilizing the entire site, all the contaminants at the site, which is adding a stabilization into the contamination to make sure it will never leach out or migrate, would cost a hundred and 4 million dollars; and removing all of the contamination at the site would cost \$69 million.

We released the proposed plan of our preferred alternative on June 16th, and we offer a 30-day public comment period for the public to write in their comments to us or ask them at the public meeting.

That will end on July 17th. And you can send your comments to Joseph McDowell.

His address is up here (indicating). It's also on the third page of the proposed plan and at the end of the proposed plan.

So, that's basically how we got to where we are today, and I'd like to open up the floor for questions. If you have any questions about what we choose to do at the site or anything else about the

And I'll leave this up if you need 1 2 to refer to it, if you can see it. Go ahead. 3 4 COMMENTS/QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC MR. WALTER: Joseph Walter from 5 6 Hawthorn Road, King of Prussia. First of 7 all, I would like to say I am generally in 8 strong support of the procedure you 9 mentioned for Quarry 3. I was afraid you 10 were just going to cap it, but removing 11 the sediment first, I think is a very wise 12 issue, to cut down the continued pollution 13 of the groundwater. Some questions 14 regarding that phase of it: The level of 15 tar cleanup at the bottom -- I -- I've 16 been there before myself, and I could just 17 picture several feet of tar; you have an 18 irregular rocky bottom. And when you say 19 "clean up," -- and the information in here 20 kind of indicates a very hopeful sign. How clean is clean up? Have you set any 21 22 conditions for this yet? We have numbers based on 23 MS. LORD: the human health risk assessment that are 24

in the feasibility study. We also have

1	soil cleanup levels in the in the
2	feasibility study. In the Record of
3	Decision, we'll determine what the exact
4	cleanup level should be.
5	MR. WALTER: Well, I was talking not
6	so much about the cleanup, but the
7	physical removal of the tar, which is one
8	of the things
9	MS. LORD: Right.
10	MR. WALTER: that you mentioned.
11	MS. LORD: Right.
12	MR. WALTER: I mean, what do you do?
13	Go down an inch or two or what
14	MS. LORD: Oh, it would be to
15	remove I mean, we said "complete
16	removal"
17	MR. WALTER: to get it done. I
18	think it's
19	(SIMULTANEOUS SPEECH)
20	MR. WALTER: I was a little bit
21	surprised at, while identifying the fact
22	that the groundwater is significantly
23	contaminated, that there is no provisions
24	for treating the groundwater such as a
25	simple pump and treat system or something

like that.

MS. LORD: You mean why did we chose it, or --

MR. WALTER: Well, why --

MS. LORD: -- what?

MR. WALTER: I was kind of curious as to why you chose not to treat the groundwater (inaudible).

MS. LORD: I'm going to leave that to our hydrologist, Bruce Rundell.

MR. RUNDELL: We did consider that, and -- well, we did a computer modelling on the plume. We know the extent of the plume, and we believed that by removing the source areas and -- in Quarry 3 and capping the source areas that are in the other quarries that the continued contamination of the groundwater by those sources will enable the plume to clean up itself in a relatively short period of time, in five, ten years or so. believe that that's -- those are good time We can always, if that proves to frames. not be the case, we can also go back in five or ten years and put a pump and treat

ı	
1	system in. But the difference in the cost,
2	we thought it's worthwhile to give natural
3	attenuation a chance to to work.
4	MR. WALTER: Well, I think if five or
5	ten years works, that's just great. And
6	then it can be reconsidered in that time
7	period. I think that's
8	MR. RUNDELL: Well, one thing that we
9	always do is we have a monitoring period.
10	Every five years, we
11	MR. WALTER: Yeah.
12	MR. RUNDELL: remedy, so, I
13	think
14	MR. WALTER: Yeah I saw it
15	indicated
16	MR. RUNDELL: Yeah.
17	MR. WALTER: about the monitoring.
18	I didn't know that you had the option to
19	then go in and start pumping
20	MR. RUNDELL: Yeah.
21	MS. LORD: Yeah.
22	MR. RUNDELL: Yeah. We'll put a time
23	frame on it because we want basically,
24	we want our goal is to have the
25	monitored natural attenuation occur within
	I and the second

15 years. We believe it'll happen sooner than that, but within the first few years, if we don't see it happening, we can --

MR. WALTER: Well, that's good. That satisfies me.

Now, finally, over the last weekend, some citizens brought to me some tar samples they have recovered from the site. And upon breaking them open and the fear that it was coal tar residue from the old coking operation, this caused me and the residents some concern because, as you know, coal tar is on the rather small list of known carcinogens and has been on there since 1980. That was the first year that they put it out; so, it's not any secret. Subsequently, I made a trip over there and found many samples of coal tar in many different areas of the site and, yesterday, I went down with two members of our EAC Committee, and we found some And today, I was out there, and I found some more. And the resident indicated to me that where he found his, and we were not working in the same area.

1

23

24

25

Now, you and I discussed this yesterday, if you recall. And you indicated something that surprised me a little bit. Maybe it didn't. You indicated that while the quarries are certainly the focal point of the site and much sampling was done there, you actually only had about three samples around the whole rest of the site which is where we were finding this tar. So, what I'm getting to is this is hazardous material. I have know idea how much is there or where it is, but without even making much of an effort, it's not hard to come across it. Since this hasn't been addressed directly, what -- what do you see as a -- as a problem or lack of problem, or obviously (inaudible) -- how do you see -- do you want to comment on that?

MS. LORD: Well, I think since it's obviously contamination that we've already -- it's not contamination we've already investigated, but it's similar to the other contamination that we found on the site. It's not surprising that it's

there. But in our preferred alternative to remove Quarry 3 and cap the other three quarries, it also say "other affected areas of the site." So, if we were to find that there is other contamination at the site, we could either incorporate that into our cap, or it could be removed. And that is a good point. And it actually might need to be added into the Record of Decision that, you know, if we find it in areas that aren't in the quarry and it can't be capped, then, it would be removed.

MR. WALTER: Well, there's no question that you're going to find it because I and a citizen and some other people who were with me can certainly point it out. And I should point out, it's shards like that (indicating). I would like to be able to say it all came from a certain area --

MS. LORD: Right.

MR. WALTER: -- find that area, then, you could go in and cap it and be done.

But the reason that this plays on my mind specifically is a member of the Advisory

Council of Upper Merion Township has gone 1 2 on record last February as saying that we 3 were concerned that construction activities and so forth on the Superfund 4 5 site could potentially mobilize contaminants and create a threat to the 6 7 people in the vicinity, whether it's workers, or whether it's residents and so 8 9 forth. Well, we're thinking about that 10 statement, and we're thinking about what 11 we have seen, you see, and it's -- it's a -- it's a situation that kind of 12 surprised me because I am well aware of 13 the hazards of this material, particularly 14 when it's posted on the list, as I said. 15 So, I think that -- I don't think it 16 probably being investigated because I 17 don't think you were aware of it. 18 19 understand that, you see. I would like some -- some plan of action designed, how 20 to determine the extent of this. I have 21 no clue as to the extent of it, how --22 23 MS. LORD: Well --24 MR. WALTER: Go ahead. MS. LORD: First, I want to address 25

that to Jennifer for the risk 'cause she's our toxicologist, and she can tell you about the risks that are associated with -- with what -- the coal tar that you found on the top of the site.

MS. HUBBARD: We did look at areas outside the quarries. The quarries are probably the worse areas, the quarries and the groundwater. So much of our discussion was focused on that; however, we did take samples outside the quarry in the surface soil, and those soils were contaminated enough that we know that we need to do something about them. along with some of the other areas that we won't talk about in detail here, but are certainly discussed in the record. know there is other areas of contamination, and that's why -- other affected areas -- but generally, we hadn't pinpointed every single area of where that is, but in the course of the cleanup, that's going to be identified during the cleanup, the levels for the soils.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I understand

1

1 what you're saying. I appreciate it. 2 I think I should try to clarify. 3 so much talking about the groundwater or soil as I'm talking about shards of tar. 4 5 And the size of the shards of the tar are as small as this (indicating) to as large 6 7 as this (indicating), and they are very --8 in some cases, it looks like an old road. 9 If you break them open, and woof 10 (indicating), out it comes. And when you 11 start getting those fumes and the infusion of odors coming out of there, the 12 contamination level of all of these are 13 going to go out the window --14 15 MS. HUBBARD: Well --UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- if 16 17 somebody --Well, okay. 18 MS. HUBBARD: I mean first of all, it is a superfund site, and 19 20 I wouldn't recommend that people are there 21 to be accomplishing, you know, something 22 -- something associated with something within the site. You know, I wouldn't 23 recommend going out there, you know, and 24 trying to find the stuff and deliberately 25

breaking it open just because there's -there's really no reason to add whatever
exposure that that would add.

During the cleanup activity, these are done under certain conditions. You have to follow certain rules. You don't just run out there and you have to have protective gear, and it seems to be -- it releases from the site, releases into the air. That all has to be monitored, and it all has to be covered. We won't -- you know, we're not going to just go out there willy-nilly and -- and -- and, you know, cause anything to -- causing releases where there weren't any before.

MR. WALTER: I understand that. I wasn't so much thinking about your activity or your contractor's activity when you begin this event. There's construction activity that is in the process of going on down there and some more proposed construction activity that is going to be in this area. And it's not — it has nothing to do with your cleanup or whatever that's going to be in

2

1

3

5

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

these areas. We are concerned about the accidental release or involvement of these materials and, again, it's become more troublesome, not just because of what they are, but the -- another scatter spot, and wide spread locations.

MS. LORD: Well, I can tell you that I've talked to -- when Liberty Property Trust built their building and their parking lot, they came across the pipeline and related contamination and submitted a plan to the EPA saying how they were planning on cleaning it up, and we agreed that they were going to do it the right way, and they did. O'Neil has also come across part of the pipeline on their property, and they've also been in contact with us. And they know what's there, and they have agreed that they will clean it up in a proper way too. So I think everyone who is associated with current construction or will be associated with construction on the site, for now, does -is aware of what it is and how to clean it up.

1	MR. WALTER: I understand the pipeline
	situation. I should point out that the
3	samples I have come across were nowhere
4	near the pipeline. I know where the
5	pipeline was, and I have worked with the
6	O'Neil people. And I think they did a
7	decent job. I I feel comfortable with
8	what the circumstance is on the pipeline.
9	We were way away from that. This is way
10	away from the pipeline. And I
11	MS. LORD: But we we are addressing
12	it. It is in our proposed plan to
13	MR. WALTER: I
14	MS. LORD: the other contaminants
1.5	that aren't in the quarries, and I think
16	it also
1.7	MR. WALTER: I still think it's a
18	narrow statement, that capping all other
19	affected areas and
20	MS. LORD: Well, that's the reason
21	it's generic is because we don't know
22	exactly where all the other areas are.
23	Yes?
24	MS. LECHTER: My name is Ms. Lechter.
25	I'm a resident of King of Prussia. I'm

23

24

25

running for the House of Representatives. And I was at the town meeting that the board of supervisors had a few weeks ago here, and the advertised statement was in regards to the future construction on the site and that the construction was going to be halted until the EPA came back and told the residents what was happening with the land between the quarries. think perhaps part of the concern is what is actually on the land between the quarries that may or may not be disturbed during construction. I'm sitting here. haven't read your report yet, and I don't feel that issue is addressed. The other thing I wanted to ask you, 'cause I noticed in the (inaudible) of indigenous soil and when you stand up and talk about how much contamination is in those quarries, I wonder if (inaudible).

(Court reporter informs speaker that they must speak up).

MS. LORD: Let me address the first question, and then, I'll direct the second question to Jennifer.

1 O'Neil Properties proposed a 2 development between Quarry 1 and 2. 3 just want to point out that we're not here to discuss that. That is not an EPA 4 5 But I can say that O'Neil, you know -- when -- when they came to us and 6 7 proposed -- or they said that they were 8 proposing building in this area, we said 9 well, we think there's a portion of a pipeline that was there and they're might 10 11 be contamination associated with that, but 12 that's never been investigated, and it 13 needs to be investigated, in our point of 14 view, before you build there. And O'Neil 15 submitted a plan to us, saying this is 16 what we -- we are going to do to look at 17 the pipeline and the associated 18 contamination. We said, okay. We agree 19 with that. And they have gone out and 20 taken samples, and I just got the results 21 of those samples today. So they have been 22 working on this. They are aware of what 23 is there. And they've been looking in that whole area for contamination. 24 25 even though it's not addressed directly,

1 that portion of the pipeline isn't addressed directly in our report because 2 we weren't sure if it existed, O'Neil has 3 4 been working on it. So we do know now, or 5 as of today -- EPA knows what is there. 6 Does that answer your question or --7 MS. LECHTER: Well, I think everyone 8 would like to know what is there. 9 10 MS. LORD: Well, I just got the --11 it's -- it's all the same contamination, the site related -- the waste ammonia 12 liquor related contamination that came 13 14 from the Alan Wood Steel plant because it was along the pipeline. The contamination 15 spilled out of the pipeline, and that's 16 what they found in that area. And then, 17 for the -- what she's referring to is the 18 indigenous soils is on Table 1 in your 19 20 proposed plan which is the rift table. Jennifer, do you want to address this, or 21 22 do you want me to? MS. LECHTER: Where is it? 23 MS. LORD: It's at the -- it's near 24 the end. It's the second to last page. 25

1		It's Table 1.
2	. 1	MS. HUBBARD: Could you clarify what
3	<u>.</u>	you wanted to know?
4		MS. LECHTER: Well
5		MS. LORD: It's the background, why
6	14 14 14	MS. LECHTER: I I haven't had a
7		chance to really look at the report, but
8		when I listened to your verbal
9		presentation of how much contamination is
10		in the quarries, I I just even begin to
11		think that indigenous soil is really that
12	. •	important to report, and I wondered how
13	 ,	much (inaudible).
14		(Court reporter informs speaker that
15		they must speak up.)
16		MS. LECHTER: on a number of
17		occasions that is relevant.
18		MS. HUBBARD: What what that's
19		saying is that some of the areas, we have
20		a large enough number of samples to say
21		with certainty that some of the metals
22	,	(Court reporter informs speaker that
23	-	they must speak up.)
24		MS. HUBBARD: and some of them also
25		occur in contaminated areas. And some of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

these metals, we couldn't be absolutely certain whether any particular metal was related to -- to natural causes or from the site. But some areas we had here

(Court reporter informs speaker that they must speak up.)

MS. HUBBARD: -- the -- there's a lot of metals that occur naturally in soil. And some of them also occur in the contaminated area. And some areas, we couldn't be absolutely certain whether a particular metal is really due to natural causes or from the site. In some areas, we had statistical tests done, but you can only put a certain amount of faith in So, to be -- just to be absolutely clear and to get to the varying degrees of certainty that we have, this is something that will be addressed more closely as we go to the cleanup, when we're looking in that specific area, really getting down to, you know, are we going to cap this area, or how far out are we going to go, do we need to take away something from this area, what should the levels be?

that point, we'd be looking more closely at -- if -- if we're looking into a metal, is this now definitely from the site, or is it background?

MS. LECHTER: So, as you look at testing and analysis, you would expect to find these metals?

MS. HUBBARD: You would find certain metals in the soil, things like iron, aluminum, manganese, are very common, and they're found in all soils, even things like arsenic and lead are found naturally in the soil. And what's complicated is that lead can also occur from the contamination. And so, when you have both, it becomes more difficult to tell whether it's from the site or background.

MS. LECHTER: Okay. I guess what I'm asking is in relation to the amount of contamination that's been addressed. How that would affect these results that how much of this is based on the area around the contaminated site? I mean, I guess I just don't clearly understand, looking at that, with asterisks around all of the

numbers, how much that really is going to play into what you're total remediation is going to be on the site that is known to have ongoing contamination for 50, 60, 70 years.

MS. HUBBARD: The ones that have two asterisks, it means we know that there's contamination that is not due to background. And even if some of the things that are causing these numbers that are shown here -- some of them may be natural, but even if you took away those, you would still have enough that we know that it's due to the site, things that are not natural, that we would clean it up any And the numbers that don't have anything next to them, are based on site related. So it's really up in those -there's a couple of places that we have a single asterisk. It's possible that we -we'll look at that more closely. area may turn out to be not really affected by the site. But you can see that we have enough areas that we've determined that they're definitely is some

25

type of addressing needed on that.

MS. LORD: It is also important to know that this is just a table summarizing the risks. There is a whole risk assessment report in the administrative record for the site, which is in the Upper Merion Township Library and in our offices in Philadelphia. And it — it goes into much more specifics on all the risks that are at the site.

Yes?

MS. BUARD: Patricia Buard. That's B-U-A-R-D. I would just like to clear up the lines of responsibility --

MS. LORD: Okay.

MS. BUARD: -- and the possible construction on the site. Now, EPA would be responsible for cleaning up?

MS. LORD: Right.

MS. BUARD: So, the person or a corporation wanting to build on the site, would they have to submit a plan to the EPA to build anywhere near a contaminated site? Do they have to know the plan for coping with that contamination?

MS. LORD: Under the --

MS. BUARD: Is that provided by law?

No, not by law. If they MS. LORD: own the land, EPA can't tell them what to do on the land unless they are a part of the responsible parties for the Superfund site and we've agreed under an order that, you know, they can't do anything. But if anything would happen on the -- say they build a building on Quarry 1 without telling us; we didn't know; we went in and found that there was a lot of contamination there; it was coming up through the building; everyone's at risk; EPA would have to tell them to take it down so we could do our proper cleanup So it -- it -- it's in the party's there. best interest to stay in contact with EPA and work with EPA to see that what they're doing would be within what we chose to do for our cleanup.

MS. BUARD: So they're responsible to allow the building -- it's the township's responsibility in approving the developers, submitting the plans and

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

approving that plan?

MS. LORD: Yes. Yes.

Yes? Go ahead.

MR. TOMCHICK: Ed Tomchick. Over a period of years, on this site, Renaissance has been developed. And over the last five or six years, maybe the past ten, I've gotten water in my basement. started dripping. There's been massive amounts of building. The macadam is there and a holding pond with the rain water. Now, I have noticed in the past two to three years -- and my neighbor across the street has the same thing. We happen to be in a position on Philadelphia Avenue, Crooked Lane; we're at the top of the hill.

MS. LORD: Right.

MR. TOMCHICK: When it rains for a few days, a good heavy rain, the rain goes away, the sun comes out, we are getting water up to -- about at least four inches of macadam on Philadelphia Avenue. And the hydrologist that is here, where's the water coming from? I've called the water

1

1 company, and they said it's not ours. I've call the township, and they said it's 2 3 probably a broken waterline --MS. LORD: Can I ask --4 MR. TOMCHICK: We noticed that the 5 macadam is stained. 6 Is -- are we getting 7 seepage from contaminants underground? MS. LORD: Where? You're off of 8 9 Crooked Lane, which is up here. MR. TOMCHICK: Keep going down. 10 11 Right -- right there. Philadelphia Avenue and Crooked Lane. 12 13 MS. LORD: Okay. 14 MR. MARSHALL: Right where Renaissance comes down. We're right there. 15 MS. LORD: Right here. 16 Right there. 17 MR. TOMCHICK: And we're going down Philadelphia Avenue. And I've 18 19 called the township when it snows, and it's cold, saying we're getting water up 20 21 here, come out and salt things down. 22 know, you guys aren't like the Men in 23 Black; I can't call you, in 20 seconds, 24 you got a response team. Is there 25 something that you could give us, a soil

1 sample, or a bottle that we could syphon 2 this stuff out and send it to you and to 3 an independent laboratory to find out what's in there? You know, if you're 4 5 coming up through, at least -- and I say it's at least four inches of macadam. 6 7 They -- they macadamized the road twice 8 since I've been there, and it's been there 9 before. So I'm saying at least four inches of macadam. So whatever is there. 10 11 you know, holding pond for rain water, 12 it's pushing it up. And if it's pushing 13 it up, it's got to be leaching from 14 somewhere. 1.5 MR. RUNDELL: Well, that's a tough The water table at the site is 90 16 one. 17 feet below the ground surface. 18 Why is it coming up MR. TOMCHICK: 19 through the macadam? MR. RUNDELL: Well --20 Why do I have a French 21 MR. TOMCHICK: 22 drain in my house? MR. RUNDELL: What I'm trying to say 23 is that because the water table is 90 feet 24 25 below the ground surface, below your

I		
1		house, I don't believe that it's
2		groundwater from the water table that's
3		coming up. You know, it sounds like it's
4		more associated with surface runoff than
5		underground water. And whether it's
6		coming through a sewer line or some
7	<u>.</u>	other
8		MR. TOMCHICK: I've noticed it ever
9		since they've been building there.
10		MR. RUNDELL: Yeah, that's why I
11		believe I'm just speculating right
12		now. Most likely, it's due to a surface
13		runoff, due to the rain and not changes
14		the water table.
15		MR. TOMCHICK: Well
16		MR. RUNDELL: because the water
17	· .	table is 90 feet down. It doesn't rise
18		that way.
19		(Court reporter informs speakers that
20		only one person may speak at a time).
21		MR. RUNDELL: what kind of flow it
22		is? I mean, is it
23		MR. TOMCHICK: It's coming out there
24		pretty good.
25		MR. MARSHALL: The whole area. The
	1	

water's coming out of the street.

MR. TOMCHICK: And it runs down -(Court reporter informs speakers that
only one person may speak at a time).

MR. RUNDELL: And there are no pipes, underground pipes?

MR. TOMCHICK: Oh, I'm sure there is.

All right, but the water company -the water company says it's not their problem; the township says -- and in the wintertime, this past winter, there's been a lot of snow, and it froze, snow on the way, call them to come salt. There was cars coming in my driveway, knocked my mailbox down. They come out there and salt and that's it. Now, I never attributed this because I was sort of ignorant of the fact. But, you know, something is in there bringing that water I don't know what it is and what's in the water.

MS. LORD: Can you, afterwards, give me your name and phone number. I'll see if we can find -- if I can take it to the right person at EPA that we could have it

24

25

1

KARASCH & ASSOCIATES
AR500056

sampled for you.

MR. TOMCHICK: Certainly.

MS. LORD: To see if it's -- at least if it's coming from -- from the site, which we don't believe it is because it's -- most of the groundwater we've found on the site is flowing in -- in this direction (indicating), towards the Schuylkill.

MR. TOMCHICK: Yeah.

MS. LORD: So... But let me get your name and number so we can address that.

Yes?

MS. GEDAY: I am Marta Geday -G-E-D-A-Y. I'm a resident of the Crooked
Lane Townhouses. And I just wonder how
far away you've done any sampling because
these town homes are barely only 20 years
old. These town homes are probably only
20 years old, and who knows who owned it
and what was there prior to it, and not to
mention that my shrubbery on the site is
just always dying and the trees and
everything. It was always curious before
I knew anything about the site.

My second question is, now that he mentioned it (indicating), one block -the length of one block from our entrance to -- from the complex's entrance toward the train, there is a property. I don't know who it belongs to. It has a bunch of trees on it. It's about the size of one or two houses -- lots. And it's always a It just doesn't dry up, you know; so, I worry about the mosquitos with all this meningitis scare, as well as the smell when you go walking by it. just wonder why -- because we have a drainage ditch right behind our town home and that, you know, when it's raining, it fills up a few feet, and it goes away. This one is just always sitting there. just doesn't. And -- and I have no idea why it does that.

MS. LORD: I'd say, the answer to your second question, I don't know, and that's not really part of our super --

MS. GEDAY: Isn't there anything --

MS. LORD: -- fund site.

MS. GEDAY: -- anyone that you could

7

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1 direct me to, an engineer or something 2 or --3 MS. LORD: I'd say the township would be the one to check with first and then --4 5 MS. GEDAY: Anyway, as far as the 6 samples. 7 MS. LORD: When we -- when the sampling was done in the remedial 8 9 investigation, we -- we pretty much -- or 10 the responsibility parties pretty much 11 stayed in the quarry area because, as far 12 as we knew, the reason that the site was 13 listed was because the pipeline carried the contamination directly into the 14 15 quarries, and then, there were a few areas between the quarries were it leaked. 16 17 we didn't do sampling off site because there was no reason for us to think 18 that -- or the responsibility parties to 19 think that the contamination migrated off 20 site, other than where the pipeline ran, 21 back to the -- to the Alan Wood Steel 22 23 plant or into the quarries. Go ahead. 24 In your investigational 25 MS. FRAILEY:

studies, did you find the coal tar residues that were mentioned?

MS. LORD: Yes.

MS. FRAILEY: How early on did you come across that?

MS. LORD: Ah, it -- we know that they're there, and when the remedial investigation was done, they came across it. So...

MS. FRAILEY: The point I'm trying to make is you've been focusing in Quarries 1, 2 and 3. The fact that these coal tar residues, which have been recently discovered by residents, brings up a question of how thoroughly did you really cover the site so that you can say unequivocally that someone could go in there and disturbing that or move around between quarries 1 and 2 when you're not sure -- or had you investigated that -plus the fact that you're going to go into remediation of that Quarry 1 through 3, with what you're proposing, and you will perhaps find other layers of that coal residue. How are you going to address

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

that, and how should the township address that particular site?

MS. LORD: Between Quarries 1 and 2, you're asking?

MS. FRAILEY: No. I'm talking about the coal residue tar (sic.) on the Crater Resource (sic.) Site.

MS. LORD: We are aware that there are residues on the site. And that's why we did a remedial investigation and then the human health risk assessment to determine whether or not there was a risk to people coming on the site.

I'd also like to reiterate what

Jennifer said, is that it is a superfund

site and trespassers and people walking on

there should be careful. They probably

shouldn't even be going on the site. We

might need to restrict access and

definitely not disturb the coal tars that

are there. We are aware that they are

there. And as I said, when O'Neil said

that they were going to try to build

between Quarry 1 and 2 and they said is

there contamination there, we said, well,

1 we believe that a part of the pipeline 2 might have ran there. And so, they 3 started doing an investigation on it. So, I have to say that we -- if we do find 4 5 something new, we will investigate it. 6 And we did as thorough investigation as 7 the responsible parties and the EPA felt 8 was necessary to determine where the -where the -- the contamination was 9 10 located. 11 MS. FRAILEY: Were they also made aware of the fact that there is coal tar 12 13 on that Crater Resource (sic.) Site other 14 than looking at the space between Quarry 1 15 and Quarry 2? 16 MS. LORD: Yes. We took soil samples 17 in other areas along where they thought 18 the pipeline was. 19 MS. FRAILEY: I'm not talking about 20 the pipeline. 21 MS. LORD: Not the pipeline, other 22 areas on the site. We did take surface 23 soil samples. And that is where the coal 24 tar was found -- was located because it 25 came out of the pipeline or from the

1 quarries. So we do have surface soil 2 samples. And in our alternative, we said 3 we would cap these three quarries and then other affected areas, which would be the 4 5 other areas we've found the coal tar on the site. 6 7 MS. FRAILEY: Do you have a map that 8 would specifically locate the sites where 9 you found coal tar to the present? 10 MS. LORD: In the remedial 11 investigation -- the report is in the 12 administrative record in the library. 13 There is a map of where we took the 14 surface soil samples. 15 MS. FRAILEY: Now, did you do it throughout the site, or just along the 16 17 pipeline? MS. LORD: We did it where we thought 18 19 the pipeline was because that's where we thought the contamination came --20 21 MS. FRAILEY: The -- the point (Court reporter informs speakers that 22 only one person may speak at a time). 23 MS. FRAILEY: -- I'm trying to make is 24 while they're remediating the pipeline, 25

there's -- there's possible contamination elsewhere on the site that might impact the development of any site.

> MR. RUNDELL: Could I add a few We -- when you walk around that area, you -- there's all kinds of stuff out there. There's construction debris; there's tar out there; and you see it everywhere. But one of the things you have a difficulty with in these types of investigations, is we knew where the pipeline was supposed to be. We knew through some records that the pipeline, at certain times, ruptured and leaked, but we didn't know where that leak was. You can wonder around into the woods and stumble across this stuff. The question is how do you go about finding every little piece that's out there? And we -- we talked about that in our investigative design. You could go out and do a grid across the entire site and take samples every 50 feet and -- and that becomes very expensive, and it also becomes difficult in that, you know, if you take a sample here, and it's,

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

you know, 20 feet over in the woods where you know -- the place is very thick with woods and briars. The only way to really do a thorough investigation and find every little bit of tar is amazingly cut the place down and bulldoze it. So we had to do a balance, you know -- how do you go about finding this? And we focused on the places where we knew we'd have a good chance of finding contamination and then proved whatever the remedy would be in the end, we could do a better job of -- of finding all those little out liers (phonetic) of contamination that may be anywhere.

MS. LORD: And --

MR. RUNDELL: And we're going to do that during the design, you know, when you're -- when you're cutting to put your path into a bulldozer, that's when you can go out and find the stuff. It's very hard to do that. It's very expensive to do that in a -- in a sort of a scattered shot piecemeal approach to the investigation. So we, in a sense, postponed it. It's not

like we're ignoring it.

MS. LORD: And I also need to point out that I was wrong about where we took the other surface soil samples. They weren't along the pipeline. They were down -- that map is too off scale. They were down in this area, below Quarry 3 because --

MS. HUBBARD: Yeah. Actually, they are split. Some -- some we were trying to get along the pipeline; and some we were trying to get on the outside of Quarry 3 so that it wasn't -- it wasn't a sample from the quarry, it wasn't a sample from the pipeline, it was a sample outside 'cause the contention, early on, by the responsible parties was that, you know, the contamination's only in the quarries. Well, let's look outside. Let's just make sure. And the samples that we've taken outside (inaudible) --

(Court reporter informs speaker that they must speak up).

MS. HUBBARD: The proposed remedy addresses areas that are outside the

1 quarries and outside the pipeline as 2 needed. And we don't have a map that 3 shows exactly where this is right now, but it is certainly part of the cleanup. 4 5 MS. LORD: And I just want to reiterate that during the design phase, 6 7 which, after the proposed plan and the 8 comment period, EPA issues a Record of Decision, determining what the cleanup 9 remedy for the site will be. Then we go 10 11 into the remedial design phase when we 12 design how we're going to do the remedy 13 that we chose. During the design is when, 14 as Bruce said, if we come across other 15 areas, we can incorporate that into the -the design. And that's why the proposed 16 plan is very vaque about the other 17 affected areas. 18 19 Yes? 20 MR. KIEL: I have quite a 21 presentation. MS. LORD: Would you like me to have 22 other people ask questions first, or do 23 you want to go? 24 MR. KIEL: I --25

1 MS. LORD: Well, it's up to -- well, I might need to cut -- let me see. I just 2 3 want to remind you that we're here to talk about the site and our proposed plan. 4 5 MR. KIEL: Absolutely. Would you care 6 to go to my truck first to see the 7 samples? I did not bring them into the building. My wife is sick from this stuff 8 9 already. I had it in the garage. 10 it's outside. But anyway, I'd like to --MR. RUNDELL: Can I make a comment? 11 This really concerns us that people are 12 13 going out to the site, a place where 14 there's known contamination, and exposing 15 themselves on purpose to contamination. 16 We had an incident in the township with --17 associated with the pipeline where the township was doing some road work and came 18 across an area where the pipeline --19 MR. KIEL: Well --20 (Court reporter informs speakers that 21 only one person may speak at a time). 22 23 MR. RUNDELL: We were really concerned and shut that down instantly and --24 MR. KIEL: 25 Okay.

İ		
1		MR. RUNDELL: And told people to
2	stay	out of the place. And they had
3	peop	le come in and clean it up. And the
4	fact	that people are going around in the
5	neig	hborhoods exposing themselves, this
6	isn'	t good. And just
7		MR. KIEL: Oh, in other words, it's
8	toxi	c? I don't know what I picked up.
9		MR. RUNDELL: Well, you shouldn't be
10	on t	he
11		MR. KIEL: I just
12		(Court reporter informs speakers that
13	only	one person may speak at a time).
14	·	MR. RUNDELL: You're going there
15	look	ing around for toxic substances and
16	take	it home and put it in your garage.
17	Come	on.
18		MR. KIEL: I don't know what it is.
19	Coul	d I present my case, ma'am?
20		MS. LORD: Go ahead with your
21	pres	entation, or or I ask you to
22	try	to keep it brief so we can keep
23	disc	ussion going, but let's hear what you
24	have	to say.
25		MR. KIEL: Okay. I I just read one
	1 .	

1	•	of your vonorte whome you initially start
1	· <u>.</u>	of your reports where you initially start
2		this investigation on that site. One of
,		your recommendation was to fence in the
4		entire area. Am I right or wrong?
5		MS. LORD: It depends on what report
6		you're referring to, when it was, and
7		MR. KIEL: Yes. I've been very
8		involved in this, and I think that
9		unfortunately, you don't want me here this
10		evening.
11	-	MS. LORD: I I'd like to hear what
12		you have to say, but I need you hear it
13		before you make that opinion.
14		MR. KIEL: Well, was a report that I
15		read it said that this site when it was
16		first evaluated, that it should be fenced
17		and marked as a superfund site.
18		MS. LORD: If you read the report, I'm
19		sure there was one. There's been reports
20		since the mid '70s and
21		MR. KIEL: This was early on, surely
22		before you came on. But I can relate.
23		I've read so many of them. I will find it
24		if I have to. I will find this report.
25		MS. LORD: So are you asking that we

1	fence in the site?
2	MR. KIEL: I think the site should be
3	fenced in.
4	MS. LORD: We were we've been
5	MR. KIEL: I have visited this site.
6	I don't know where the boundaries are, sir
7	(addressing Mr. Rundell). I don't know
8	where the boundaries are over there.
9	MR. RUNDELL: I understand that.
10	MR. KIEL: Well
11	MR. RUNDELL: But I'm just I'm just
12	asking you, don't pick up things that you
13	don't know what they are.
14	MR. KIEL: Well, how this came about,
15	the environmental people of the applicant
16	said that there was no toxic materials on
17	the ground at this site. I've attended
18	many and many of these meetings. I
19	stressed to them because I'm very
20	knowledgeable of this ground. I covered
21	this ground as a kid before you were
22	thought about. Believe me.
23	MR. RUNDELL: I believe you.
24	MR. KIEL: And I do know come up
25	here, pal. I related these maps

(indicating), and I see how this developer and what not has destroyed this property since 1916 -- well, he came on the scene in '79, but it's been destroyed over the years. There's hidden quarries here (indicating) that I exposed because I was an aerial photo interpreter in Korea. That was part of my work, aerial photography. A graduate army engineer. I also went to air force intelligence school in Albert air base, California -- or Colorado. So I'm not up here selling my wares. I do have a little background.

Like I say, I grew up three-quarters of a mile from this site. I contacted the EPA and asked them -- that I had found some very interesting information, above all, the history of this. I did not get a reply. I also asked if there is a fund available to interested citizens that want to pursue this on their own, the investigation. I got no answer from EPA.

MS. LORD: Wait. Can I clarify? Are you speaking about the E-mail that you sent to me?

1	MR. KIEL: I sent you an E-mail, yes.
2	MS. LORD: And I did reply to that
3	E-mail. I didn't reply regarding the
4	technical assistance grant. I asked that
5	you contact Mr. Hudson. But I did reply
6	to your E-mail when you told me that you
7	knew something about the site.
8	MR. KIEL: You did?
9	MS. LORD: I did. You asked that
10	we
11	(Court reporter informs speakers that
12	only one person may speak at a time).
13	MR. KIEL: I asked it to be sent to my
14	home address.
15	MS. LORD: I replied to your E-mail.
16	MR. KIEL: I was in North Carolina at
17	the time I sent that E-mail.
18	MS. LORD: Okay. Well, I replied to
19	that E-mail address. I wasn't aware
20	MR. KIEL: I never received any reply.
21	MS. LORD: Okay. Well, I did reply to
22	the E-mail.
23	MR. KIEL: I asked you to reply to
24	King of Prussia.
	MS. LORD: I just replied to your

1		E-mail. I'm sorry if I should have
2		replied to your your your home
3		address. And I can send it to your home
4		address. But I did reply to your E-mail
5		address.
6		MR. KIEL: Oh, well that was in South
7		Carolina at the time. I was
8		MS. LORD: Well, I wasn't aware of
9		that.
10	٠ ـــ.	(Court reporter informs speakers that
11		only one person may speak at a time).
12		MR. KIEL: And I asked that you send
13		it to 4090
14		MS. LORD: I apologize. That was my
15		fault.
16	:	MR. KIEL: Anyway, I just haven't been
17		able to get much cooperation or answers.
18		So I took it on my own. I trespassed on
19		that property. Some of it I didn't have
20	· 🚣	to trespass on. I trespassed on Gulf
21		Mills Golf Course, and their property line
22		runs right through Crater 3. I know that
23		site.
24	}	Now, here was a picture I took on
25		Saturday, two little kids sitting down

J	
1	there playing on this dirt pile.
2	MS. LORD: Can I
3	MR. KIEL: Like that
4	(Court reporter informs speakers that
5	only one person may speak at a time).
6	MS. LORD: Can I see where that is?
7	MR. KIEL: I have extra copies. I
8	would be glad to give you.
9	MS. LORD: Okay.
10	MR. KIEL: This is the dirt pile in
11	front of the site of the proposed site.
12	MS. LORD: This is also clean soil
13	that was moved when it
14	MR. KIEL: I don't know that. I'm not
15	even supposed to be on that site, see?
16	MS. LORD: But so I just want to
17	point out that's not part of the site
18	where they are. That is a road. That's
19	near the road, the Renaissance Boulevard
20	where the dirt pile is?
21	MR. KIEL: Yeah.
22	MS. LORD: That is soil that was moved
23	in when the other areas of Renaissance
24	were being constructed.
25	MR. KIEL: Okay.
	•

ſ	
1	MS. LORD: So it is not part of the
2	site.
3	MR. KIEL: It is on the site now.
4	MS. LORD: No. That's not part of it.
5	MR. KIEL: This is on the site of
6	Crater Resources. I beg to differ with
7	you.
8	MS. LORD: Okay. It's not
9	contaminated soil.
10	MR. KIEL: Oh, it isn't?
11	MS. LORD: No. That soil was brought
12	in. But, ah keep going.
13	MR. KIEL: These have been laying down
14	there for six months, all those soil test
15	barrels.
16	MS. LORD: These are, I think is
17	there someone from Liberty here? These
18	are when the pipeline was being removed.
19	Liberty Property Trust found a portion of
20	the pipeline, and they removed it. And
21	they needed to stock pile their soil
22	there. But I'd also like to once again
23	say this is private property, and you
24	shouldn't be walking on it. That's why
.25	these are stored there.
	(

ŀ		
1		MR. KIEL: If I can get information
2		from agencies that are part of our
3		government, don't then I have the right?
4		MS. LORD: Well, I, number 1, the
5	·	information is in the administrative
. 6		record and I
7		MR. KIEL: The one that I got, it
8		isn't in there.
9		MS. LORD: What
10		MR. KIEL: How about these wetlands
11		and all back there? They were never
12		addressed. How about this pile of dirt
13		that appeared in the last two days that
14		has this coal tar rolling out of it right
15	 .	there (indicating)? Where did that dirt
16		come from? It wasn't there on
17		MS. LORD: I think
18		MR. KIEL: on Monday. It showed up
19		Tuesday, and
20		MS. LORD: Can I ask that we have a
21		separate meeting about this?
22		MR. KIEL: Well
23		MS. LORD: So we can discuss the
24		(Court reporter informs speakers that
25	<u>.</u>	only one person may speak at a time).

1	MR. KIEL: Andrea
2	MS. LORD: and that you can submit
3	your comments to us and we can respond to
4	them. I think that would be a better way
5	of
6	MR. KIEL: Well, this is a very long
7	drawn out my whole presentation. I've
8	tried to get help from DEP. I talked to
9	the head man in Conshohocken today. I
10	talked to your people. I don't seem to
11	have gotten any results. I called
12	Harrisburg. Finally, Upper Merion
13	Township came to my aid.
14	MS. LORD: Can I ask let me
15	MR. KIEL: I
16	MS. LORD: Let me ask what your main
17	concern is. Is your concern that you
18	can that anyone can get to the site? I
19	understand that that's a concern, and that
20	probably needs to be addressed now.
21	MR. KIEL: My concern is I think the
22	site should be immediately fenced by the
23	owners.
24	MS. LORD: Okay. Well, we have
25	proffered up that today since we've

realized that there's a lot of trespassing 1 2 going on, and we will discuss that with 3 them. But I also want to say we have a 4 remedial investigation that explains -- we 5 took samples all over that site; so, we know what is there. And now, we are 6 7 trying to clean it up. And I -- I want to make sure that we're not talking over each 8 other --9 MR. KIEL: 10 Okay. MS. LORD: -- when we're trying to 11 address the situation. 12 MR. KIEL: Okay. Well, as a concerned 13 citizen, would EPA even listen to me? 14 15 like a guy sitting out here in the middle 16 of the ocean with no oars and --MS. LORD: But I don't know how we 17 haven't listened to you. The first time I 18 met you was at the public availability 19 20 session, and I did --MR. KIEL: Yeah. 21 MS. LORD: -- listen to you. Then you 22 23 E-mailed, and I did respond, but I apologize that I didn't send it to your 24

house. I just responded on E-mail.

now, we're listening to you, but I don't 1 2 want to --3 MR. KIEL: I put calls into your office. 4 5 MS. LORD: You called me today as I 6 was preparing and leaving for this 7 meeting. MR. KIEL: Well, I'm doing this on my 8 9 own. MS. LORD: I know, but I just want to 10 point out --11 MR. KIEL: And --12 13 MS. LORD: -- that we are not ignoring 14 you, that we are -- we are not ignoring 15 you. I have responded to you. I just 16 want to make sure that your concerns are 17 being addressed by what we're talking 18 about in this meeting. And if not, then 19 maybe we should meet --20 MR. KIEL: Well --21 MS. LORD: But that's what we are 22 presenting right now. We are presenting 23 how we are going to clean up the site. I do understand that we might need to 24 25 restrict access. That is a problem, and I

1	do agree with that. But I also agree that
2	there
3	MR. KIEL: What am I supposed to do
4	with these samples? I can't test them. I
5	don't have \$8,000.
6	MS. LORD: Well, we have
7	MR. KIEL: I
8	MS. LORD: We've done extensive
9	tests. We know that that stuff's there,
10	and we know what it is; so, it should have
11	stayed at the site.
12	MR. KIEL: Well
13	(Court reporter informs speakers that
14	only one person may speak at a time).
15	MR. KIEL: in your report, it said
16	about all this toxic potential toxic
17	material. It was flowing down from Quarry
18	1, on down through that ravine, which I
19	always have talked about. And actually
20	where I had gotten these samples from
21	MS. LORD: This is a report.
22	MR. KIEL: Who do I go to to get them
23	tested? I don't have \$8,000.
24	MS. LORD: I don't know the answer to
25	that question, because we tested the site,

1	and we have the results. And in the
2	administrative record, we you can go
3	through everything that we have done and
4	the responsible parties have done.
5	MR. KIEL: Since you
6	MS. LORD: No. It's it's in there.
7	MR. KIEL: It's like lava falling back
8	in there where that tar came down from
9	Quarry 1.
10	MS. LORD: Are you saying that tar is
11	flowing now?
12	MR. KIEL: I can bring, if you if
13	your agency has the power to have someone
14	subpoenaed, they will tell you stories
15	about that site back there because the
16	fellow worked at Gulf Mills Golf Course
17	for ten years, and he knows more than I do
18	of all these recent problems.
19	MS. LORD: Right. And I and we do
20	have people that can deal with that at
21	at the EPA. But what this meeting is
22	for
23	MR. KIEL: Can you
24	MS. LORD: I can't. I don't know the
25	answer to that question. That is not my

- 1	
1	job. But there
2	MR. KIEL: Do you have a legal
3	consultant here?
4	MS. LORD: No, we don't have a legal
5	consultant with us. I have a legal
6	consultant at the agency, but we don't
7	have one with us here today.
8	MR. KIEL: A simple question, but
9	before I forget, I would like to request a
10	90-day extension of any of your studies.
11	MS. LORD: You mean a 90-day comment
12	period or an extension?
13	MR. KIEL: This that this comment
14	period be extended to 90 more days.
15	MS. LORD: Right.
16	Can we do that?
17	MR. KIEL: We are a group of people
18	that do not have all of the weapons right
19	there in our hands. We don't I can't
20	go out and spend \$5,000 to get this
21	consultant in, to get information. This
22	is why I had to go trespassing on that
23	property.
24	MS. LORD: But let me also say that
25	that is why we have been involved with the

township. I have met with the 1 2 Environmental Advisory Council a few 3 times. We have done three public availability sessions and we've --4 MR. KIEL: I've been to all the 5 sessions, Andrea. 6 There's two I did, 7 MS. LORD: No. that you were -- didn't attend. 8 MR. KIEL: Pardon? 9 MS. LORD: There's two that I have 10 11 done that you didn't attend that was 12 before. MR. KIEL: I have to take vacation 13 14 just like everybody else. Jeez. 15 (Laughter) MS. LORD: I just want to point out 16 that all our -- the information leading up 17 to how we came to this conclusion is in 18 the administrative record. We also have 19 boxes and boxes and boxes of files at our 20 21 offices at the EPA that anyone can go 22 through. 23 MR. KIEL: Yeah. Well, that's what they told me from Washington today when I 24 25 was talking to them.

1	MS. LORD: But we are doing the
2	cleanup to keep (sic.) the residents
3	informed of what's going on. So it's
1	
4	
5	\$5,000 to get something tested. That is
6	what the responsible parties do and what
7	we do.
8	MR. KIEL: But if it's so toxic, you
9	think this material I have, why doesn't
10	somebody take it away from me?
11	MS. LORD: Because we didn't know you
12	had it until you called me today.
13	MR. KIEL: I left word on the on
14	the answering machine that I discovered
15	this material, and I have it; and I want
16	someone to come and take it and test it.
17	MS. LORD: The state that the
18	material the state that the material
19	was in when it was sitting on the site, we
20	did not find that it posed a risk to just
21	someone walking by the site
22	(Court reporter informs speakers that
23	only one person may speak at a time).
24	MS. LORD: wet
25	MR. KIEL: I know, when I talked to my

environmentalist who told me that he wanted a thousand dollars a pop to test this and needed eight -- I needed eight samples. Where was I going to get \$8,000?

MS. LORD: I --

MS. HUBBARD: Let me first say that one thing that we do is that people are concerned about -- the material that is outside the quarries and outside the pipeline areas -- let me first say that one thing that we hear is that people are concerned about the material that is outside the quarries and outside the pipeline areas. And although we have planned to address that --

(Court reporter informs speakers that only one person may speak at a time).

MS. HUBBARD: -- will be looking. The second thing is as far as the samples, any samples, they need to be taken in a certain method. They need to be put in special vials. They need to be tested for temperature so the analysis will be valid. And that's why we -- we can't just take necessarily those materials that you

have and send it out for testing, that 1 2 there's certain procedures we have to 3 follow to make sure the testing is valid and if the contaminants we're looking at 4 or if contaminants that really came from 5 6 the site. 7 MR. RUNDELL: What she also means is 8 that when you take a sample out of the 9 ground, you -- in the process of it, there 10 are certain things that need to be done to 11 that sample to keep it from changing; so, you don't want to affect the numbers. 12 MR. KIEL: I understand. 13 MR. RUNDELL: So, you know --14 15 MR. KIEL: Yes. 16 MR. RUNDELL: So we can't sample your stuff. 17 MR. KIEL: 18 Oh. But we believe that the 19 MS. LORD: 20 stuff that you have is very similar to 21 everything else that we found. (Court reporter informs speakers that 22 23 only one person may speak at a time). We have sampled, and we MR. RUNDELL: 24 know what's at the site. We have plenty 25

1	of samples that were done in the
2	appropriate manner, so we know what's in
3	your sample.
4	MR. KIEL: Well
5	MR. RUNDELL: But we just can't take
6	your sample and
7	MR. KIEL: Did you not test O'Neil's
8	samples that he sent the other day when he
9	was over there drilling or taking samples
10	in the area near the pipeline between
11	Crater 2 and 3, the double ones over
12	there?
13	MS. LORD: We got their results, and
14	we will review them.
15	MR. KIEL: Did you test those?
16	MR. RUNDELL: They did.
17	MS. LORD: They did.
18	MR. KIEL: They did?
19	MS. LORD: Yes. But they used, you
20	know, the appropriate labs and go through
21	the appropriate procedures.
22	MR. KIEL: Why can't I get this help?
23	If this money's out there
24	MR. RUNDELL: They did that.
25	MS. LORD: They did that on their

1		own. That is not EPA money.
2		MR. KIEL: Well, that's a developer.
3		They better be paying. They should be
4	·	paying.
5		(Court reporter informs speakers that
6		only one person may speak at a time).
7		MR. KIEL: I asked for the extension.
8		MR. HUDSON: I ask again that we have
9		a separate meeting. That will give the
10		others an opportunity
11		MR. KIEL: Okay.
12		MR. HUDSON: to
13		MR. KIEL: Yeah. Okay. That's one
14		reason I was stalling. I wanted to give
15		the other people an opportunity.
16		MR. HUDSON: I understand.
17		MR. KIEL: But I told you that I have
18		a big situation. I could be two more
19		hours.
20		MS. LORD: Okay.
21		Yes?
22		MR. TOMCHICK: (Inaudible).
23		(Court reporter informs speaker that
24		they must speak up).
25		MR. TOMCHICK: You talked about
	į.	

trespassing. I do know that on weekends, 1 2 there's some kids in the neighborhood that 3 take their motor scooters and trail bikes 4 and so forth, but fencing it in isn't 5 going to do it. I'm not that stupid. 6 know that. Can EPA or whoever owns this 7 put signs up there saying it's 8 contaminated ground, keep out? I think we're --9 MS. LORD: Yeah. 10 we're going to discuss that. After --11 after tonight, we will talk to the 12 responsibility parties and owners and see what we can do about that. 13 14 MR. TOMCHICK: I also know, coming up 15 Philadelphia Avenue, to go out to 16 Renaissance, there is a sort of semi-chain-link fence or --17 That leads to 18 MS. LORD: Right. the --19 MR. TOMCHICK: Yeah. It's down. Can 20 21 you put that up there so nobody goes in 22 there? I can talk to the --23 MS. LORD: 24 MR. TOMCHICK: It says for emergency 25 vehicles and that's a crock, you know?

[
1		MS. LORD: Right. I can talk to the
2		owners about that. We will we will
3		discuss it. It's obvious to us now that
4	٠ 🚣.	there is an issue with access to the site,
5		and we will follow-up with it.
6		MR. TOMCHICK: Okay. Thank you.
7		MS. LORD: Uh-huh? Yes?
8		MS. PUNNAN: My name is Patricia
9		Punnan and (inaudible).
10		(Court reporter informs speaker/s that
11		they must speak up).
12		MS. PUNNAN: And I I just wondered
13		why you waited so long, you know, to
14	· 🚣	these developers are coming in,
15		interested, and you knew this this was
16		here. Why didn't
17		MS. LORD: We have been investigated
18		it. It just
19		MS. PUNNAN: Do you think our area is
20		safe? It just seems to me that our cancer
21		rate is climbing 'cause I've noticed, you
22		know because I've lived in the area so
23		long, a lot of people I knew have died of
24		cancer. And I'm wondering if there has
25	;	been any studies, or maybe there should be
	1	

1 because I know that young people 2 (inaudible) --3 (Court reporter informs speaker that they must speak up). 4 5 MS. LORD: Right. I -- I --6 MS. PUNNAN: I'm wondering, you 7 know -- like I wonder if our soil should 8 be studied in Hughes Park and --9 MS. LORD: I know that we discussed --10 (Court reporter informs speakers that 11 only one person may speak at a time). 12 MS. LORD: Well, as far as we know, there should be -- the soil contamination 13 from -- from the disposal should only 14 15 really be in the areas and in this area 16 (indicating). The groundwater 17 contamination has spread as we -- as we 18 showed you with the plume map. But as far 19 as we know, everyone is drinking public 20 water from the Upper Merion Reservoir, and 21 they're not drinking the water that comes from beneath the ground because you would 22 23 need to have a private residential well 24 for that. And I know at -- at a previous public availability session we spoke about 25

23

24

25

this, and we said that we haven't done any testing down in that area. We don't have reason to believe that the contamination at the site would be causing those things or is directly related -- related to that. But we have the ATSDR, which is the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease registry, who we work with. They have -they did a report in the early '90s on the possible health risks around the site, and we've asked them to do another report. They're going to start in the fall to -to look at the surrounding areas. also, someone from the health department was at a previous session, and she was curious about looking into it too. think people have become aware of it. far as we know, it -- it really shouldn't have anything to do just with the site because of the disposal only really happened right in this area (indicating) and along the pipeline.

Yes?

MR. WOROBEY: My name is Walter
Worobey -- spelled W-O-R-O-B-E-Y. Now,

1 back in the -- Quarry 4, now 2 inadvertently, there was a mistake, and they (inaudible) 3 (Court reporter informs speakers that 4 5 they must speak up). MR. WOROBEY: On Quarry 4 because they 6 7 couldn't figure out where the quarry Now, Quarry 1 and Quarry 2, now, 8 ends. 9 this building that's supposed to be built 10 between the two (inaudible) --11 (Court reporter informs speaker that 12 they must speak up). 13 MR. WOROBEY: Now, how accurate is your plan of where Quarry 1 ends? 14 15 MS. LORD: Well, this depiction is very inaccurate. But in the remedial 16 17 investigation, they did do a study, especially of Quarry 1. They did a -- was 18 it geophysics probe, or they took a --19 We did, in and around 20 MR. RUNDELL: 21 the different quarries, but if you look at 22 the -- the red outlines on this figure up here (indicating), we also have a whole 23 series of historical photos, where you can 24 see the quarries, where you can see them 25

when they're full of liquid at different times. I think they go back into the '40s or even before. But there's a whole bunch of them. And you can take those photos and superimpose them on a map. And we used that -- that becomes -- the photos to come up with the outline. The outline sort of did change some in time, but, you know, by using the photos and (inaudible)

(Court reporter informs speaker that they must speak up).

MR. RUNDELL: -- And some of them turned out to be outside the quarries. We were able to identify closely where Quarry 1 --

MS. LORD: But in terms of the specifics of your question, as I said before, if people are going to develop on that property and it's on the superfund site, anything they do needs to be in accordance with what our clean-up plan is. So if they were to build on Quarry 1 and 2 and our clean-up plan called for something else and they weren't meeting our standards, something would have to be

1 done with that, and they would be held 2 And it's likely that they would need to be removed or something would have to change. So, it's kind of, we have 4 5 these -- we are pretty sure where the 6 boundaries are, but I -- I'm betting that 7 the developers are very aware of that and 8 they realize that anything they do that would interfere with what the EPA remedy 9 is -- you know, would be going against the 10 11 grain and shouldn't happen. 12 And I think you've had your hand up for awhile. 13 14 MR. SALOY: I would just like to know 15 why the site isn't fenced off yet? I work for Henny (phonetic) Construction. 16 17 doing excavating on a superfund site and have on numerous superfund sites. 18 19 Everyone I (inaudible) --20 (Court reporter informs speaker that 21 they must speak up). MR. SALOY: 22 -- have been on were 23 fenced or... On top of that, there was a 24 security guard there at the entrance going 25 into the complex.

1 MS. LORD: Well, I -- it definitely 2 will be looked into. We discussed it a 3 lot tonight. And I realize that it is an 4 issue, and I can --5 (Court reporter informs speakers that 6 only one person may speak at a time). 7 SALOY: My property butts up to this 8 area. There's a whole lot of children 9 playing back in there --10 MS. LORD: Yes. We are -- we are now 11 aware of that. And I also want to reiterate that the risks that we found on 12 13 the site, we didn't immediately think that it needed to be fenced off because of the 14 15 risk of the walking on the site. 16 know, we walked on it today. We didn't find the risk. But now, we realize that 17 18 it is a problem. 19 Yes? 20 MR. STELOR: I used to go back there, 21 hunting, you know, years ago. 22 (Court reporter informs speakers that only one person may speak at a time). 23 MS. LORD: Right. 24 25 MR. STELOR: I know the smell of that

1 water, you know. And I just want to say 7 that going across Flint Hill Road, they F put a new bridge in down from the junk Į. yard. They put a new bridge in there, and 5 I still walk around a lot of times with my 6 dog, you know. After it rains, like 7 (inaudible) --8 (Court reporter informs speaker that 9 they must speak up). MR. STELOR: I can smell that water 10 11 running down that, you know, that same 12 smell, so somehow below that pipeline that 13 used to be there, and you can still smell 14 it back there. 15 MS. LORD: Right. In the --16 MR. STELOR: Down in the -- where the 17 junk yard is. 18 MS. LORD: Right. You need to check that 19 MR. STELOR: 20 out. MS. LORD: Is that where we found that 21 22 portion? Yeah. We did -- when 23 MR. RUNDELL: 24 they were putting that new culvert in, 25 they did come across a piece of the

- 1	
1	pipeline that was cut off there, and it
2	was contaminated soil in the area that
3	they were excavating. And they the
4	consultant who was working with the
5	responsibility parties came out and
6	removed that. But that's part of our
7	concern about where the rest the pipeline
8	is.
9	MR. STELOR: Yeah.
10	MR. RUNDELL: We did find the pipeline
11	there, contamination there. But the
12	question is, is there more in that general
13	area? That's one of the things we'll be
14	investigating.
15	MR. STELOR: That smell's there. When
16	I walk passed it, I recognize it real
17	quick.
18	MR. RUNDELL: If it's there
19	(Court reporter informs speakers that
20	only one person may speak at a time).
21	MR. RUNDELL: smell it.
22	MS. LORD: Right.
23	The gentleman in the back.
24	MR. STELOR: (Inaudible).
25	(Court reporter informs speaker that

1	they must speak up).
2	MR. STELOR: Oil spills from the junk
3	yard that run down in that area. The
4	township will (inaudible) because they
5	(Court reporter informs speakers that
6	only one person may speak at a time).
7	MS. LORD: Yes?
8	MS. DINENNO: My name is Linda
9	Dinenno D I N E N N O. I live in this
10	section of Upper Merion. Earlier, you had
11	that map and you were showing the
12	direction (inaudible)
13	(Court reporter informs speaker/s that
14	they must speak up).
15	MS. DINENNO: Could you put that map
16	on that
17	MS. LORD: The plume?
18	MS. DINENNO: And
19	(Court reporter informs speakers that
20	only one person may speak at a time).
21	MS. DINENNO: The plume and the
22	MS. LORD: You mean you want me to put
23	the pen on and show where
24	MS. DINENNO: Yes.
25	MS. LORD: Yes. It's like in that
	1

1		direction.
2		MS. DINENNO: Now, the end of your
3	,	pen
4		MS. LORD: That's Swedeland. I think
5		that's the Swedeland section.
6		MS. DINENNO: I believe that is. In
7		the event of something such as a hundred
8		year flood, how much of of, you know,
9		water runoff
10		(Court reporter informs speakers that
11		only one person may speak at a time).
12		MS. LORD: We don't we don't have
13		that (addressing Mr. Rundell.)
14	7.	MS. DINENNO: coal tar towards the
15		river?
16		MR. RUNDELL: There's there's a
17		part of your question, I think, if I
18		understand it correctly, the the plume
19		that we're referring to and the
20		groundwater flow direction, that's more or
21		less at the orientation of her pen.
22		MS. DINENNO: Yes.
23	-	MR. RUNDELL: That's there's a
24		difference between groundwater and surface
25		water. So, the groundwater if you have

the --

MS. LORD: Yeah, I'm trying to...

MR. RUNDELL: That will show you pretty much the extent of the groundwater contamination which goes out --

MS. LORD: This is --

MR. RUNDELL: Do you see the Triad Building? That's -- and then all those black dots are monitoring wells. So the wells that are outside of that colored area have no contamination in there or very, very little. So we know the extent of the groundwater contamination. It doesn't go down anywhere near the tip of her pen. It just goes -- just passed the Triad Building to that road, Renaissance Boulevard.

And as far as the surface water, most of the contamination we found is in the subsurface. There is some bits of contamination in the surface, in the soil and in the tar, like that, but then, the tar doesn't -- it won't start rolling down the hill. It doesn't rain that hard.

MS. DINENNO: Even in an unusual

1	occurrence?
2	MR. RUNDELL: Yes.
3	(Court reporter informs speakers that
4	only one person may speak at a time).
5	MS. DINENNO: Okay.
6	MR. RUNDELL: Well, we did see
7	there is a lot of overland flow out
8	there. When it rains, you can see
9	(Court reporter informs speakers that
10	only one person may speak at a time).
11	MS. DINENNO: that entire area I
12	don't know how much geological survey
13	you've done. I don't know for sure. But
14	is that limestone in that area?
15	MR. RUNDELL: Yes. We have when we
16	installed our wells, we looked at the
17	cuttings as the well goes down
18	MS. DINENNO: Uh-huh.
19	MR. RUNDELL: and we we
20	determined what kind of rock it is. From
21	the older geological maps, it was it
22	was mapped as all limestone and rocks
23	similar to limestone. When we got out
24	there and actually drilled our wells, we
25	found that it was different. And we ran a

seismic survey through there, and there 1 2 is -- there's basically two types of 3 There is the Wissahickon shifts that's further to the south. 4 It --5 there's a rust fault that runs up there. And so there is the dolomite limestone and 6 7 then, there's shifts in the same area. some of the wells up there are in shifts, 8 9 and some of them are in limestone. 10 there's really two types. MS. LORD: Yes? 11 12 MR. MILLER: My name is Craig Miller. 13 This low-permeability cap you're talking 14 about, in certain terms, is that a parking 15 lot? 16 MS. LORD: No. It said in here 17 MR. MILLER: "asphalt." 18 19 In our proposed plan? MS. LORD: 20 MR. MILLER: Yeah. I know I saw that. 21 MS. LORD: What our proposed plan says 22 is that it will be a low-permeability, multimedia cap. It's under S4, which is 23 on page 13 -- or in mine, it's on page 24 The -- the low-permeability cap is 25

1 usually a series of different liners. It's a low-permeability clay and sand and 2 3 then a geotextile liner, and a series of 4 liners to make sure that the permeability 5 of the cap is a lot lower than the permeability of the soils and --6 7 beneath -- beneath the cap. What this 8 says is that an asphalt cap could be added 9 in the future. MR. MILLER: It could be used as a 10 parking lot? 11 It could be used as a 12 MS. LORD: parking lot, but the parking lot would 13 have to meet the same low permeability 14 15 standards. 16 MR. MILLER: So if O'Neil develops 17 this property, their parking lot will be 18 on top of the crater? MS. LORD: If -- if they developed it 19 20 in a way that went along with our remedy 21 and what we wanted them to do and meet all 22 the standards that we set forth in the Record of Decision. 23 Wouldn't it make sense 24 MR. MILLER: for them to like move forward with their 25

development? 1 MS. LORD: This -- it's not an EPA 2 decision. That is -- that's not an EPA 3 decision. That's between O'Neil and the 4 5 township. Yes? 6 7 MS. ZUEHL: My name's Connie Zuehl --8 Z-U-E-H-L. And I'm on the EAP Council. 9 Would be explain how you would get back to (inaudible) and the water for the clean --10 11 (Court reporter informs speaker that they must speak up). 12 13 MS. LORD: You mean how we would do 14 what we propose to do? 15 MS. ZUEHL: Yes. 16 MS. LORD: I can tell you briefly we 17 have a whole design phase that we need to 18 go in to determine that and also, I'm not a construction expert; so, I can't give 19 20 you the specifics of how to do that. 21 not exactly really sure what you want me to say. You mean how would we --22 23 (Court reporter informs speakers that only one person may speak at a time). 24 MS. LORD: Oh, I don't know. 25

would be determined in the design. You would likely use access roads that are still -- the former access roads, I'm assuming. It would be a lot easier to use those. There's old access roads that used to -- that specifically ran to Quarry 3, but there's one that kind of runs through the site. So we would probably use those, you know, depending on what's there at the time.

MS. ZUEHL: Okay. Next, I had talked to you at a previous meeting about the population study, and I would agree that that needs to be done (inaudible) -- (Court reporter informs speaker that they must speak up).

MS. ZUEHL: And I agree with the extension, maybe not a 90-day -- longer period to comment, but right now -- and I understand you have rules that you have 30 days, but two weeks have already gone by. And I also understand that you have meetings, but for some of these people, this is their first meeting, and I think that maybe (inaudible) --

(Court reporter informs speakers that only one person may speak at a time).

MS. LORD: Right. We will consider it. But I'd also like to say, we have this meeting in the middle of the comment period because we put the -- the proposed plan into the administrative record, and we sent a copy to the township. And it was on our web site. So we also wanted to give people a chance to read it before we have a meeting. That's why we don't have the meeting right away. But we will consider extending it.

MS. ZUEHL: And with the contamination on the property -- 'cause other people have seen more contamination than obviously the surface levels and away from the immediate property, with the proposed owners -- or owners of the land, do they notify you that now that they -- now they know they have a problem, or they didn't know it, but now they know it?

MS. LORD: Yes, they have notified us. In both cases, Liberty and O'Neil, when they have found contamination at the

1.

site, they have notified us. 1 2 SPEAKER: Okay. Thank you. 3 MS. LORD: Yes? MS. OBER: My name's Barbara Ober. 4 Τ 5 live in Swedeland. Last September, we had one of those storms, and it was a beauty. 6 7 (Court reporter informs speaker that they 8 must speak up). 9 MS. OBER: It was a beauty. water ran from where you're saying, down 10 the trail into those homes those homes 11 12 down there that were right where the new 13 bridge is. Not one home, several homes 14 were hit. Now, two days later, after the 15 water had receded -- the gentleman that was sitting here about the smell -- that 16 17 smell was there. They closed both exits 18 of Glen Hill Road. Later that morning, I saw three men in metallic suits, covered 19 20 from head to toe. Can you tell me what 21 they were doing down there if there was 22 nothing there? 23 MS. LORD: I can say that we haven't said there's nothing there. I said that 24 there's no site-related -- nothing that we 25

know is related to the site there except possibly the pipeline. I don't know what those men were doing there 'cause I wasn't aware that that happened.

MS. OBER: They were there every day because the police closed the road.

MS. LORD: That would be something that the township would know. That -- that's -- EPA did not do that. But I'd also like to say I think what you're probably asking is -- did contamination from the site get carried by that water down into -- into --

MS. OBER: That smell --

MS. LORD: That would be something the township would know. That's not -- EPA did not do that. But I'd also like to say I think what you're probably asking is, did contamination from the site go down into --

MS. OBER: When they came out of the pit -- that smell, you don't distinguish that smell from gas or oil. All right. Everybody thought it was gas and oil flowing down. No, it wasn't.

1		MS. LORD: I I'm not saying it
2	·	wasn't. And it could be from other
3	. 4.	places. I don't think it could be from
4		Quarry 3 because Quarry is about 65 feet
5		below the surface. So the contamination
6		of Quarry 3 would have had to fill up with
7		water, take the contamination and then
8		flow down. But there is other
9		contamination there might be other
10		contamination, not from the site, but
11		there might be other areas that, you know,
12		are related to the Crater Resources
13	٠ ـــ.	superfund. I can't tell you if that is
14		the case.
15		MS. OBER: Well, if you know there is
16		contamination, then why would you allow
17		anybody to put a building anywhere around
18		it?
19		MS. LORD: We have not told anyone
20		that. We can't we do not make that
21	·	decision.
22		MS. OBER: Isn't it in the law or
23	· ".	(Court reporter informs speakers that
24		only one person may speak at a time).
25		MS. LORD: It is not in the law. The

1 township makes that decision. It is not 2 our property, and we cannot make that 3 decision. 4 MS. OBER: So, in other words, you can't tell them don't let them build? 5 6 MS. LORD: No. We have kept the 7 township aware of what is there, and we have talked to them. But EPA cannot make 8 9 that decision. 10 MS. OBER: Well, the problem I'm 11 having is tell me who is responsible for 12 these home if something should happen 13 around these homes to be built. 14 MS. LORD: But this is --15 MS. OBER: I'm not going --16 MS. LORD: Right. But this is -- this 17 is not something that the EPA can do. 18 MS. OBER: Can't you recommend 1.9 though? Can't you? We have talked to the 20 MS. LORD: Environmental Advisory Council on a few 21 22 occasions. We've talked to the township 23 supervisors. They are aware of what is 24 We couldn't make any there. 25 recommendation until we had our proposed

1	
1	plan released. Now, we have our proposed
2	plan released, and we said that the
3	township can make a recommendation based
4	on what we have there if they think it is
5	something you know, if the buildings
6	can go up with what we presented for our
7	remedy at the site. But it is not our
. 8	decision.
9	MS. OBER: But you can recommend,
10	can't you.
11	MS. LORD: I don't know, can we
12	recommend?
13	MR. RUNDELL: (Moved head from side to
14	side).
15	MS. LORD: No. It is not our
16	authority to recommend. It is a
17	development of a township is between the
18	township and the developers and the
19	residents. That is not an EPA decision.
20	EPA has kept everyone aware of what is
21	there. The township knows.
22	Yes?
23	MR. LONCHAR: I think I started this
24	crap along time ago when it ran out of the
25	pit, when we had the hurricane. Hurricane
	l ·

Floyd made it all run down Swedeland. 1 2 I know, you know. I know the smell. Ι 3 lived there all my life. From the developer having the ground now for ten 4 years or more, knowing the problem for ten 5 years or more, okay, knowing he -- and I 6 7 don't have a problem with the developer buying a pig in a poke, and I don't have a 8 problem with him having a clean piece of 9 ground that he says is clean. I have the 10 11 problem with the stuff getting out of it. It's not as if it was a 12 It's a volcano. chemical scene. It's a volcano. When it 13 fills up with water, it runs out. 14 15 Nobody's telling me how they're doing it. 16 Now, all of a sudden, the EPA is telling me it's the township's problem, after ten 17 years, that it's not the developer's 18 problem anymore. It's the township's 19 problem because your someone is saying you 20 should take the P out of protection 21 because that's not what you do. 22 MS. LORD: Let me -- let me. 23 24 MR. LONCHAR: You don't -- you don't -- I dealt with the DEP. 25

know what the guy told me when they were -- wanted to dig 200 feet more in a quarry, he says, well, the further they go down, the further away it'll be from your house. That's what he told me. Now -- but, you know, all of a sudden, and I'm hearing you tell me that we informed the township and it's up to the township now. It's not up to the developer that had been sitting on it for ten years. No, you don't understand. I'm a taxpayer here, and I don't want my township paying for this developer's problem.

MS. LORD: Well, let me address -MR. LONCHAR: If something goes
wrong -- no -- if something goes wrong -first of all, I don't think they told any
employee that works in that neighborhood
or the people that live there that came in
that didn't know about it, that it was
there. There's a lot of people in Hughes
Park that never knew there was a pit
there. And as long as they didn't let
their dog get loose, it didn't get killed

1		in there. They never knew it was there.
2		So, right off the bat, there was no
3		declaration of yeah, we got a love canal.
4		(Laughter)
5		MR. LONCHAR: Nobody said that, you
6		know. Now, there's a meeting Thursday.
7		There's a meeting. And I don't know how
8	· 🚣	the EPA is going to get involved.
9		MS. LORD: The EPA
10		MR. LONCHAR: But I would like the EPA
11		to get some type of take the P and make
12		protection back in it.
13		MS. LORD: Well let me
14		MR. LONCHAR: Come to come to the
15		hearing Thursday, have a representative
16		from the EPA there, not just tell our
17		environmental board and have them there.
18	<u> </u>	I don't like this passing the buck crap.
19		I did it with the DEP, and I don't like
20		doing it with the EPA.
21		MS. LORD: Let me tell you, you're not
22		doing it with the EPA. The entire reason
23		we're having this meeting is to tell you
24		how we're proposing to clean up this
25		site. So I am not saying that we're not

24

25

going to deal with the contamination. The whole first part of this meeting was me telling you that we want to remove everything in Quarry 3, which you referred to as the pit, and then prevent groundwater from getting into the other quarry areas to take the contamination away. I also did not say it was only the township's problem. It is also the developer's problem. But it is not EPA authority to make a decision on that. have done what we can to keep the township and the developer apprised of what is going on here, but we can't make a decision on that. But I also want to, once again reiterate the reason that we're here is to show you how we are proposing to clean up the site. I am not here to say this is what we have, and it's just going to stay here. We are here to tell you that this is how we want to clean up the site. And part of what we are proposing is to remove all the contamination in Quarry 3, which is what you referred to as the pit.

1 MR. LONCHAR: And that is fine and 2 I -- I wanted -- it should have 3 been cleaned up ten years ago when it was declared a superfund site. But in the 4 meantime, we're having that hearing on --5 on the property. And this -- this 6 7 continues to the 29th, this Thursday. 8 Okav? Now, the township has to let these people know, oh, is it safe or not safe to 9 10 build there on Thursday if they -- if they decide to do it on Thursday, or the 11 12 hearing could go another month. I don't 13 know how long the hearings are going to 14 But, you know, you're talking maybe a 15 year before you even decide what you're 16 going to do here. 17 MS. LORD: No. We have --18 MR. LONCHAR: Did you say 2001? 19 MS. LORD: We have proposed this. 20 When the comment period is over, we will 21 issue the Record of Decision, which is the 22 decision of how we are going to clean this 23 up. 24 MR. LONCHAR: Okay. But now --25 MS. LORD: So it's not going to be a

1 |

year.

23

24

25

MR. LONCHAR: What's that going to do, you know, to -- to the township as far as -- the -- if they say no, you can't build here, okay, and Mr. O'Neil says, well, my ground's clean. If he goes to the courthouse; the courthouse goes this ground's clean; you got to give it to We've been down that road a hundred him. times here in this township. And this is what I want to know, how -- what's going to happen Thursday? I don't know what's going to happen Thursday. But I don't like the idea, you know, our supervisors, after ten years, have to make the decision on whether the ground is clean or it's not clean or can he build or can't he build?

MS. LORD: That's --

MR. LONCHAR: Because of -- because of this site that they had -- that they sat on for ten years or more. You know, why is it in our park now? I mean, it shouldn't be in the taxpayer's ballpark. I mean, that's crazy.

MS. LORD: Well, that's how it --

that's how it --

MR. LONCHAR: No, I understand where you're coming from.

MS. LORD: We have done what we can to let everyone know what is there. We have reports in the township building. They know. It is not EPA's authority to make that decision. It's -- it's not going to be -- it's not EPA's authority. We can't make that decision. All we can do is keep everyone aware of what is here.

MR. LONCHAR: Okay. I mean, but you have a liability factor that's somebody's going to be liable, and I don't want my township being liable for it. I just don't. As a taxpayer, I don't think I should have to pay for Mary Jane's kid that fell in the pit or Mary Jane breathed the air from it and got cancer. And now, because I said — our township said, go ahead and build there, you know.

MS. LORD: The township knows what is there. We have done what we can to keep them aware of what is there. When O'Neil found a part of a pipeline and

contamination, they went and sampled it 1 2 and they will remove it before they build 3 there. Everyone is trying to make sure 4 that that area is clean. But it is not 5 EPA's decision. We can't make that 6 decision on whatever properties are going 7 to be built. MR. LONCHAR: Well, that -- that -- I 8 9 don't have a problem with them building. I don't have a problem with them building 10 anything here. 11 12 MS. LORD: Uh-huh. 13 I just want it cleaned MR. LONCHAR: 14 up. 15 MS. LORD: And that's why we are here 16 to --17 MR. LONCHAR: But I don't want them 18 building on something that can't be 19 cleaned up, either. You know, and I don't 20 want them to just go ahead and build and 21 then, two years from now, they're going to 22 say, well, you know, we'll get around to 23 clean this all up. MS. LORD: They know what our plan --24 what our proposed plan is. The township 25

knows what our proposed plan is, and I'm 2 sure that they will act within our proposed plan or -- if they do anything that would make them liable, that would be 5 dumb on their part. I don't think they're 6 going to do that. 7 MR. LONCHAR: So, basically, the EPA 8 is saying, as the DEP is, it's just an 9 informational body, it can't -- it doesn't have any --10 11 MS. LORD: The EPA has overseen this 12 investigation for ten years, and now we 13 are proposing a cleanup. You can have your own opinions, but I'm going to ask 14 15 someone else to move on. MRS. GARVEY: Mrs. Garvey --16 G-A-R-V-E-Y. I've lived on Crooked Lane 17 34 years. And you mentioned earlier, were 18 traces of contaminants found in our local 19 20 reservoir? You said that? 21 MS. LORD: (Moved head up and down). 22 Uh-huh. Okay. So -- and we have 23 MRS. GARVEY: 21 water -- piped in water; so, it shouldn't be a problem. But the problem is when you

1 get flooded all the time in Hughes Park, 2 like I said. I believe that runoff, if it 3 travelled as far as the reservoir, is it 4 traveling through our homes? I think the 5 Hughes Park area, the ground should be 6 tested in our area. If it can go as far 7 as the reservoir, certainly, it could come 8 through Coppermill Station, right through 9 the Hughes Park area. Our ground should 10 be tested. 11 MS. LORD: The contaminants that were 12 found in the reservoir, that was in the '70s, and I -- I can't tell you if it was 13 14 conclusive if -- if it was from the site. 15 That is just the reason why we started 16 looking at the site. We started looking in the area to see, but --17 MRS. GARVEY: I was told -- I was told 18 19 it was from the site, traces. They traced 20 it back the underground lines and right 21 back into the acid pit area. 22 MS. LORD: I don't know if that -- if 23 the --MR. RUNDELL: I think they did find 24 it -- I think there was contamination 25

1 found in the reservoir and they looked in 2 the areas and found some other superfund 3 sites. And I think there was a monitoring well --4 5 (Court reporter informs speakers that 6 only one person may speak at a time). 7 MS. LORD: There's --But I don't think it was 8 MR. RUNDELL: 9 the site-related contaminants from the -they had a separate problem. One -- the 10 11 primary goals of our investigation was to determine whether -- if that groundwater 12 contamination from the site was heading 13 14 toward or going to the Upper Merion Reservoir. That's our main waterline. 15 16 And we didn't find that to be the case. 17 We were very concerned about that at the 18 very beginning. MS. LORD: But I'd also like to 19 reiterate that we do have a contract with 20 21 the Agency for Toxic Substances and 22 Disease Registry to do another health 23 assessment of the area. So they are going

to do that.

24

25

1 MS. LECHTER: I had another question. 2 I had another question about your report. 3 On page 5, you refer to (inaudible) (Court reporter informs speaker that 4 5 they must speak up). MS. LORD: Yeah. 6 7 MS. LECHTER: Do you have that? 8 also, I guess the one thing that concerns me from what I've heard tonight is with 9 what degree of certainty do you know where 10 11 the site was and the pipeline and where were they removed and where were they 12 13 ruptured? MS. LORD: That is why we have that 14 proposed, to continue investigating it. 15 16 We know where the route was from the Alan Wood Steel plant to the site, but as far 17 18 as the EPA knows, we don't think the 19 entire pipeline has ever been investigated. And that's what we are 20 calling for. 21 MS. LECHTER: Okay. So I guess my 22 follow-up question to that is how do you 23 come up with a remedial plan and ask 24 people to comment on it if we're not sure, 25

you know, of the totality of the 1 2 situation? MS. LORD: Well, that's the whole 3 reason we put it in there is because we 4 5 don't know the totality of the situation. 6 If we had known it, we would have --7 MS. LECHTER: And then how do you --8 MS. LORD: -- would have made a determination. 9 Shouldn't there then 10 MS. LECHTER: 11 perhaps be more investigation before you 12 ask people to comment and make -- really 13 make a decision that may affect people's lives? Shouldn't you then be doing more 14 15 to get to the totality or as close to the 16 totality as you can? 17 MS. HUBBARD: Are you talking about 18 just the pipeline, or are you saying that none of the action should occur until the 19 20 pipeline --MS. LECHTER: Well, what I'm saying is 21 22 it seems resoundingly clear that the 23 totality of the pipeline is not known. And that would seem to me to be ground 24 25 If you know the pipeline was

- 1		•
1		transmitting this stuff, find it. I mean,
2	· 🚉	that how can you
3		MS. LORD: Well
4		MS. LECHTER: I mean you're going to
5		know pretty much the contamination once
6		you find the entire pipeline. So it would
7		seem to me you don't have to guess, you
8		don't have to wonder. Find the pipeline
9		and then test along the pipeline.
10		MS. HUBBARD: And that's what we're
11		proposing to do.
12	·	MS. LORD: And I can tell you that
13		this has been the site the remedial
14		investigation was paid for and sponsored
15		by the responsible parties and then EPA
16	·	oversaw that.
17		MS. LECHTER: What does that mean
18		though?
19		MS. LORD: I
20		MS. LECHTER: What does that mean if
21		we're
22		MS. LORD: I
23		(Court reporter informs speakers that only
24		one person may speak at a time).
25		MS. LECHTER: The pipeline since
	1	

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

90 -- 89 and 90, ten years after you thought you knew where the site was, how responsible were the responsible parties?

Well, I -- I was going to MS. LORD: tell you that. They originally proposed to EPA that that pipeline was not there anymore, that it had been entirely We have gone back and forth with removed. them over whether or not it has been removed or if it hasn't. And when we started finding sections of it through different areas, we realized that parts of the pipeline were still there, and that needed to be investigated. That's why that whole portion was not included in all The other reason is of those reports. when the site was first identified, it was identified as these quarries, because as far as we knew, in the beginning, it -the quarries are where the contamination Then we started to look further existed. and saw that maybe the pipeline was also part of the site. That's why we didn't start from the pipeline. We started from the quarries and worked back. That's why

we're requesting now that the pipeline be investigated further.

MS. LECHTER: Okay. So I guess what I'm saying, how can you make a decision until you know how the pipeline --

MS. LORD: That's why we haven't made a decision. We've made a decision -- we offered a decision on the -- on the quarries, but we still need to look into the pipeline.

MR. RUNDELL: As far as the pipeline goes, we have investigated parts of it.

We know what the contaminant was that was transported in the pipeline. We know what levels constitute a risk. And so, we have a map to show where the pipeline went.

And you can walk -- you can basically walk it, and you can see the trail where the pipeline, at one point, was. So what we are proposing to do is we go basically out there with a backhoe and dig and see if the pipeline is there. If it is there, take samples of the soil, determine if those left a soil concentration that poses a risk. If they pose a risk, we'll take

it off the dirt. 1 2 MS. LECHTER: Have you investigated 3 the areas the other people are talking about where they have seen the coal and 4 5 they have smelled the smells that they can 6 identify, and have seen discolored earth? 7 Has that been investigated? MR. RUNDELL: The comments earlier 8 where they smelled the smells when it--9 MS. LECHTER: When the --10 MR. RUNDELL: -- rains, they smell the 11 12 smells, down near --13 (Court reporter informs speakers that only 14 one person may speak at a time). 15 MR. RUNDELL: Depending where the pipeline goes, that's the area we'll be 16 looking to see if there's more 17 contamination that's left in place along 18 19 that pipeline. They've been talking 20 MS. LECHTER: about this for a long time. It would seem 21 to me that that was an area that should be 22 23 investigated as an ancillary problem. And that's what we're 24 MR. RUNDELL: saying we're going to do. And we've been 25

1 discussing this for years, trying to get 2 people to do that. 3 MS. LORD: And to answer your first question about the figures, I -- I don't 4 5 know why they were not included in that version of the proposed plan. Figure 1 is 6 7 just where the site location was, where I 8 showed you in the first slide. 9 MS. LECHTER: Do you have a handout we could have? 10 11 MS. LORD: Not right now, I don't, but 12 that -- that's Figure 1 (indicating). 13 Bill, do you have a copy of the 14 proposed plan? 15 MR. HUDSON: That has the map? 16 MS. LORD: Yeah. Let me check. 17 MR. HUDSON: MS. LORD: Figure 2 is basically just 18 19 this map. It's the one I had up before 20 with the -- the locations and... 21 Figure 3 is just where the monitoring 22 wells are located. 23 But I also want to say that the proposed plan is on the web site. We'll 24 25 get back to you on how we can get you the

figures. I don't know why they were left out of the proposed plan.

MS. LECHTER: Okay. I would request that as a part of the remedial action, you investigate what the citizens here have told you tonight, the real problems they're having with their homes.

I have. MS. LORD: I have. I said that we have -- I said that we're having ATSDR -- that agency is going to do a health assessment report of the I also know that the surrounding area. people from the Department of Health have been at other public availability sessions and were interested in it. But I just want to say when EPA was doing our remedial investigation, we were concentrating on the Crater Resources This meeting was to discuss the site. Crater Resources site. And we weren't aware of these other things that were going on until, you know, we started coming out and talking to the public.

Yes?

MR. LUCHIE: Lewis Luchie --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

1		L-U-C-H-I-E, a member of the Environmental
2	· w.	Advisory Council. I heard you mention
3		ATSDR. They've been there before. Do you
4		have do have a report at the present
5		time?
6		MS. LORD: They already did a
7		preliminary report in the early '90s.
8		That is on our web site.
9		Now, we're going to have them come
10		back and do another report with the
11		information that we have now.
12	. 🕻	MR. LUCHIE: Okay. Has this been made
13		available to the township?
14		MS. LORD: The first the
15	,	preliminary report?
16		MR. LUCHIE: Yes.
17		MS. LORD: Yes. It's in the
18		administrative record. It's also on our
19		web site. We've had it for a long time.
20		Yes?
21		MR. STELOR: Let's just correct
22	.	we're talking about two culverts. There's
23		two different culverts. There's one where
24		the pipeline used to run. There was a new
25		culvert put in there.
	1	

MS. LORD: The Flint Hill Road. 1 2 Has the township been made aware of the smell? MR. STELOR: I don't know. They might .1 be confused because a creek on this side 5 and a creek on this side (indicating). 6 7 And for years, we have a problem with water on one side, and Liberty fixed it. 8 9 Now, we'd like them to fix the other side where the pit ran down the last time. 10 That's where the smell is coming from. 11 It's -- it's actually on the east side. 12 13 MS. LORD: So, it's not the --MR. STELOR: It's not where the 14 15 pipeline was. It's -- it's where the 16 water came down in Floyd. 17 MS. LORD: Okay. MR. STELOR: That's where the smell 18 19 basically is. 20 MS. LORD: Has the township been made aware of that -- of that smell in that 21 22 area. 23 MR. STELOR: I don't know if they're They might be confused because 24 confused. that house (inaudible) --

1	(Court reporter informs speakers that
2	only one person may speak at a time.)
3	MR. STELOR: If they'd go in that
4	
	house, they'd probably find a lot of good
5	stuff in there.
6	MS. LORD: Okay.
7	MR. MANCINI: John Mancini, Crooked
8	Lane. How long did Liberty how long
9	did they work on that area before they
10	contacted the EPA?
11	MS. LORD: On the pipeline?
12	MR. MANCINI: Well, you said
13	MS. LORD: You mean the
14	MR. MANCINI: You said that O'Neil and
15	Liberty contacted the EPA. Well, how long
16	were they working on that site before they
17	did that?
18	MS. LORD: I don't know if I can give
19	you a specific year. But when they came
20	across the pipeline on their properties,
21	they reported to EPA that they found it.
22	MR. MANCINI: Is is the dust
23	contaminated also because there's
24	construction vehicles?
25	MS. LORD: No. As far as we know,

nothing that has gone on on the site has 1 stirred up any of the contamination. 2 Liberty did their construction, they just 3 added soil to the area. They never dug 4 5 down into the quarry. So, as far as we 6 know, none of the contamination has been 7 disturbed. 8 MR. MANCINI: Well, that soil is contaminated, and there's construction 9 vehicles going back and forth and the 10 11 dust. I mean, it's contaminated soil. 12 MS. LORD: But there -- the majority 13 of the contaminated soil is within the 14 quarry, and they'd have to dig down into the quarry to hit that contamination and 15 16 then bring it into the air. The soil they 17 were driving on, as far as we know, was clean. 18 19 MR. HUDSON: We only have about four minutes left. Can I get an idea how many 20 more people have questions? Just two. Sc 21 22 if we could just give a couple minutes 23 apiece. 24 MS. LORD: Okay. Go ahead. Has my 90-day extension 25 MR. KIEL:

j		
1	•	been granted?
2	• •, •	MS. LORD: We're going to consider
. 3		it. We'll let you know. 30? Okay.
4		Automatically, now, we can we'll give
5		you 60 from June 16th. So the end of the
6		comment period would be August 15th.
7		MR. KIEL: It will be extended?
8		MS. LORD: To August 15th, for now.
9		We'll consider additional time.
.10		Yeah? Okay. Go ahead.
11		MR. FISHER: Yes. Howard Fisher
12	. 4.	F-I-S-H-E-R. The quarry did I hear you
13		correctly when you said the quarry is 65
14		feet deep?
15	·	MS. LORD: It goes up on it's western
16		end. It goes down to depths of 65.
17	.•	MR. FISHER: Down 65 feet. And the
18		quarry is contaminated down to a level of
19		65 feet is what you're assuming?
20		MS. LORD: The quarry is still open.
21		It's an open area. So the depths that we
22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	quoted are from the top of the quarry.
23		MR. FISHER: And the contamination is
24		at least 65 feet; so, you know, there's 65
25		feet there.

1 MS. LORD: Well, there's air 2 (indicating). It --3 MR. FISHER: Yeah. I understand. MS. LORD: And then -- then the 4 contamination is below that. 5 MR. FISHER: At 65 feet, that 6 7 contamination and it filling up with water and it forcing itself out into the layers 8 of rock, is there any idea other than the 9 map you showed earlier of what that 10 contamination level is away from the 11 12 quarry, other than what I saw, the black 13 dots going the other way, coming toward Crooked Lane? 14 15 MS. LORD: You mean has the 16 contamination in the quarry migrated? 17 MR. FISHER: Migrated toward Crooked Lane. 18 MS. LORD: In the soils? 19 20 MR. FISHER: In the soil, at 65 feet, 21 70 feet, 80 feet, 85 feet. There is a lot 22 of water in this area. I don't -- the one thing I -- you know, I heard people talk 23 about the water. I don't think you're 24 understanding how much water is on top of 25

that hill. 1 2 MS. LORD: Has runoff, yes, we've 3 heard. 4 MR. FISHER: And then, you know, you 5 said, you walk around, and you think 6 you're on top of a hill, you think you're 7 in a flood a lot of times. Before the 8 Coopermill Station was built, that was a 9 swamp. It was all water back there. And I've only lived here 20 years, and I've 10 never been back in the last year to see 11 12 that area. Other people have been here 30, 40 years. That water does migrate --13 14 must migrate. It can't just stay there. 15 It comes out of the ground in gushers in 16 some places. 17 MS. LORD: So, your question is do we 18 know --19 MR. FISHER: My question is --20 MS. LORD: -- the soil contamination --21 22 MR. FISHER: Are you aware of the magnitude of water and the course in which 23 it carries, the migratory patterns of it? 24 And the second part of my question is you 25

1		said that the EPA has no authority to
2		dictate to the township for the builders,
3		which I understand. You also said that
4		you're recommending the cleanup, that
5		they, meaning the builder who would be
6		liable to defer with your approved clean
7	·	up plan; is that correct?
8		MS. LORD: If they did something that
9		did not go along with our plan:
10		MR. FISHER: If they didn't follow
11		your recommendations on the cleanup?
12		MS. LORD: Right.
13		MR. FISHER: Now, the word "clean
14		up"
15		MS. LORD: It's not our
16	,	recommendation our decision on the
17		cleanup
18		(Court reporter informs speakers that
19	<u> </u>	only one person may speak at a time.)
20	,	MR. FISHER: The word "cleanup" does
21		that mean and I've heard you say
22		"cleanup" several times, and I've also
23		heard you say take it away. And in
24		reference to taking away, does that mean
25		that you're going to go in there or

1	•	recommend they go in there, that that
2		entire area is dug out, the land is
3		removed and move from the site.
4		MS. LORD: Which area? The area where
5		they're building?
6		MR. FISHER: Any contaminated area.
7		MS. LORD: That was what my first part
8		of the presentation was. Were you here
9		for the presentation?
10		MR. FISHER: No, I wasn't. I'm
11		sorry. But it's just a simple yes or no
12		question.
13		MS. LORD: Our recommendation is to
14		remove all of the contamination at Quarry
15		3 and take it off site.
16		MR. FISHER: Okay.
17		MS. LORD: And dispose of it properly
18		or recycle it. Then to cover these areas
19		(indicating) and other affected soils with
20		a low-permeability cap
21		MR. FISHER: Yes. I heard that part
22	· <u>.</u> .	about the
23		MS. LORD: to make sure That the
24		surface water and other water doesn't
25		infiltrate down and leach it into the
	}	

1 groundwater. So your answer to this area 2 is we did not recommend that these areas 3 That's the answer to your be removed. 4 question. 5 MR. FISHER: Those areas are not 6 recommended to be removed; Quarry 3 is 7 recommended to be removed? 8 MS. LORD: Yes. 9 MR. FISHER: And all contamination in 10 Quarry 3? 11 MS. LORD: Yes. Down to the -- the 12 cleanup level that we specify. 13 MR. FISHER: One final piece to my 14 Is the cleanup transportation question: and movement of all of that material -- is 15 16 there a -- any basis for a plan as far as 17 the design as to where it's going to be moved and what neighborhood it's going to 18 19 be moved through? MS. LORD: No, we haven't put that 20 21 into the cleanup plan. It depends on 22 where the -- the landfill or recycling center that we take it to is located, 23 24 where we're going to move it through. But I can also say that, you know, there's 25

1 protocols that go along with moving 2 contaminated soil. It's just not going to 3 be thrown onto a truck so it can fallout 4 into the -- into the air. But that's to 5 be determined in the design. 6 MR. FISHER: And I would like to 7 recommend that you -- when this site comes to that time where it's removed to be 8 9 cleaned up, that you establish a 10 communication between the neighborhoods 11 that surround it and yourselves that 12 you're going to monitor this for the daily 13 complaints that might happen, that 14 probably will happen. MS. LORD: 15 Yes. We usually put that 16 in our design. 17 We did promise one more. MR. HUDSON: UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 18 Unfortunately, it's multipart. It says in the newsletter 19 20 that the contract was (inaudible) --21 (Court reporter informs speaker that 22 they must speak up.) .MS. LORD: On the property that they 23 24 owned? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right. 25 Do you

1	
1	know it says in the newsletter that the
2	contractor hired by Liberty removed the
3	contaminated underground piping and
4	associated soils. Do you know
5	MS. LORD: On the property that they
6	 owned?
7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right. Do you
8	know where they put it?
9	MS. LORD: Where they disposed of it?
10	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
11	MS. LORD: I think part of it is still
12	sitting in the area of the site in drums.
13	But they have to dispose of it properly
14	with our protocols. If they don't, you
15	know, they're held liable for it.
16	 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can I ask one
17	quick question?
18	MR. HUDSON: Yes.
19	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is there an
20	approved repository for those types of
21	contaminants? (Inaudible).
22	(Court reporter informs speaker that
23	they must speak up).
24	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: When it came
25	time to remove it, there was no proper

1 repository in the United States that would 2 take it. MS. LORD: For that -- for that level 3 of soil that we have, yes. 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is there a --MS. LORD: For there, yeah. 6 7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Secondly, for 8 the coal tars that are there, has there 9 been a remediation plan with other sites? What do we know with the coal tar residue 10 that the gentleman was talking about 11 12 today? How have you cleaned up other 13 sites that have coal tar like that? 14 MS. LORD: I don't know the answer to 15 that question. I'm not responsible for 16 all of them. But I can get the 17 information for you for you'd like. 18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. CLOSING REMARKS 19 20 MR. HUDSON: Thank you very much for 21 coming. If you do have unanswered concerns, we will try to accommodate them 22 23 after. Thank you very much. (Whereupon, the proceedings concluded 24 25 at or about 9:07 p.m.)

7 | 8

4 5

CERTIFICATE

I, James O. Moyer, III, Court Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby certify that I was present and did stenographically record the Public Hearing to Announce the Environmental Protection Agency's Proposed Remedial Action Plan for the Crater Resources Superfund Site in Upper Merion Township, on Tuesday, June 27, 2000, and, thereafter, the same was transcribed by means of computer-aided transcription under my personal direction, and that this transcript constitutes a

DATED THIS 18th day of July 2000.

true record of the proceedings at said hearing.

NOTARIAL SEAL James O. Moyer III, Notary Public Sadsbury Twp, Chester County My commission expires Nov. 3, 2003 James O. Moyer, III
Court Reporter.
Notary Public
Commission Expires:

11/3/03

	ER MERION TOWNSHIP PUBL		27/00
\$	8	1 13:18 16:17 35:14 49:22 115:	
10 700 000 10:01			assuming (2) 97:4 127:19
512,702,000 (1) 18:21 55,000 (2) 73:20 75:5			asterisk (1) 39:20
			asterisks (2) 38:25 39:7
9 000 m 74:5 00 76:4	85 (t) 128:21	already (5) 25:22,23 58:9 97:21	atsdr (3) 83:6 122:10 123:3
8,000 (3) 71:5,23 76:4	89 m 118:1	123:6	attended in 61:17
1	9		attenuating in 17:16
1281 7:11,21 8:6 9:23 10:6 11:5			attenuation (5) 17:11,14 18:2
2:22 17:11,21 0:0 3:23 10:0 11:3	9 (2) 5.9, 12 00 (c) 44:16 04 45:47 72:44		23:3,25
2:22 17:3 34:2 35:19 36:1 41:	118:1,1	15:1,6,6,14,15,16,19,23 16:2,	attributed (1) 46:17
	90-day 141 73:10,11 97:18 126:		august (2) 127;6,8
5,22 121:6,12			authority isi 103:16 107:11
0 (2) 15:8,12	90s (2) 83:9 123:7	aluminum ni 38:10	110:7,9 130:1
3 (2) 94:24,25	9:07 m 135:25	amazingiy to 55:5	automatically in 127:4
5 m 24:1	9.07 117 135.25	ammonia (11) 6:25 7:13,17 10:2,	availability (4) 69:19 74:4 82
5th (2) 127:6,8	$lackbox{\Delta}$	16,25 12:7 13:17 16:16 19:2	122:14
6th 121 19:12 127:5	-ble 40 47:05 00:40 64:47 05:	35:12	available 121 62:20 123:13
7 m 16:4	able (4) 17:25 26:19 64:17 85:	amount 121 37:15 38:19	avenue (5) 42:15,23 43:11,18
	14	amounts ເນ 42:10	80:15
7th 👊 19:16	above til 62:17 absolutely (4) 37:1,11,16 58:5	analysis 121 38:6 76:23	average m 13:5
916 m 62:3	l	ancillary (n 120:23	aware (22) 27:13,18 31:24 34
918 m 6:23	8CC433 (6151:19 (V:25 61.4 3).	andrea (5) 4:16 5:14,18 68:1	51:8,21 52:12 63:19 64:8 83:
960s 121 10:6.19	[2,3,3	74:6	86:7 87:11 100:4 102:7,23 10
980 (2) 7:1 24:15	accidental m 31:2	announce (3) 1:5.11 136:8	20 110:11,24 122:21 124:2,2
981 (t) 6:24	accommodate in 135.22	annual ni 18:12	129:22
992 m 8:21	accomplishing (1) 29:21	another (10) 8:2 17:20 31:5 83:	away (15) 32:9,10 37:24 39:1
994 121 9:3,10	accordance () 85:21	11 105:1 108:12 114:22 115:1,	42:21 47:17 48:16 75:10 98:
999 121 9:11,12	accurate (1) 64: 13 acid (1) 113:21	2 123:10	17 105:5 107:8 128:11 130:2
	acre (1 10:14	answer (10) 5:4 35:6 48:20 62:	24.
2	acres (2) 9:25 10:23	22 71:24 72:25 121:3 132:1,3	awhile (1) 86:13
	across (15) 8:22 25:14 31:10,16	135:14	В
	つりょう えりょうり たん・た ロ たん・イブ ウイ ピフ・・	answering ຫຼ75:14	
2:15 78:11 84:8 85:23 121:18	14 58:19 88:2,25 125:20	answers in 64:17	b-u-a-r-d (1) 40:13
	act (1) 112:2	anthony to 2:10	back 1251 8:6 17:22 22:24 33
0 (3) 43.23 47.18,23 33.1 (23.1	action to 1:6,13 27:20 116:19	anybody in 101:17	49:22 67:11 72:7,15 84:1 85:
00 121 13:8 105:3	122:4 136:9	anyway (3) 49:5 58:10 64:16	87:9,20 88:14 89:23 96:9 10
000 (s) 1:20 9:19 136:11	activities in 27:4	apiece iii 126:23	12 113:20,21 118:8,25 121:2
001 m 108:18	activity (5) 30:4,18,18,20,22	apologize 121 64:14 69:24	123:10 126:10 129:9,11
3 m 10:15	actually (9) 7:1,9 25:7 26:8 33:	appearances (1) 2:3	background (6) 6:20 36:5 38
4 m 10:1		appeared in 67:13	17 39:9 62:13
5 (2) 13:2,10	add (3) 30:2,3 54:4	applicant in 61:15	backhoe m 119:21
7 121 1:20 136:11		appreciate m 29:1	balance to 55:7
9th m 108:7	adding tel 18:22 19:5	apprised m 107:13	ballpark m 109:23
	addition (1) 5:14	approach (1) 55:24 appropriate (3) 78:2,20,21	barbara ni 99:4
3	additional m 127:9	appropriate (3) 70.2,20,21	barely (1) 47:18
(92) 4·5 7·12 18 20 8·1 7 10·5	inddunen ver 10:10 07:05 00:00	approved (3) 9:12 130:6 134:20 approving (2) 41:24 42:1	
7 21 11 5 12 23 14 17 16 23	35:21 47:12 50:25 51:1 63:14, 19 64:3,4,5 69:12 76:15 105:15	approving 121 41.24 42.1	base m 62:11
R:24 20:9 22:15 26:2 50:12 22	19 64:3.4.5 69:12 76:15 105:15	area (68) 4:13 7:22 9:25 10:22	based (s) 18:9 20:23 38:22 3
6:7,12 64:22 78:11 97:6 101:	addressed (9) 25:15 33:15 34:	12:10.23.25 13:9.12.15 17:5.5	17 103:3
,6 107:4,24 121:21 131:15		24:25 26:20,22 28:21 30:23 34:	basement in 42:8
32:6.10	Iの0 プロ・4フ		
0 เม 97;20 127;3 129:13	addresses m 56:25	8,24 35:17 37:10,21,23,25 38: 22 20:22 45:25 40:11 54:6 56:7	basically to 19:21 23:23 94
0-day m 19:13	addressing (4) 32:11 40:1 61:7	22 39:22 45:25 49:11 54:6 56:7 58:19 60:4 78:10 81:19,22 82:	112;/ 119;1/,20 121;18 124
4 m 112:18	91:13	15 83:2,21 87:8 89:2,13 90:3	basis 12: 18:19 132:16
	administrative m 40:5 53:12	92:11 93:11,14 94:7 111:4 113:	bat (1) 106:2
4	67:5 72:2 74:19 98:7 123:18	5,6,9,17,21 114:23 120:16,22	
(10) 7:12,22 8:7 11:10,12,18	adult to 14:7	122:12 124:22 125:9 126:4	beazer (1) 8:25 become (2) 31:3 83:17
7:2 19:8 84:1.6	advertised to 33:4		becomes (4) 38:16 54:23,24
0 in 129;13	advisory (4) 26:25 74:2 102:21	4,6 132:1 134:12	16 16
090 m 64:13	123:2	areas (48) 9:21 12:20,20 14:6	beg tij 66:6
0s ti 85:2	aeria! 121 62:7,8	15:4 17:3,6,8 22:15,16 24:19	began m 9:7
	affect (3) 38:21 77:12 116:13	26:4,11 28:6,8,15,18,20 31:1	begin (6) 5:15,25 15:7,7 30:
. 5	affected (s) 18:23 26:3 28:20	132:19,22 36:19,25 37:4,10,13	136:10
12) 17:5 115:3	32:19 39:23 53:4 57:18 131:19	39:24 49:15 52:17,22 53:4,5	beginning (4) 4:18 5:17 114
0 (2) 39:4 54:22	lattaid (i) 20:9		118:19
5-gallon m 13:4	afterwards (2) 5:13 46:22	82:15 83:13 101:11 107:7 114:	behind m 48:14
	agencies (1) 67:2	2 118:12 120:3 131:18 132:2,5	believe (12) 22:22 24:1 45:1,
6	agency (6) 1:15 72:13 73:6 83:7	aren't isi 26:11 32:15 43:22	47:5 52:1 61:22,23 77:19 83
	114:21 122:10	jarmy ni 62:9	91:6 113:2
i (1) 17:5 iO (2) 39:4 127:5	lagency's (3) 1:5,12 136:8	around (s) 17:8 25:8 38:22,25	believed in 22:14
0 (2) 39:4 127:5 (21 E889 (* 1:25	agency's (3) 1:5,12 136:8 age (4) 33:3 87:21 103:24 108:3	50:18 54:5,16 59:4,15 83:10	belongs ii) 48:6
21-5689 (i) 1:25	lagree (2) 24: 10 / 1:1, 1 a/. 13, 17	84:20 88:5 101:17 102:13 111:	below (s) 12:22 44:17,25,25
35 noi 10:24 101:4 127:13,16,	lagreed (3) 31:13,19 41:7	22 129:5	7 88:12 101:5 128:5
7,19,24,24 128:6,20	ah (2) 50:6 66:12	amaille et 19:0	beneath to 9:10 11:20,22 8
7	ahead 191 20:3 27:24 42:3 49:24	arsenic tu 38:12	95:7,7
	59:20 110:21 111:20 126:24	asphait (2) 94:18 95:8	henzene mi 12:16:21
	1127:10	assessment (8) 14:2,3,19 20:24	best m 41:18
'0s 121 60:20 113:13	aid (1) 68:13	40:5 51:11 114:23 122:11	better (3) 55:12 68:4 79:3
70 t2) 39:4 128:21 70s t2) 60:20 113:13 79 t) 62:4	aid (1) 68:13 air (7) 30:10 62:10,11 110:19	40:5 51:11 114:23 122:11 assistance to 63:4	better (3) 55:12 68:4 79:3
'0s 121 60:20 113:13	aid (1) 68:13	40:5 51:11 114:23 122:11 assistance to 63:4	better (3) 55:12 68:4 79:3

50:19 51:3,24 52:14 78:10 84: 10 91:24 96:4 103:17 133:10 big m 79:18 bigger (1) 13:6 bikes (1) 80:3 bill (3) 4:3 6:9 121:13 billion (4) 13:2,8,11,14 biological (1) 17:17 bit (3) 21:20 25:3 55:5 bits to 92:20 black (4) 6:13 43:23 92:9 128: block (2) 48:2.3 blue (1) 12:24 board (2) 33:3 106:17 body m 112:9 bolded in 18:5 both (4) 14:13 38:16 98:24 99: bottle in 44:1 bottom (3) 8:19 20:15,18 boulevard (6) 8:11 12:13,18 13: 10 65:19 92:17 boundaries (3) 61:6,8 86:6 boxes (3) 74:20,20,20 break (1) 29:9 breaking (2) 24:9 30:1 breathed in 110:18 briars m 55:3 bridge (3) 88:3,4 99:13 brief (1) 59:22 briefly (1) 96:16 bring is 58:7 72:12 126:16 bringing m 46:19 brings (i) 50:14 broke (2) 8:2 14;24 broken (1) 43:3 brought 121 24:7 66:11 bruce (4) 2:9 5:1 22:10 57:14 buard (6) 40:12,12,16,20 41:2, buck m 106:18 build (14) 34:14 40:21,23 41:10 51:23 85:22 102:5 108:10 109: 5,17,17 110:21 111:2,20 builder m 130:5 builders ni 130:2 building no 1:18 11:17 31:9 34:8 41:10,14,23 42:10 45:9 58:8 84:9 92:8,16 101:17 110:6 111:9,10,18 131:5 buildings to 14:11 103:5 built to 18:14 31:9 84:9 102:13 11:7 129:8 bulldoze in 55:6 bulldozer (1) 55:20 bunch (2) 48:6 85:3 butts (1) 87:7 buying (1) 104:8 bypassed (3) 7:20 10:6,18 byproduct (n 6:22 byproducts (n 6:25

calculate (1) 18:18 california (1) 62:11 call (4) 11:4 43:2,23 46:13 called (6) 42:25 43:19 68:11 70: 5 75:12 85:23 calling to 115:21 calls iii 70:3 came i25 8:16,24 16:11 26:20 31:10 33:7 34:6 35:13 50:8 52: 25 53:20 58:18 60:22 61:14 62: 3 68:13 72:8 74:18 77:5 89:5 100:21 105:20 124:16 125:19 134:24 |canal ni 106:3 cancer (3) 81:20,24 110:19 cannot (2) 102:2,8 cap (15) 17:1 18:25 20:10 26:2, 7,23 37:22 53:3 94:13,23,25

95:5,7,8 131:20 capital in 18:10 capped (1) 26:12 capping 121 22:16 32:18 carcinogen (1) 14:13 carcinogenic (ii 14:14 carcinogens m 24:14 care m 58:5 careful (1) 51:17 carolina 121 63:16 64:7 carried 121 49:13 100:12 carries (1) 129:24 cars (1) 46:14 case (4) 22:24 59:19 101:14 114:16 cases (2) 29:8 98:24 categories (2) 14:25 15:1 cause (7) 28:1 30:14 33:16 56: 16 81:21 98:15 100:3 caused (1) 24:11 causes (2) 37:3,13 causing is 30:14 39:10 83:4 center (ii 132:23 certain (12) 26:20 30:5,6 37:2, 11,15 38:8 54:14 76:21 77:2,10 94:14 certainly (s) 25:5 26:17 28:17 47:2 57:4 113:7 certainty (3) 36:21 37:18 115: certify iii 136:6 chanče (4) 23:3 36:7 55:10 98: change (2) 85:8 86:4 changes (1) 45:13 changing m 77:11 check m 49:4 88:19 121:17 chemical m 104:13 chief 121 2:10 4:25 child m 14:7 children m 87:8 choose (1) 19:24 chose is 17:10 18:6 22:2.7 41: 20 57:13 circumstance 🖽 32:8 citizen (2) 26:16 69:14 citizens (3) 24:7 62:20 122:5 clarify (3) 29:2 36:2 62:23 clay (i) 95:2 clean (30) 4:11 9:17 14:23 20: 19,21,21 22:19 31:19,24 39:15 59:3 65:12 69:7 70:23 96:10 104:9,10 106:24 107:18,21 108:22 109:6,8,16,17 111:4,23 126:18 130:6,13 clean-up (2) 85:21,23 cleaned (5) 108:3 111:13,19 133:9 135:12 cleaning (2) 31:13 40:18 cleanup i231 20:15 21:1,4,6 28: 22,24 30:4,25 37:20 41:16,21 57:4,9 75:2 112:13 130:4,11, 17,20,22 132:12,14,21 clear (3) 37:17 40:13 116:22 clearly m 38:24 climbing (1) 81:21 close ni 116:15 |closed (2) 99:17 100:6 closely (4) 37:19 38:1 39:21 85: closing m 135:19 clue (n 27:22 coal no 24:10,13,18 28:4 50:1 12,24 51:6,20 52:12,23 53:5,9 67:14 91:14 120:4 135:8,10,13 coke (5) 6:22,22,24,25 9:1 coking (1) 24:11 cold iii 43:20 colorado (n 62:12 colored in 92:10 come 1221 4:8 18:3 25:14 31:15 | 18 10:19 11:6,8,13 12:6,11 14: 32:3 43:21 46:13,15 50:5 57:14 | 6,15 16:25 17:4,9 19:6,9 22:18 59:3,17 61:24 67:16 75:16 85:7 | 25:21,22,24 26:5 28:19 29:13

88:25 106:14,14 113:7 115:24 123:9 comes (6) 29:10 42:21 43:15 82:21 129:15 133:7 comfortable iii 32:7 coming 1201 4:3 29:12 41:13 42: 25 44:5,18 45:3,6,23 46:1,14 47:4 51:13 80:14 81:14 110:3 82:13,17 83:3 89:11 92:5,11 13,19,21 98:14,16,25 100: 19 101:5,7,9,10,16 107:1,1 111:1 113:25 114:13 117:5 47:4 51:13 80:14 81:14 110:3 122:23 124:11 128:13 135:21 commencing (1 1:20 comment (12) 19:13 25:18 57:8 58:11 73:11,13 97:19 98:5 108: 20 115:25 116:12 127:6 comments (4) 19:14,17 68:3 120:8 comments/questions in 20:4 committee (i) 24:21 common (1) 38:10 communication in 133:10 community (1) 4:4 companies (1) 13:20 company (5) 6:21 16:18 43:1 46:8,9 compared in 16:2 comparing (1) 16:9 complaints 🖽 133:13 complete (1) 21:15 completed (2) 9:11,19 completely in 10:7 complex (ii 86:25 complex's (1) 48:4 complicated in 38:13 compounds (3) 7:16 12:4,5 computer in 22:12 computer-aided in 136:13 concentrating m 122:18 concentration is 12:21,24 119:24 concern (7) 24:12 33:10 68:17, 17,19,21 89:7 concerned in 27:3 31:1 58:23 69:13 76:8,12 114:17 concerns (4) 58:12 70:16 115:8 135:22 concluded to 135:24 conclusion m 74:18 conclusive in 113:14 conditions (2) 20:22 30:5 conduct (1) 9:4 conducted to 14:21 confused (3) 124:5,24,24 connie (1) 96:7 consent (1) 9:2 conshohocken (1) 68:9 consider (5) 22:11 98:3,13 127 constitute in 119:15 constitutes (1) 136:14 constructed (1) 65:24 construction (18) 13:21 14:9 18:11 27:3 30:20,22 31:22,23 33:5,6,13 40:17 54:7 86:16 96: 19 125:24 126:3,9 consultant (5) 73:3,5,6,21 89:4 contact (3) 31:17 41:18 63:5 contacted isi 62:15 125:10.15 contained (1) 7:15 contains (1) 12:2 contaminant m 119:13 contaminants (14) 10:10 11:15 12:14 17:18 19:4 27:6 32:14 43:7 77:4,5 112:19 113:11 114 9 134:21 contaminated (18) 16:20 21:23 28:13 36:25 37:10 38:23 40:23 66:9 80:8 89:2 125:23 126:9, 11,13 127:18 131:6 133:2 134: contamination (97) 8:14,18 9:

31:11 33:19 34:11,18,24 35:11 13,15 36:9 38:15,20 39:4,8 40: 25 41:13 49:14,20 51:25 52:9 53:20 54:1 55:10,14 58:14,15 118:20 120:18 126:2,6,15 127: 23 128:5,7,11,16 129:21 131: 14 132:9 contamination's (1) 56:18 contention (1) 56:16 contingency (2) 15:25 17:13 continue (2) 16:15 115:15 continued (3) 19:1 20:12 22:17 continues (1) 108:7 contract (2) 114:20 133:20 contractor in 134:2 contractor's m 30:18 cooperation (1) 64:17 coopermill m 129:8 coordinator (2) 2:8 4:4 copies (1) 65:7 coping III 40:25 coppermill at 113:8 copy 121 98:8 121:13 corporation (2) 9:2 40:21 correct (2) 123:21 130:7 correctly 121 91:18 127:13 cost (6) 18:10,15,20 19:7,9 23:1 costs (6) 17:21 18:1,1,9,10,13 couldn't (4) 37:1,11 84:7 102:24 council (5) 27:1 74:2 96:8 102: 21 123:2 country (1) 8:23 couple (2) 39:19 126:22 course (4) 28:22 64:21 72:16 129:23 court (54) 1:16 33:21 36:14,22 37:5 45:19 46:3 53:22 56:22 58:21 59:12 63:11 64:10 f 67:24 71:13 75:22 76:16 7 79:5,23 81:10 82:3,10 84:4,1. 85:10 86:20 87:5,22 88:8 89: 19,25 90:5,13,19 91:10 93:3,9 96:11,23 97:15 98:1 99:7 101: 23 114:5 115:4 117:23 120:13 125:1 130:18 133:21 134:22 136:5 courthouse to 109:7,7 cover t21 50:16 131:18 covered (4) 10:7 30:11 61:20 99:19 craig to 94:12 crap (2) 103:24 106:18 crater (14) 1:7,13 4:7 6:11 51:6 52:13 64:22 66:6 78:11 95:18 101:12 122:18,20 136:9 crazy (1) 109:24 create (1) 27:6 creek (2) 124:5,6 criteria isi 15:23 16:1,4,13 17: crock m 80:25 crooked (9) 8:9 42:16 43:9,12 47:15 112:17 125:7 128:14,17 culvert 121 88:24 123:25 culverts (2) 123:22,23 curb (1) 13:6 curious (3) 22:6 47:24 83:16 current in 31:21 cut (4) 20:12 55:5 58:2 89:1 cutting m 55:19 cuttings nj 93:17 cyanide nj 12:5

days (5) 42:20 67:13 73:14 97: | disposal (2) 82:14 83:20 21 99:14 deal (2) 72:20 107:1 dealt m 104:25 debris to 54:7 decent in 32:7 decide (2) 108:11,15 decision 1271 21:3 26:10 57:9 95:23 96:3,4 101:21 102:1,3,9 103:8,19 107:11,15 108:21,22 109:15 110:8,10 111:5,6 116: 13 119:4,7,7,8 130:16 declaration in 106:3 declared in 108:4 deep (1) 127:14 defer m 130:6 defined in 11:21 definitely (4) 38:3 39:25 51:20 degree in 115:10 degrees (1) 37:17 deliberately (1) 29:25 dep (4) 68:8 104:25 106:19 112 department (2) 83:14 122:13 depending (2) 97:9 120:15 depends (2) 60:5 132:21 depiction to 84:15 depths isi 10:1,15,24 127:16,21 descriptions (f) 15:7 design n2154:2055:1857:6,11 12,13,1696:1797:1132:17 133:5,16 designed m 27:20 destroyed (2) 62:2,4 detail i2i 15:10 28:16 detailed (1) 8:3 determination in 116:9 determine (7) 21:3 27:21 51:11 52:8 96:18 114:12 119:23 determined (4) 39:25 93:20 97: 1 133:5 determining (1) 57:9 develop (1) 85:18 developed 121 42:6 95:19 developer (61 62:1 79:2 104:4,7 105:10 107:13 developer's (3) 104:18 105:14 107:10 developers (4) 41:25 81:14 86 7 103:18 development (4) 34:2 54:3 96:1 103:17 develops (1) 95:16 dictate m 130:2 died m 81:23 differ (1) 66:6 difference 121 23:1 91:24 different (9) 15:3,4 24:19 84:21 85:1 93:25 95:1 118:12 123:23 difficult 121 38:16 54:24 difficulty (1) 54:10 dig (3) 105:3 119:21 126:14 dinenno (14) 90:8,9,15,18,21,24 91:2,6,14,22 92:25 93:5,11,18 direct (2) 33:24 49:1 direction (6) 11:25 47:8 90:12 91:1,20 136:14 directly (12) 7:3,24 10:2,16,25 11:12 12:22 25:15 34:25 35:2 49:14 83:5 dirt (6) 65:1,10,20 67:12,15 120: discharged (1) 10:25 discolored (1) 120:6 discovered (2) 50:14 75:14 discuss (7) 6:9 34:4 67:23 69:2 80:10 81:3 122:19 discussed (5) 4:16 25:1 28:17 82:9 87:2 discussing (n 121:1 discussion (2) 28:10 59:23 disease (2) 83:7 114:22 engineer (2) 49:1 62:9

dispose (2) 131:17 134:13 disposed (2) 12:8 134:9 distinguish (1) 100:22 disturb m 51:20 disturbed 121 33:12 126:7 disturbing m 50:18 ditch m 48:14 dog 121 88:6 105:25 doing (14) 9:7 41:20 52:3 58:18 70:8 75:1 86:17 99:21 100:3 104:15 106:20,22 116:14 122: dollars 121 19:8 76:2 dolomite m 94:6 done 1231 13:21 21:17 25:6 26: 23 30:5 37:14 47:17 49:8 50:8 71:8 72:3,4 74:3,11 77:10 78:1 83:1 86:1 93:13 97:14 107:12 110:4.23 dots i21 92:9 128:13 double m 78:11 down (53) 6:23 20:12 21:13 24: 20 30:21 37:21 41:16 43:10,15, 18,21 45:17 46:2,15 55:6 56:6, 7 58:24 64:25 66:13 71:17,18 72:8 80:20 83:2 88:3,11,16 90: 3 92:14,23 93:17 99:10,12,21 100:13,19,25 101:8 104:1 105: 5 109:9 112:21 120:12 124:10, 16 126:5,14 127:16,17,18 131: 25 132:11 drain (1) 44:22 drainage m 48:14 drawn (1) 68:7 drilled (1) 93:24 drilling (1) 78:9 drinking (2) 82:19,21 dripping (1) 42:9 driveway in 46:14 driving in 126:17 drop (2) 13:6,12 drum (3) 13:4,7,12 drums to 134:12 dry m 48:9 due isi 37:12 39:8,14 45:12,13 dug izi 126:4 131:2 dumb (1) 112:5 during (7) 10:18 28:23 30:4 33: 13 55:18 57:6,13 dust (2) 125:22 126:11 dying (1) 47:23

e-mail (11) 62:24 63:1,3,6,15,17 19,22 64:1,4 69:25 e-mailed (): 69:23 eac (1) 24:21 each t21 18:15 69:8 eap (1) 96:8 earlier (4) 90:10 112:18 120:8 128:10 early (5) 50:4 56:16 60:21 83:9 123: earth (1) 120:6 easier (2) 17:24 97:4 east (3) 6:15 8:25 124:12 east/north m 11:24 east/northeast m 11:24 eastern m 4:25 ed (1) 42:4 effort #1 25:13 eight (3) 10:23 76:3,3 either 121 26:6 111:19 elsewhere m 54:2 emergency (1) 80:24 employee m 105:19 enable m 22:19 end t71 19:16,20 35:25 55:12 91:2 127:5,16 ends (2) 84:8.14

enough (4) 28:13 36:20 39:13. entire (9) 13:23 19:3 54:22 60:4 93:11 106:22 115:19 117:6 131:2 entirely (1) 118:7 entrance (3) 48:3.4 86:24 environment (2) 16:15 18:17 environmental (10) 1:5,12,15 2: 5 61:15 74:2 102:21 106:17 123:1 136:8 environmentalist (1) 76:1 epa is71 4:4,23 8:12,15 9:3,12 14:1 15:18 16:11 18:6 31:12 33:7 34:4 35:5 40:17,23 41:4, 15,18,19 46:25 52:7 57:8 62: 16,22 69:14 72:21 74:21 79:1 80:6 86:9 96:2,3 100:9,16 102: 8,17 103:19,20 104:16 106:8,9, 10,16,20,22 107:10 112:7,11 115:18 117:15 118:6 122:16 125:10,15,21 130:1 epa's (4) 9:6 110:7.9 111:5 epa-preferred in 18:20 especially (1) 84:18 establish in 133:9 evaluate 121 15:22 18:9 evaluated (4) 14:12 15:19 17:11 filled (3) 10:7,18 11:14 60:16 even (13) 17:25 25:13 34:25 36: 10 38:11 39:9,12 51:18 65:15 69:14 85:3 92:25 108:15 evening (1) 60:10 event (2) 30:19 91:7 everybody (3) 4:2 74:14 100:24 everyone is 31:21 35:8 82:19 86:19 103:20 110:5,11 111:3 everyone's (1) 41:14 everything (4) 47:24 72:3 77:21 everywhere m 54:9 exact m 21:3 exactly is 32:22 57:3 96:21 example in 12:13 excavating (2) 86:17 89:3 except (1) 100:1 existed (2) 35:3 118:21 exits (1) 99:17 expect (1) 38:6 expensive (2) 54:23 55:22 expert (1) 96:19 explain (1) 96:9 explaining at 4:19 explains (i) 69:4 explanation 121 4:15 16:3 exposed 121 14:5 62:6 exposing (2) 58:14 59:5 exposure (1) 30:3 expressway (1) 6:17 extended (2) 73:14 127:7 extending (1) 98:13 extends in 12:11 extension (5) 73:10,12 79:7 97: 18 126:25 extensive (1) 71:8 extent isi 22:13 27:21,22 92:4, extra (1) 65:7

f-i-s-h-e-r m 127:12 facility m 6:22 fact (7) 4:13 21:21 46:18 50:12. 21 52:12 59:4 factor (i) 110:13 faith m 37:15 fall (n 83:12 falling to 72:7 fallout in 133:3 far (21) 5:10 7:23 37:23 47:17 49:5,11 76:19 82:12,18 83:18

92:18 109:3 113:3,6 115:17

118:19 119:11 125:25 126:5.17 132:16 fault 121 64:15 94:5 fear m 24:10 feasibility (1) 9:5,15,16,22 14: 22 15:16.18.20 16:11 20:25 21: february (1) 27:2 feel (2) 32:7 33:15 feet 121 10:1,15,24 20:17 44:17, 24 45:17 48:16 54:22 55:1 101: 4 105:3 127:14,17,19,24,25 128:6,20,21,21,21 fell in 110:18 fellow m 72:16 felt (1) 52: fence เ31 60:3 61:1 80:17 fenced isi 60:16 61:3 68:22 86: 15.23 87:14 fencing to 80:4 few to 24:2 33:3 42:19 48:16 49:15 54:4 74:2 102: figure i7i 15:21 84:7,22 121:6, 12,18,21 figures 121 121:4 122:1 files (1) 74:20 fill in 101:6 filling (1) 128. fills 🔁 48:16 104:14 final m 132:13 finally 121 24:6 68:12 find 1251 26:5,10,15,22 29:25 38 7,8 44:3 46:24 50:1,24 52:4 55: 4,21 60:23,24 75:20 87:17 89: 10 113:24 114:16 117:1,6,8 125.4 finding (6) 25:9 54:18 55:8,10, 13 118:11 fine as 108:1 first izsi 9:23 16:15 18:1 20:6, 11 24:2,15 27:25 29:19 33:23 49:4 57:23 58:6 60:16 69:18 76:6.10 97:24 105:18 107:2 118:17 121:3,8 123:14 131:7 fisher (22) 127:11,11,17,23 128: 3,6,17,20 129:4,19,22 130:10, 13,20 131:6,10,16,21 132:5,9, 13 133:6 five (5) 22:21,25 23:4,10 42:7 fix (1) 124:9 fixed to 124:8 flint 121 88:2 124:1 flood (2) 91:8 129:7 flooded m 113:1 floor (1) 19:23 flow (4) 45:21 91:20 93:7 101:8 flowing (4) 47:7 71:17 72:11 100:25 flows (1) 11:23 floyd (2) 104:1 124:16 focal (1) 25:5 focus (1) 9:21 focused 121 28:10 55:8 focusing m 50:11 folks m 4:23 follow isi 30:6 77:3 130:10 follow-up 121 81:5 115:23 force 111 62:10 forcing (1) 128:8 forge (i) 1:18 forget (1) 73:9 former (2) 7:10 97:3 forth (6) 27:4,9 80:4 95:22 118: 8 126:10 forward 121 8:25 95:25 found (39) 8:14,17 10:10,19 11: 5,7,13,22 13:25 14:16 17:9 24: 18,21,23,24 25:24 28:5 35:17 38:11,12 41:12 47:7 52:24 53:

5.9 62:16 66:19 77:21 87:12 88:21 92:19 93:25 98:25 110:

25 112:19 113:12 114:1,2 125:

halted (1) 33:7

hand in 86:12

handout ni 121:9

happen (9) 24:1 41:9 42:14 86: 11 102:12 109:12,13 133:13,14

happened (2) 83:21 100:4

harrisburg m 68:12

hazardous m 25:11

hawthorn in 20:6

hazards (1) 27:14

heading as 114:13

hands nj 73:19

four 151 7:8 42:22 44:6,9 126:19 fourth (1) 12:25 frailey (10) 49:25 50:4,10 51:5 52:11,19 53:7,15,21,24 frame (1) 23:23 frames (1) 22:23 french (1) 44:21 front (i) 65:1 froze (1) 46:12 full in 85:1 tumes (1) 29:11 fund (2) 48:24 62:19 further (5) 94:4 105:4,5 118:21 119:2 future (2) 33:5 95:9 G g-a-r-v-e-y m 112:17 g-e-d-a-y (1) 47:15 garage 12) 58:9 59:16 garvey (4) 112:16,16,23 113:18 gas (2) 100:23,24 gave (i) 4:14 gear til 30:8 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 131:21 | 129:3 130:21,23 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 | 129:3 130:21,23 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 129:3 | 12 general (1) 89:12 generally (2) 20:7 28:20 generic in 32:21 gentleman isi 89:23 99:15 135: geological t2) 93:12,21 geophysics m 84;19 geotextile m 95;3 getting tai 25:10 29:11 37:21 42:21 43:6,20 104:11 107:6 give 141 5:24 6:18 23:2 43:25 46:22 65:8 79:9,14 96:19 98:10 109:8 125:18 126:22 127:4 glad (1) 65:8 gien († 99:18 goal (1) 23:24 goals iii 114:11 home i7: 48:14 59:16 63:14 64: 2,3 99:13 102:12 2,3 99:13 102:12 2,3 99:13 102:12 40:13 62:22 67:7 78:13 93:23 102:13 113:4 122:7 106:3 109:8 110:19 gotten (3) 42:8 68:11 71:20 government iii 67:3 graduate (1) 62:9 grain (1) 86:11 grant (1) 63:4 granted in 127:1 gravel (1) 7:11 great (1) 23:5 ğreater (2) 13:1,14 green (2) 12:23 18:8

grew (1) 62:14 ground (17) 11:22 12:9 44:17,25 arid (1) 54:21 1:17,20,21 77:9 80:8 82:22 104:4,10 109:16 113:5.9 116: 24 129:15 ground's 121 109:6,8 groundwater (3) 9:8,9 11:19, 20,23 12:10 14:18 15:4,14 17: 14,15 18:5,23 20:13 21:22,24

22:8.18 28:9 29:3 45:2 47:6 82:

16 91:20,24,25 92:4,13 107:6 114:12 132:1 group (1) 73:17 growing as 10:8 guard m 86:24

guess (s) 38:18,23 115:8,22 117:7 119:3 gulf (2) 64:20 72:16

gushers m 129:15 guy (2) 69:15 105:2 guys m 43:22

127:12 heard in 115:9 123:2 128:23 hearings (1) 108:13 henny (1) 86:16 hereby m 136:6 hill 171 42:17 88:2 92:24 99:18 124:1 129:1.6

houses in 48:8 howard (1) 127:11 however (1) 28:10 hubbard (17) 2:11 5:3 28:6 29: 15,18 36:2,18,24 37:7 38:8 39: 6 56:9,24 76:6,18 116:17 117:

16 121:15,17 126:19 133:17 134:18 135:20

24 51:11 hundred (4) 13:14 19:7 91:7

109:9

14 126:20 128:9 identify (4) 5:23 14:22 85:14 120:6 identifying m 21:21

ignorant in 46:18

inadvertently (1) 84:2 happening (2) 24:3 33:8 hard (4) 8:5 25:14 55:21 92:24 inaudible (24) 22:8 25:17 33:17, 20 36:13 56:21 79:22 81:9 82:2 84:3,10 85:9 86:19 88:7 89:24 90:4,12 96:10 97:14,25 115:3 124:25 133:20 134:21

inches (3) 42:22 44:6,10 incident in 58:16 health (io) 14:2 16:14 18:17 20: 24 51:11 83:10,14 114:22 122:

11,13 independent (1) 44:3 hear isi 59:23 60:11,12 76:11 indicates in 20:20

heavy iii 42:20 held i2: 86:1 134:15 help (3) 5:4 68:8 78:22 helpful (1) 5:22

hidden (1) 62:5 highest (3) 12:20,24 14:16

hired to 134:2 historical (1) 84:24 history m 62:18 hit m 99:14 126:15 holding 121 42:11 44:11

hopeful in 20:20 hours (1) 79:19

house (7) 33:1 44:22 45:1 69:25 130:18 133:21 134:22 105:6 124:25 125:4

hudson 1121 4:2,3 63:5 79:8,12,

hughes isi 82:8 105:22 113:1,5

human isi 14:2 16:14 18:17 20:

hunting to 87:21 hurricane (2) 103:25,25 hydrologist (4) 2:9 5:2 22:10 42:24

idea (6) 6:18 25:11 48:18 109: identified (13) 7:9 9:16,21 12:8 13:16 14:3,7 15:20 16:10 17:6 28:23 118:17,18

ignoring (3) 56:1 70:13,14 iii (3) 1:16 136:5,19 immediate (1) 98:18 immediately (2) 68;22 87;13 impact (1) 54;2 implemented (n 15:2 important (3) 7:6 36:12 40:2 in's 🖽 4:20 inaccurate (1) 84:16

head (4) 68:9 99:20 103:13 112: inch (1) 21:13

included (2) 118:15 121:5 incorporate (2) 26:6 57:15 incorporated in 9:1

indicated (4) 23:15 24:24 25:2,

indicating 1411 6:14,15,18 7:6, 13,19,23 8:7,10,11 9:24 10:13, 23 11:5,11,25 12:2,15,16,19 13:13 15:15 16:3 17:10 19:18 26:19 29:6,7,10 47:8 48:2 62:1, 6 67:15 82:16 83:21 84:23 121:

12 124:6 128:2 131:19 indigenous (3) 33:17 35:19 36:

individually in 5:13 industrial in 14:10 infiltrate m 131:25 information (s) 20:19 62:17 67: 1.5 73:21 74:17 123:11 135:17 informational m 112:9

informed (2) 75:3 105:8 informs (52) 33:21 36:14,22 37; 5 45:19 46:3 53:22 56:22 58:21 59:12 63:11 64:10 65:4 67:24 71:13 75:22 76:16 77:22 79:5, 23 81:10 82:3,10 84:4,11 85:10 86:20 87:5,22 88:8 89:19,25 90:5,13,19 91:10 93:3,9 96:11,

23 97:15 98:1 99:7 101:23 114: 5 115:4 117:23 120:13 125:1

initial (9 5:18 initially m 60:1 installed m 93:16 instantly (n 58:24 intelligence († 62:10 interest (1) 41:18

interesting (1) 62:17

interested (3) 62:20 81:15 122:

interfere (i) 86:9 interpreter (1) 62:7 introduce in 4:22 investigate 121 52:5 122:5 investigated (13) 25:23 27:17 34:12,13 50:20 81:17 115:20 118:14 119:2,12 120:2,7,23 investigating (4) 8:12 16:16 89: 14 115:15

investigation (25) 9:5,13,14,22 10:10 13:25 14:20 19:1 49:9 50:8 51:10 52:3,6 53:11 55:4, 24 60:2 62:22 69:4 84:17 112: 12 114:11 116:11 117:14 122:

investigational ns 49:25 investigations (n 54:11 investigative (n 54:20 involved (3) 60:8 73:25 106:8 involvement 121 4:4 31:2 iron m 38:9 irregular (1) 20:18 isn't (a) 35:1 48:23 59:6 66:10 67:8 80:4 86:15 101:22 issue (6) 20:12 33:15 34:5 81:4 87:4 108:21 issues (1) 57:8 it'll 121 24:1 105:5 itself 121 22:20 128:8

ames (3) 1:16 136:5,19 ane (1) 110:18 ane's (1) 110:17 anuary m 9:11 ooz (1) 74:14 ennifer (6) 2:11 5:3 28:1 33:25 35:21 51:15 ob 131 32:7 55:12 73:1 ohn (n 125:7 oseph (4) 2:12 5:5 19:17 20:5 uly in 19:16 une (6) 1:20 9:12,19 19:12 127: 5 136:11 junk (3) 88:3,17 90:2

keep (10) 43:10 59:22,22 66:12 75:2 77:11 80:8 107:12 110:10, kept izi 102:6 103:20 keystone (1) 9:1 kid 121 61:21 110:17 kids 121 64:25 80:2 kiel 127 57:20 80:2 kiel 1677 57:20,25 58:5,20,25 59: 7,11,18,25 60:7,14,21 61:2,5, 10,14,24 63:1,8,13,16,20,23 64:8,12,16 65:3,7,10,14,21,25 66:3,5,10,13 67:1,7,10,18,22 68:1,6,15,21 69:10,13,21 70:3, 8,12,20 71:3,7,12,15,22 72:5,7 12,23 73:2,8,13,17 74:5,9,13, 23 75:8,13,25 77:13,15,18 78: 4,7,15,18,22 79:2,7,11,13,1 126:25 127:7 killed m 105:25 kind m 12:9 20:20 22:6 27:12 45:21 86:4 93:20 97:7 kinds 🖽 54:6 king (4) 1:19 20:6 32:25 63:24 knocked (1) 46:14 knowing 121 104:5,6 knowledgeable m 61:20 known (s) 24:14 39:3 58:14 116:6,23 knows 171 35:5 47:20 72:17 103:21 110:22 112:1 115:18 korea 昀 62:7

l-u-c-h-i-e m 123:1 laboratory (1) 44:3 labs (1) 78:20 lack m 25:16 land (6) 33:9,11 41:4,5 98:19 131:2 iandfill (n 132:22 lane (9) 8:9 42:16 43:9,12 47:16 112:17 125:8 128:14,18 large 12 29:8 36:20 lașt (8) 24:6 27:2 35:25 42:6 67: 13 99:5 124:10 129:11 later (2) 99:14,18 laughter (3) 6:8 74:15 106:4 lava 🚯 72:7 aw (4) 41:2.3 101:22,25 layers (2) 50:24 128:8 laying (1) 66:13 leach (2) 19:7 131:25 leaching (1) 44:13 lead (2) 38:12,14 leading (1) 74:17 leads (ii 80:18 leak (1) 54:15 leaked (2) 49:16 54:14

least (6) 42:22 44:5,6,9 47:3 127:24 leave (2) 20:1 22:9 leaving (1) 70:6 lechter 1251 32:24,24 35:8,23 36:4,6,16 38:5,18 115:1,7,22 116:7,10,21 117:4,17,20,25 119:3 120:2,10,20 121:9 122:3 left i5i 75:13 119:24 120:18 122:1 126:20 legai (3) 73:2,4,5 length (1) 48:3 less (4) 13:10.11.11 91:21 level (7) 20:14 21:4 29:13 127: 18 128:11 132:12 135:3 levels (5) 21:1 28:24 37:25 98: 17 119:15 lewis (1) 122:25 liability in 110:13 llable (6) 86:2 110:14,15 112:4 130:6 134:15 liberty (9) 31:8 66:17,19 98:24 124:8 125:8,15 126:3 134:2 library 121 40:7 53:12 liers 🗓 55:13 life in 104:3 light m 7:16 likely (3) 45:12 86:2 97:2 limestone isi 93:14,22,23 94:6 limit (1) 5:8 linda (1) 90:8 line (3) 6:13 45:6 64:21 liner (1) 95:3 liners (2) 95:1.4 lines (2) 40:14 113:20 liquid (3) 7:18 13:7 65:1 liquor (10) 6:25 7:13 10:2,16,25 12:7 13:17 16:16 19:2 35:13 list (5) 8:20,22,22 24:13 27:15 listed (9) 15:16,24 49:13 listen (2) 69:14,22 listened (2) 36:8 69:18 listening (1) 70:1 little noi 8:3 13:6 21:20 25:3 54 18 55:5,13 62:13 64:25 92:12 live isi 90:9 99:5 105:20 lived (4) 81:22 104:3 112:17 129:10 lives (1) 116:14 local ni 112:19 locate m 53:8 located 171 6:12,19 11:10 52:10 maintenance in 18:12 location as 121:7 locations (2) 31:6 121:20 ionchar (17) 103:23 104:24 105: 16 106:5,10,14 108:1,18,24 109:2,19 110:2,12 111:8,13,17 long (12) 15:11 16:6 68:6 81:13 23 105:24 108:13 120:21 123: 19 125:8,8,15 longer (1) 97:18 look (11) 17:23 28:6 34:16 36:7 38:5 39:21 56:19 83:13 84:21 118:21 119:9 looked (4) 11:19 87:2 93:16 looking (15) 8:16 17:20 34:23 37:20 38:1,2,24 52:14 59:15 76:18 77:4 83:16 113:16,16 120:17 looks (1) 29:8 Joose in 105:25 loose in 105:25 lord 12521 4:16 6:6 20:23 21:9, 11,14 22:2,5,9 23:21 25:20 26: 21 27:23,25 31:7 32:11,14,20 33:23 35:10,24 36:5 40:2,15,19 41:1,3 42:2,18 43:4,8,13,16 46: 22 47:3,11 48:20,24 49:3,7 50: 3,6 51:3,8 52:16,21 53:10,18 55:16 56:2 57:5,22 58:1 59:20

60:5,11,18,25 61:4 62:23 63:2, 130:21,24 9,15,18,21,25,64:8,14,65:2,6,9, 12,16,22,66:1,4,8,11,16,67:4,9, 17,20,23,68:2,14,16,24,69:11, 17,20,23 68:2,14,16,24 69:11,
17,22 70:5,10,13,21 71:6,8,21,
24 72:6,10,19,24 73:4,11,15,24
74:7,10,16 75:1,11,17,24 76:5
77:19 78:13,17,19,25 79:20 80:
9,18,23 81:1,7,17 82:5,9,12 84:
15 85:16 87:1,10,24 88:15,18,
21 89:22 90:7,17,22,25 91:4,12
92:2,6 94:11,16,19,21 95:12,19
96:2 13 16 25 98:3 23 99:3,23 96:2,13,16,25 98:3,23 99:3.23 100:7,15 101:1,19,25 102:6,14, 16,20 103:11,15 104:23 105:15 106:9,13,21 108:17,19,25 109: 18,25 110:4,22 111:12,15,24 112:11,21 113:11,22 114:7,19 115:6,14 116:3,8 117:3,12,19, 22 118:4 119:6 121:3,11,16,18 122:8 123:6,14,17 124:1,13,17, 20 125:6,11,13,18,25 126:12, 24 127:2,8,15,20 128:1,4,15,19 129:2,17,20 130:8,12,15 131:4, 7,13,17,23 132:8,11,20 133:15, 23 134:5,14 135:2,8 11,20 133:15, 23 134:5,14 135:2,8 11,20 133:15, 23 134:5,9,11 135:3,6,14 lot (22) 11:18 31:10 37:7 41:12 46:12 69:1 81:23 87:3,8 88:5 93:7 94:15 95:5,11,13,13,17 97:4 105:22 125:4 128:21 129: lots (1) 48:8 love in 106:3 low (3) 17:1 18:25 95:14 low-permeability is 94:13,22, 25 95:2 131:20 lower (1: 95:5 luchie (4) 122:25,25 123:12,16

ma'am (1) 59:19 macadam (6) 42:10,23 43:6 44: 6,10,19 macadamized in 44:7 machine m 75:14 made (9) 24:17 52:11 104:1 116:8 119:6,7 123:12 124:2,20 magnitude (1) 129:23 mailbox (1) 46:15 main (4) 9:20 14:17 68:16 114: 15 maintain n: 18:15 major m 13:15 majority (2) 11:3 126:12 man (1) 68:9 manager (3) 2:12 4:17 5:7 mancini (6) 125:7,7,12,14,22 126:8 manganese 🖽 38:10 mánner (1) 78:2 many in 4:12 24:18,18 60:23 61:18,18 126:20 map (15) 7:6,7 8:2 53:7,13 56:6 57:2 82:18 85:5 90:11,15 119: 16 121:15,19 128:10 mapped (i) 93:22 maps (2) 61:25 93:21 marked (1) 60:17 marsh 🖽 48:9 marshall 12) 43:14 45:25 marta m 47:14 mary (2) 110:17.18 massive (1) 42:9 material (10) 25:11 27:14 71:17 75:9,15,18,18 76:8,12 132:15 materials (3) 31:3 61:16 76:25 mcdowell (3) 2:12 5:6 19:17 mean 1221 21:12,15 22:2 29:18 38:23 45:22 73:11 90:22 96:13 22 109:22,24 110:12 117:1,4, 17,20 125:13 126:11 128:15

meaning (1) 130:5 means isi 39:7 77:7 136:12 meantime (1) 108:5 mediation (1) 4:9 meet (4) 5:13 70:19 95:14,21 meeting t231 1:4 4:7,19 5:8 6:3 19:15 33:2 67:21 70:7,18 72:21 79:9 85:24 97:12,24 98:5,11,12 106:6,7,23 107:2 122:19 meetings t2) 61:18 97:23 meets (i) 16:13 member (2) 26:25 123:1 members (1) 24:20 men (3) 43:22 99:19 100:3 meningitis (1) 48:11 mention (2) 47:22 123:2 mentioned (5) 20:9 21:10 48:2 50:2 112:18 merion (m) 1:4,17 6:12 8:15 27 1 40:7 68:12 82:20 90:10 114: 14 136:10 met 121 69:19 74:1 metal (4) 12:5 37:2,12 38:2 metallic (1) 99:19 metals (5) 36:21 37:1.8 38:7.9 method (1) 76:21 mid 111 60:20 middle (2) 69:15 98:5 might 1171 8:5 17:4,16,24,24 26: 9 34:10 51:19 52:2 54:2 58:2 70:24 101:9,11 124:4,24 133: migrate isi 19:7 129:13,14 migrated isi 49:20 128:16,17 migratory (1) 129:24 mìle 121 6:15 62:15 miller 17: 94:12,12,17,20 95:10, 16,24 million 121 19:8,10 mills 121 64:21 72:16 mind to 26:24 mine (1) 94:24 mined m 7:10 minutes (2) 126:20,22 mistake (1) 84:2 mobilize (1) 27:5 modelling (1) 22:12 monday (1) 67:18 money (1) 79: money's iii 78:23 monitor 121 17:14 133:12 monitored (4) 17:11.13 23:25 30:10 monitoring tsi 23:9,17 92:9 114:3 121:21 month (1) 108:12 months (1) 66:14 morning (1) 99:18 mosquitos (1 48:10 most [4] 7:17 45:12 47:6 92:18 mostly (1) 7:15 motor (1) 80:3 move (s) 50:18 95:25 112:15 131:3 132:24 moved (7) 9:14 65:13,22 103:13 needed (1) 7:20 8:13 10:5,17 112:21 132:18.19 movement @ 132:15 moving 121 17:15 133:1 moyer (3) 1:16 136:5,19 ms (34) 6:6 20:23 21:9 11, 14 22:2,5,9 23:21 25:20 26:21 27: 23,25 28:6 29:15,18 31:7 32: 11,14,20,24,24 33:23 35:8,10, 23,24 36:2,4,5,6,16,18,24 37:7 38:5,8,18 39:6 40:2,12,15,16, 19,20 41:1,2,3,22 42:2,18 43:4, 8,13,16 46:22 47:3,11,14 48: 20,23,24,25 49:3,5,7,25 50:3,4, 6,10 51:3,5,8 52:11,16,19,21 53:7,10,15,18,21,24 55:16 56: 2,9,24 57:5,22 58:1 59:20 60:5, 11,18,25 61:4 62:23 63:2,9,15,

18,21,25 64:8,14 65:<u>2,6,9,12</u> 16,22 66:1,4,8,11,16 67:4,9,17 20,23 68:2,14,16,24 69:11,17, 22,70:5,10,13,21,71:6,8,21,24 72:6,10,19,24 73:4,11,15,24 74:7,10,16 75:1,11,17,24 76:5, 6,18 77:19 78:13,17,19,25 79: 20 80:9,18,23 81:1,7,8,12,17 19 82:5,6,9,12 84:15 85:16 87: 1,10,24 68:15,18,21 89:22 90: 7,8,15,17,18,21,22,24,25 91:2 4,6,12,14,22 92:2,6,25 93:5,11 18 94:11, 16, 19, 21 95:12, 19 96: 18 94:11, 16, 19, 21 95:12, 19 96: 12, 7, 13, 15, 16, 25 97:11, 17 98:3, 14, 23 99:3, 4, 9, 23 100:5, 7, 14, 15, 21 101:1, 15, 19, 22, 25 102:4, 6, 10, 14, 15, 16, 18, 20 103:9, 11, 15 104:23 105:15 106:9, 13, 21 108:17,19,25 109:18,25 110:4, 22 111:12,15,24 112:11,21 113:11,22 114:7,19 115:1,6,7, 14,22 116:3,7,8,10,17,21 117: 3,4,10,12,17,19,20,22,25 118:4 119:3,6 120:2,10,20 121:3,9, 11,16,18 122:3,8 123:6,14,17 124:1,13,17,20 125:6,11,13,18, 25 126:12,24 127:2,8,15,20 128:1,4,15,19 129:2,17,20 130: 8,12,15 131:4,7,13,17,23 132: 8,11,20 133:15,23 134:5,9,11 135:3,6,14 much 1291 11:23 13:4,11 15:10 18:13,14 21:6 25:6,12,13 28:9 29:3 30:17 33:19 36:9,13 38:22 39:1 40:9 49:9,10 64:17 91:8 92:4 93:12 117:5 128:25 135: multimedia 🖽 94:23 multipart in 133:19 must (22) 33:22 36:15,23 37:6 56:23 79:24 81:11 82:4 84:5,12 85:11 86:21 88:9 90:1,14 96:12 97:16 99:8 115:5 129:14 133: 22 134:23 myself til 20:16

N name (7) 32:24 46:23 47:12 81: 8 83:24 90:8 94:12 name's 121 96:7 99:4 naphthalene 🖽 7:17 narrative (1) 16:7 narrow ni 32:18 national (4) 8:20,21 15:24 17:12 natural (9) 17:11,13 18:22 23:2, 25 37:3,12 39:12,15 naturally (3) 17:16 37:8 38:12 near (s) 17:22 32:4 35:24 40:23 65:19 78:10 92:14 120:12 necessarily m 76:25 necessary i2i 5:12 52:8 need i20i 4:10 6:6 20:1 26:9 28 14 37:24 51:19 56:2 58:2 60:12 70:24 76:20.21.22 77:10 82:23 86:3 88:19 96:17 119:9 40:1 57:2 66:21 76:3,3 87:14 118:14 needs (4) 34:13 68:20 85:20 97: neighbor (1) 42:13 neighborhood isi 80:2 105:19 132:18 neighborhoods 121 59:5 133:10 never (9) 19:6 34:12 46:16 63: 20 67:11 105:23 106:1 126:4 129:11 new (6) 52:5 88:3,4,24 99:12 123:24 newsletter 121 133:19 134:1

next (2) 39:17 97:11

Inine (4) 15:23 16:1,13 17:12

nobody (2) 80:21 106:5 nobody's m 104:15 noncarcinogen m 14:14 none (2) 116:19 126:6 north in 63:16 northeast (1) 12:12 notary (3: 1:17 136:6,20 nothing is: 30:24 99:22.24.25

30:1 127:20,21 opening (1) 4:1 operation (2) 18:12 24:11 opinion (1) 60:13 opinions (1) 112:14 opportunity (3) 5:24 79:10,15 option (1) 23:18 order (2) 9:3 41:7

party's (1) 41:17 passed (2) 89:16 92:15 passing m 106:18 past m 42:7,12 46:11 path m 55:20 patricia 121 40:12 81:8 patterns m 129:24 Day 131 9:4 75:4 110:17 115:24 121:6,14,24 122:2 130: 7,9 132:16,21 135:9 136:9 planned (i) 76:15 planning m 31:13 plans (n. 41:25 plant m 6:24 7:4,15 8:9 1 17 11:2 13:18 35:14 49:23

UPPER MERION TOWNSHIP PUBLIC HEARING

06/27/00

17:24,25 19:3 20:2 24:3 25:16, 18 27:11,19 39:23 41:19 46:23 47:3 54:8 58:2,6 62:1 65:6,15 80:12 84:25,25 92:7 93:6,8 113:17 119:18.21 120:17 129: seem (4) 68:10 116:24 117:7 120:21 seems (3) 30:8 81:20 116:22 seen (4) 27:11 98:16 120:4,6 seepage (1) 43:7 seismic (n 94:1 selling to 62:12 semi-chain-link (1) 80:17 semi-volatile ni 12:3 send (6) 19:17 44:2 64:3,12 69: 24 77:1 sense (2) 55:25 95:24 sent (9) 4:14 6:24 7:2 62:25 63: 1,13,17 78:8 98:8 separate (3) 67:21 79:9 114:10 september (2) 9:3 99:5 series (3) 84:24 95:1,3 session (3) 69:20 82:25 83:15 sessions (3) 74:4,6 122:14 set (3) 6:7 20:21 95:22 several (3) 20:17 99:13 130:22 sewer (1) 45:6 shards (3) 26:18 29:4,5 she'll (1) 4:18 she's (2) 28:1 35:18 sheet (1) 4:14 shifts (3) 94:3,7,8 short (1) 22:20 shot (1) 55:23 shouldn't 191 51:18 59:9 66:24 83:18 86:11 109:23 112:24 116:10,14 show is 7:4 90:23 92:3 107:17 119:16 showed (4) 67:18 82:18 121:8 128:10 showing (1) 90:11 shown in 39:11 shows (1) 57:3 shrubbery m 47:22 shut m 58:24 sic (4) 51:6,7 52:13 75:2 sick (n 58:8 side (7) 103:13,14 124:5,6,8,9, sign (1) 20:20 signed (1) 9:2 significantly in 21:22 signs (1) 80:7 similar (3) 25:23 77:20 93:23 simple (3) 21:25 73:8 131:11 simultaneous m 21:19 since m 8:23 24:15 25:14,20 44:8 45:9 60:20 62:3 68:25 72: 5 117:25 single 121 28:21 39:20 sir (i) 61:6 |sir to 61:6 |site tr7t 1:7,14 4:8,11,17,21 5:5 |6:11,11,21 7:1,2,9,22 8:13,17, |20 9:18 10:4 11:20 12:7 13:16, |18 14:1,6,9,10,12,15,24 15:2 |16:13,18 17:4,8,15 19:4,4,9,25 |20:1 24:9,19 25:6,8,25 26:4,6 |27:5 28:5 29:19,23 30:9 31:23 |33:6 35:12 37:4,13 38:3,17,23 |39:3,14,17,23 40:6,10,17,21,24 |41:7 42:5 44:16 47:4,7,22,25 |48:24 49:12.17,21 50:16 51:2. specifics (3) 40:9 85:17 96:20 48:24 49:12,17,21 50:16 51:2, specify in 132:12

18,22 108:4 109:20 113:14,16, 19 114:13 115:11,17 117:13 118:2,17,23 121:7,24 122:19, 20 123:8,19 125:16 126:1 131: 3.15 133:7 134:12 136:10 site-related (3) 11:13 99:25 site-wide (3) 14:25 15:13 18:2 sites (7) 8:22 15:25 53:8 86:18 114:3 135:9,13 sitting to 33:13 48:17 64:25 69: 15 75:19 99:16 105:11 134:12 situation to 27:12 32:2 69:12 79:18 116:2,5 sbx (2) 42:7 66:14 size (2) 29:5 48:7 slide (1) 121:8 small (2) 24:13 29:6 smell 1171 48:12 87:25 88:10,12, 13 89:21 99:16,17 100:14,22, 23 104:2 120:11 124:3,11,18, smell's (1) 89:15 smelled (2) 120:5,9 smells (3) 120:5,9,12 Snow (2) 46:12.12 Snows (1) 43:19 soil (38) 10:8 15:5 17:8 21:1 28: 12 29:4 33:18 36:11 37:8 38:9. 13 43:25 52:16,23 53:1,14 56:4 65:12,22 66:9,11,14,21 82:7,13 89:2 92:21 119:23,24 126:4,8, 11,13,16 128:20 129:20 133:2 soils 1211 9:8 10:11,12,20 11:9, 16 14:17 15:17 16:20,22,24 18: 3,24 28:12,24 35:19 38:11 95:6 128:19 131:19 134:4 solutions m 4:21 somebody (2) 29:17 75:10 somebody's (1) 110:13 somehow (1) 88:12 someone tel 50:17 66:17 72:13 75:16.21 83:14 104:20 112:15 sometime (1) 7:20 somewhere #1 44:14 sooner (1) 24:1 sorry (2) 64:1 131:11 sort (4) 46:17 55:23 80:16 85:8 sounds (1) 45:3 source (2) 22:15,16 sources 🖽 22:19 south 121 64:6 94:4 space (1) 52:14 speaker (3) 28:25 29:16 33:21 36:14,22 37:5 56:22 79:23 82:3 84:11 85:10 86:20 88:8 89:25 96:11 97:15 99:2,7 115:4 133: 18,21,25 134:7,10,16,19,22,24 135:5,7,18 speaker/s t21 81:10 90:13 speakers (32) 45:19 46:3 53:22 58:21 59:12 63:11 64:10 65:4 67:24 71:13 75:22 76:16 77:22 79;5 82:10 84:4 87:5,22 89:19 90:5,19 91:10 93:3,9 96:23 98: 1 101:23 114:5 117:23 120:13 125:1 130:18 speaking 121 5:21 62:24 special in 76:22 specific 121 37:21 125:19 specifically (3) 26:25 53:8 97:6

spot m 31:5 spread (2) 31:6 82:17 stabilization m 19:5 stabilizing (1) 19:3 stained to 43:6 stalling to 79:14 stand in 33:18 standards (3) 85:25 95:15,22 standing (1) 12:2 start (s) 6:20 15:12 23:19 29:11 60:1 83:12 92:23 118:24 started ini 8:12,16 42:9 52:3 103:23 113:15,16 118:11,21,24 122:22 starts (1) 16:4 state (2) 75:17,18 statement (3) 27:10 32:18 33:4 states (1) 135:1 station (2) 113:8 129:8 statisticai (1) 37:14 stay (4) 41:18 59:2 107:20 129: stayed (2) 49:11 71:11 steel no: 6:21 7:3,14 8:8 10:4 11:2 13:18 35:14 49:22 115:17 stelor (15) 87:20,25 88:10,16,19 89:9,15,24 90:2 123:21 124:4, 14,18,23 125:3 stenographer (2) 5:20,24 stenographer i2i 5:20,29 stenographically in 136:7 still ini 13:22 18:16 32:17 39:13 188:5,13 97:3 118:13 119:9 127: 17 104:4,5,17 105:11 108:3 109:15,21 112:12 118:1 stirred in 128:2 stock (1) 68:21 stop (1) 5:11 stopped (1) 7:1 stored (1) 66:25 stories (1) 72:14 storms in 99:6 street (2) 42:14 46:1 stressed in 61:19 strong (1) 20:8 studied (1) 82:8 studies in 50:1 73:10 81:25 study in 9:5,15,16,23 14:22 15:17,18,20 16:11 20:25 21:2 84:17 97:13 stuff (11) 29:25 44:2 54:6,17 55: 21 58:8 77:17,20 104:11 117:1 125:5 stuff's in 71:9 stumble (1) 54:16 stupid in 80:5 submit (2) 40:22 68:2 submitted (2) 31:11 34:15 submitting (1) 41:25 subpoensed (1) 72:14 subsequently in 24:17 substances (4) 14:14 59:15 83: 7 114:21 subsurface is: 10:11,20 11:9, 16 16:24 92:20 sudden (2) 104:16 105:7 suits (1) 99:19 summarizing (1) 40:3 sun (1) 42:21 super (1) 48:22 superfund (17) 1:7,14 4:8 8:22 15:25 27:4 29:19 41:6 51:15 60:17 85:19 86:17,18 101:13 108:4 114:2 136:10

superimpose (1) 85:5

supervisors (3) 33:3 102:23

18,21 98:17 101:5 131:24 surprised (3) 21:21 25:3 27:13 surprising in 25:25 surround (1) 133:11 surrounding 121 83:13 122:12 survey 121 93:12 94:1 9:19 svocs (i) 12:3 swamp (ii 129:9 swedeland (4) 91:4,5 99:5 104: syphon (i) 44:1 system (2) 21:25 23:1

table no 17:21 35:19,20 36:1 40:3 44:16,24 45:2,14,17 talked no 17:6 31:8 54:19 68:8, 10 71:19 75:25 79:25 97:11 102:8,20,22 tar 12:1 20:15,17 21:7 24:7,10, 13,18 25:9 28:4 29:4,5 50:1,12 51:6 52:12,24 53:5,9 54:8 55:5 67:14 72:8,10 91:14 92:22.23 135:10,13 tars (3) 7:16 51:20 135:8 taxpayer (2) 105:12 110:16 taxpayer's (1) 109:23 team (i) 43:24 technical m 63:4 test (7) 66:14 71:4 75:16 76:2 78:7,15 117:9 tested to 71:23,25 75:5 76:22 113:6,10 testing (4) 38:6 77:1,3 83:2 tests (2) 37:14 71:9 that'll m 5:23 themselves (2) 58:15 59:5 there's 1491 5:1 26:14 30:1,2 34:9 37:7 39:7,19 42:9 46:11 154:1,1,6,7,8 58:14 60:19 62:5 69:1 74:7,10 77:2 80:2 85:3 87: 8 91:16,16,23 94:2,5,7,10 97:5, 7 99:24,25 105:22 106:6,7 114: 7 120:17 123:22,23 125:23 126:9 127:24 128:1 132:25 thereafter in 136:12 they've isi 31:17 34:23 45:9 120:20 123:3 thick (1) 55:2 thinking (3) 27:9,10 30:17 third (2) 12:24 19:19 thorough (2) 52:6 55:4 thoroughly in 50:15 though is 34:25 102:19 117:18 thousand in 76:2 threat m 27:6 25:7 26:2 42:13 53:3 74:3 99: 19 three (10) 8:23 11:3 14:25 18:1 three-quarters (1) 62:14 throughout #153:16

throw (1) 18:11 thrown m 133:3 thursday (7) 106:6,15 108:7,10, 11 109:12,13 tip m 92:14 today (12) 19:22 24:22 34:21 35: 5 68:9,25 70:5 73:7 74:24 75: 12 87:16 135:12

tony to 4:24 took (8) 39:12 52:16 53:13 56:3 64:18,24 69:5 84:19 top (8) 10:8 28:5 42:16 86:23 95:18 127:22 128:25 129:6 total (2) 18:20 39:2 totality (5) 116:1,5,15,16,23 tough (1) 44:15 toward (4) 48:4 114:14 128:13. towards (3) 12:12 47:8 91:14 town (4) 33:2 47:18,19 48:14 townhouses iii 47:16 township (43) 1:4,18 6:12 27:1 40:7 43:2,19 46:10 49:3 51:1 58:16,18 68:13 74:1 90:4 96:5 98:8 100:8,16 102:1,7,22 103: 3,17,18,21 105:9,9,13 107:12 108:8 109:3,10 110:6,15,20,22 111:25 123:13 124:2.20 130:2 136:11 township's (4) 41:23 104:17,19 107:9 toxic (8) 59:8,15 61:16 71:16,16 75:8 83:7 114:21 toxicologist (3) 2:11 5:3 28:2 traced (1) 113:19 traces (2) 112:19 113:19 trail (3) 80:3 99:11 119:18 train (1) 48:5 transcribed (ii 136:12 transcript (i) 136:14 transcription (i) 136:13 transmitting (1) 117:1 transportation (1) 132:14 transported in 119:14 trash 🖽 13:5 traveling (i) 113:4 travelled (i) 113:3 treat (3) 21:25 22:7,25 treating (1) 21:24 trees (3) 10:8 47:23 48:7 trespass (2) 14:8 64:20 trespassed (2) 64:18.20 trespassers (1) 51:16 trespassing (s) 69:1 73:22 80:1 triad (2) 92:7,16 tried (11 68:8 trip (1) 24:17 troublesome in 31:4 truck 121 58:6 133:3 true m 136:15 trust (2) 31:9 66:19 try isi 5:11 29:2 51:23 59:22 135:22 trying (m 29:25 44:23 50:10 53: 24 56:10,12 69:7,11 92:2 111:3 121:1 tuesday (3) 1:20 67:19 136:11 turn (1) 39:22 turned (1) 85:13 tumpike ni 6:16 twice (1) 44:7 two (21) 9:25 17:5 21:13 24:20 39:6 42:12 48:8 64:25 67:13 74:7,10 79:18 84:10 94:2,10 97:21 99:14 111:21 123:22,23 126:21 type (2) 40:1 106:11 types (4) 54:10 94:2,10 134:20

washington in 74:24 waste in 6:25 7:13,24 10:2,1 136:13 under in 30:5 41:1,7 94:23 136:13 underground in 43:7 45:5 46:6 19:2 35:12 understand in 5:4 27:19 28:25 wasted in 105:1 wastes in 7:25 water in 11:6,21 12:9 42:8,8 30:16 32:1 38:24 61:9 68:19 11,22,25,25 43:20 44:11,16,2 10:21 21:10:2 128:3 130:3 understanding in 128:25 25 46:10 99:10,15 100:12 101:7

unequivocally in 50:17 unfortunately (2) 60:9 133:18 unidentified (12) 28:25 29:16 133:18,25 134:7,10,16,19,24 135:5,7,18 united (n 135:1 uniess (1) 41:5 until isi 33:7 75:12 102:25 116: 19 119:5 122:22 unusual (1) 92:25 up (102) 4:11 6:7 9:18 10:1,8,15, 24 14:23 15:9 16:11 19:18,23 20:1.19.21 22:19 31:13.20.25 33:18,22 36:15,23 37:6 39:15, 18 40:13,18 41:13 42:22 43:9. 20 44:5,12,13,18 45:3 46:20 48:9,16 50:14 56:23 58:1 59:3, 8 61:12,24 62:12,14 67:18 68: 25 69:7 70:23 74:17 79:24 80: 7,14,21 81:11 82:4 84:5,12,22 85:7,11 86:12,21 87:7 88:9 90: 1,14 94:5,8 96:12 97:16 99:8 101:6 103:6 104:14 105:9,10 106:24 107:18,21 108:3,23 11:14.19.23 112:21 115:5.24 121:19 126:2 127:15 128:7 130:7,14 133:9,22 134:23 135: upper (m. 1:4,17 6:12 8:15 27:1 40:6 68:12 82:20 90:10 114:14 136:10 using (1) 85:9

vacation (i) 74:13
vague (i) 57:17
valid (2) 76:24 77:3
valley (i) 1:18
varying (ii) 37:17
vehicles (s) 80:25 125:24 126:
10
verbal (ii) 36:8
version (ii) 121:6
vesper (ii) 9:1
via (ii) 7:2
vials (ii) 76:22
vicinity (ii) 27:7
view (ii) 34:14
visit (ii) 14:8
visited (ii) 61:5

vocs 🟻 12:4

volatile m 12:4

volcano 123 104:12,13

w-o-r-o-b-e-y m 83:25 wait m 62:23 waited to 81:13 walk (6) 54:5 88:5 89:16 119:17 17 129:5 walked (1) 87:16 walking isi 48:12 51:16 66:24 75:21 87:15 walter (22) 20:5,5 21:5,10,12,17 20 22:4,6 23:4,11,14,17 24:4 26:14,22 27:24 30:16 32:1,13, 17 83:24 wanted taj 33:16 36:3 76:2 79: 14 95:21 98:9 105:3 108:2 wanting (1) 40:21 wares iii 62:13 washington (1) 74:24 waste (13) 6:25 7:13,24 10:2,5, 16,24 11:3 12:7 13:16 16:16 19:2 35:12 wastes (1) 7:25 water (47) 11:6.21 12:9 42:8.8. 11,22,25,25,43:20,44:11,16,24 45:2,5,14,16,46:8,9,19,21,82: 20,21,88:1,10,91:9,25,92:18

104:14 112:24,24 124:8,16 128:7,22,24,25 129:9,13,23 131:24,24 water's (1) 46:1 waterline 121 43:3 114:15 way 1121 17:20 31:15,20 32:9,9 39:16 45:18 46:13 55:3 68:4 95:20 128:13 weapons (1) 73:18 web (4) 98:9 121:24 123:8,19 weekend (1) 24:6 weekends (1) 80:1 weeks 121 33:3 97:21 wells to 92:9,10 93:16,24 94:8 121:22 west (i) 1:18 western in 127:15 wet ni 75:24 wetlands (1) 67:10 whatever (5) 30:2.25 44:10 55: 11 111:6 where's in 42:24 whereupon m 135:24 whether m 27:7,8 37:2,11 38: 17 45:5 51:12 109:16 114:12 118:9 whoever m 80:6 whole (12) 25:8 34:24 40:4 45: 25 68:7 84:23 85:3 87:8 96:17 107:2 116:3 118:15 wide 121 15:2 31:6 wife (1) 58:8 will (36) 5:4,19 19:6,16 22:19 31:19,22 37:19 50:23 52:5 57: 10 60:23,24 69:2 72:14 76:18, 23 78:14 79:9 80:11 81:2,2,5 87:2 90:4 92:3 94:22 95:17 98: 3.12 108:20 111:2 112:2 127:7 133:14 135:22 willy-nilly to 30:13 window in 29:14 winter m 46:11 wintertime (1) 46:11 wise m 20:11 wissahickon (1) 94:3 within (6) 23:25 24:2 29:23 41: 20 112:2 126:13 without (s) 15:3 25:12 41:10 wonder (6) 33:20 47:16 48:13 54:16 82:7 117:8 wondered (2) 36:12 81:12 wondering (2) 81:24 82:6 wood (1) 6:21 7:3,14 8:8 10:4 11:2 13:18 16:18 35:14 49:22 115:17 woods 131 54:16 55:1,3 woof (เว 29:9 word isi 75:13 130:13.20 words 121 59:7 102:4 work m 9:11 14:9.11 18:19 23: 3 41:19 58:18 62:8 83:8 86:15 125:9 worked isi 32:5 72:16 118:25 workers is 14:9.10 27:8 working isi 24:25 34:22 35:4 89:4 125:16 works (2) 23:5 105:19 worobey (4) 83:24,25 84:6,13 worry (1) 48:10 worse (1) 28:8 worthwhile (1) 23:2 write (i) 19:14

129:10,13
yesterday i2i 24:20 25:1
young iii 82:1
yourself iii 5:23
yourselves iii 133:11

Z
z-u-e-h-l iii 96:8
zero iii 116:25
zuehl isi 96:7,7,15 97:11,17 98:

11 56:24 57:10,12 86:9 95:20

20 property (19131:8,17 48:5 62:2 | rate (1) 81:21 64:19,21 66:19,23 73:23 85:19 | rather (2) 16:6 2 87:7 95:17 98:15,18 102:2 108: | ravine (1) 71:18 6 133:23 134:5 propose (2) 17:1 96:14 proposed (39) 1:6,12 4:10 6:5, 10 15:8 16:5 17:7,23 19:11,19, 20 30:22 32:12 34:1,7 35:20 56:24 57:7,16 58:4 65:11 94: 19,21 98:6,18 102:25 103:1 108:19 111:25 112:1,3 115:15 118:5 121:6,14,24 122:2 136:9 proposing isi 34:8 50:23 106: 24 107:17,23 112:13 117:11 119:20 prospective (1) 13:3 protection (7) 1:5,12,15 2:5 104:21 106:12 136:8 protective (3) 16:14 18:16 30:8 protocols (2) 133:1 134:14 proved (1) 55:11 proves (1) 22:23 provided (1) 41:2 prussia (4) 1:19 20:6 32:25 63: provisions (1) 21:23 public nsi 1:4,11,17 19:13,14, 15 20:4 69:19 74:3 82:19,25 122:14,23 136:6,7,20 pump i2i 21:25 22:25 pumping in 23:19 punnan (s) 81:8,9,12,19 82:6 purple (4) 8:9 12:25,25 13:8 purpose (2) 9:15 58:15 pursue (1) 62:21 pushing (2) 44:12,12 put (26) 6:7 8:19 13:2,5 17:1 22: 25 23:22 24:16 37:15 55:19 59: 16 70:3 76:21 80:7,21 88:3,4 90:15,22 98:6 101:17 116:4 123:25 132:20 133:15 134:8 putting (1) 88:24

quarries (46) 7:4,8,10,15 8:4,4 18,19 9:9,10 12:22 15:3 17:2,2 19:1 22:17 25:5 26:3 28:7,7,8 32:15 33:9,12,20 36:10 49:15, 16,23 50:11,19 51:3 53:1,3 56: 18 57:1 62:5 76:9,13 84:21,25 85:13 118:18,20,25 119:9 quarry issi 7:11,12,12,12,18,19, 20,21,21,22,8:1,6,6,7,7 9:23,24 10:5,6,13,17,21,21 11:1,4,9,10, 12,14,18 12:22,23 14:17,18 16: 23 17:2,3 18:24 20:9 22:15 26: 2,11 28:11 34:2 41:10 49:11 50:22 51:24 52:14,15 56:7,12 14 71:17 72:9 84:1,6,7,8,8,14, 18 85:14,22 97:6 101:4,4,6 105:4 107:4,7,24 126:5,14,15 127:12,13,18,20,22 128:12,16 131:14 132:6.10 question (26) 26:14 33:24,25 35:6 48:1,21 50:15 54:17 71:25 72:25 73:8 85:17 89:12 91:17 115:1,2,23 121:4 129:17,19,25 131:12 132:4,14 134:17 135:15 questions is 5:5,17,19 19:23, 24 20:13 57:23 126:21 quick (3) 6:20 89:17 134:17 quite (1) 57:20 quoted in 127:22

92:24 raining (1) 48:15 rains (4) 42:19 88:6 93:8 120:11 ran (i3) 7:3 8:8 10:3 11:12 13:17 16:17 49:21 52:2 93:25 97:6

99:10 103:24 124:10 rather (2) 16:6 24:13 re in 1:3 reaches (i) 12:12 reaching (2) 13:9 17:18 read (a) 15:11 16:5 33:14 59:25 60:15,18,23 98:10 ready (1) 6:4 real (2) 89:16 122:6 realize (3) 86:8 87:3,17 realized (2) 69:1 118:12 really 1201 30:2 36:7,11 37:12,2 39:1,18,22 48:22 50:15 55:3 58:12,23 77:5 82:15 83:18,20 94:10 96:21 116:12 reason (13) 4:6 26:24 30:2 32: 20 49:12,18 79:14 83:3 106:22 107:16 113:15 116:4 118:16 recall (1) 25:2 receded (1) 99:15 receive (1) 7:24 received :71 4:13 7:25 10:2,5,1 11:2 63:20 recent (1) 72:18 recently in 50:13 receptors (i) 14:12 recognize ni 89:16 recommend (9) 29:20,24 102: 18 103:9,12,16 131:1 132:2 133:7 recommendation (5) 60:3 102: 25 103:3 130:16 131:13 recommendations in 130:11 recommended (2) 132:6,7 recommending a: 130:4 reconsidered in 23:6 record (18) 6:2,3 21:2 26:9 27:2 28:17 40:6 53:12 57:8 67:6 72: 2 74:19 95:23 98:7 108:21 123: 18 136:7,15 records (1) 54:13 recovered iii 24:8 recycle (1) 131:18 recycling (1) 132:22 red (4) 8:5 12:19 13:12 84:22 refer (2) 20:2 115:3 reference (1) 130:24 referred (2) 107:4,25 referring (31 35:18 60:6 91:19 refrain (ii 5:16 regarding (2) 20:14 63:3 regards (i) 33:5 region in 4:5 registered in 1:24 registry (2) 83:8 114:22 reiterate (5) 51:14 57:6 87:12 107:16 114:20 relate (1) 60:22 related no 12:6 31:11 35:12.13 37:3 39:18 61:25 83:5,5 100:1 101:12 relation (1) 38:19 relatively (1) 22:20 release (i) 31:2 released (4) 6:10 19:11 103:1,2 releases (3) 30:9,9,14 relevant (1) 36:17 remain (i) 16:19 remaining (1) 17:2 remains (i) 10:22 remarks (2) 4:1 135:19 remedial (23) 1:6,13 4:17 5:1 9 4,12,13,22 10:9 13:24 14:20 49:8 50:7 51:10 53:10 57:11 rain isi 42:11,20,20 44:11 45:13 69:4 84:16 115:24 117:13 122: 4.17 136:9 remediating to 53:25

103:7 remember (1) 7:7 remind (1) 58:3 removal (3) 18:23 21:7,16 remove (3) 16:22 21:15 26:2 107:3,23 111:2 131:14 134:25 removed (17) 13:20 16:22 26:7, rolling (2) 67:14 92:23 13 66:18,20 86:3 89:6 115:12 route (2) 13:22 115:16 118:8,10 131:3 132:3,6,7 133:8 rules (2) 30:6 97:20 134:2 removing (3) 19:8 20:10 22:14 renaissance no 8:11 12:13,18 13:9 42:5 43:14 65:19,23 80:16 92:16 replied (4) 63:15,18,25 64:2 reply ta: 62:19 63:2,3,5,20,21, 23 64:4 report 1221 9:13,19 33:14 35:2 36:7,12 40:5 53:11 60:5,14,18, 24 71:15,21 83:9,11 115:2 122: 11 123:4,7,10,15 reported (1) 125:21 reporter (54) 1:16 33:21 36:14 22 37:5 45:19 46:3 53:22 56:22 97:7 104:14 58:21 59:12 63:11 64:10 65:4 67:24 71:13 75:22 76:16 77:22 79:5,23 81:10 82:3,10 84:4,11 85:10 86:20 87:5,22 88:8 89: 19,25 90:5,13,19 91:10 93:3,9 96:11,23 97:15 98:1 99:7 101: 23 114:5 115:4 117:23 120:13 125:1 130:18 133:21 134:22 136:5 reporters in 1:24 reports (4) 60:1,19 110:6 118: repository 121 134:20 135:1 represent (1) 13:7 representative in 106:15 representatives (1) 33:1 represented (1) 18:8 represents (2) 13:1.13 request (2) 73:9 122:3 requesting m 119:1 reservoir (s) B:15 82:20 112:20 113:3,7,12 114:1,15 resident (4) 24:23 32:25 47:15 75:4 residential m 82:23 residents (8) 4:12 14:7 24:12 27:8 33:8 50:14 75:2 103:19 residue (4) 24:10 50:25 51:6 135:10 residues (3) 50:2,13 51:9 resoundingly (1) 116:22 resource (2) 51:7 52:13 resources (9) 1:7,14 4:8 6:11 66:6 101:12 122:18,20 136:10 respect (i) 15:3 respond (2) 68:3 69:23 responded 121 69:25 70:15 response iii 43:24 responsibility (6) 40:14 41:24 49:10,19 80:12 89:5 responsible (14) 8:24 14:21 40: 18 41:6,22 52:7 56:17 72:4 75: 6 102:11 117:15 118:3,3 135: rest (2) 25:8 89:7 restrict (2) 51:19 70:25 results (5) 34:20 38:21 68:11 72:1 78:13 review (1) 78:14 rift (1) 35:20 rise m 45:17 [risk nsi 14:2,3,19 20:24 28:1 40:4 41:14 51:11,12 75:20 87: sediment (4) 9:8 15:6 18:4 20: 15,17 119:15,25,25 remediation (3) 39:2 50:22 135: risks (6) 14:16 28:3 40:4,9 83: sediments (4) 11:7 15:17 16:23

road nsi 1:19 20:6 29:8 44:7 58:18 65:18,19 88:2 92:16 99: 18 100:6 109:9 124:1 roads (3) 97:2,3,5 rock 121 93:20 128:9 rocks 121 93:22 94:3 rocky m 20:18 run (4) 30:7 90:3 104:1 123:24 rundeli 1571 2:9 5:1 22:10,11 23 8,12,16,20,22 44:15,20,23 45: 10,16,21 46:5 54:4 55:17 58: 11,23 59:1,9,14 61:7,9,11,23 77:7,14,16,24 78:5,16,24 84:20 85:12 88:23 89:10,18,21 91:13, 16,23 92:3,7 93:2,6,15,19 103: 13 113:24 114:8 119:11 120:8. 11,15,24 running (2) 33:1 88:11 runoff (s) 45:4,13 91:9 113:2 129:2 runs (6) 12:19 46:2 64:22 94:5 ruptured (2) 54:14 115:13 rust (1) 94:5

84 (1) 94:23 safe (3) 81:20 108:9,9 saloy (3) 86:14,22 87:7 salt (3) 43:21 46:13,16 same (7) 24:25 35:11 42:14 88: 11 94:7 95:14 136:12 sample (10) 44:1 54:25 56:13, 14,15 77:8,11,16 78:3,6 sampled (3) 47:1 77:24 111: samples 1271 24:8,18 25:8 28:11 32:3 34:20,21 36:20 49:6 52: 16,23 53:2,14 54:22 56:4,20 58:7 69:5 71:4,20 76:4,19,20 78:1,8,9 119:23 sampling (5) 9:7 25:6 47:17 49: 8,17 sand (2) 7:11 95:2 sat (ii 109:20 satisfies (1) 24:5 saturday (1) 64:25 saw (6) 6:8 23:14 94:20 99:19 118:22 128:12 saying (18) 27:2 29:1 31:12 34: 15 36:19 43:20 44:9 72:10 80:7 99:10 101:1 104:20 106:25 112:8 116:18,21 119:4 120:25 says no: 46:9,10 80:24 94:21 95:8 104:10 105:4 109:5 133: 19 134:1 scale 121 7:7 56:6 |scare (1) 48:1° scatter m 31:5 scattered m 55:23 scene 121 62:3 104:13 scheduled in 5:10 school (1) 62:10 schuyikili (6) 6:14,17,23 12:1 17:19 47:9 scooters to 80:3 second (9) 6:6 7:5 12:23 33:24 35:25 48:1,21 76:19 129:25 secondly (1) 135:7 seconds (1) 43:23 secret m 24:16 section (5) 2:10 4:24 5:1 90:10 91:5 sections (1) 118:11

remedies (1) 4:21

remedy fio: 18:14,16 23:12 55: |15

10 87:12

river (5) 6:14,23 12:1 17:19 91:

18:24

security (1) 86:24

See (34) 5:25 8:5 12:16 16:18

17:24,25 19:3 20:2 24:3 25:16, 18 27:11,19 39:23 41:19 46:23 47:3 54:8 58:2,6 62:1 65:6,15 80:12 84:25,25 92:7 93:6,8 113:17 119:18,21 120:17 129: seem (4) 68:10 116:24 117:7 120:21 seems (3) 30:8 81:20 116:22 seen (4) 27:11 98:16 120:4,6 seepage (1) 43:7 seismic (n 94:1 seiling (1) 62:12 semi-chain-link (1) 80:17 semi-volatile 🖽 12:3 send tel 19:17 44:2 64:3,12 69: 24 77:1 sense (2) 55:25 95:24 sent 191 4:14 6:24 7:2 62:25 63: 1,13,17 78:8 98:8 separate (3) 67:21 79:9 114:10 september 121 9:3 99:5 series (3) 84:24 95:1,3 session (3) 69:20 82:25 83:15 sessions (3) 74:4,6 122:14 set (3) 6:7 20:21 95:22 several to 20:17 99:13 130:22 sewer (1) 45:6 shards (3) 26:18 29:4,5 she'll (1) 4:18 she's 121 28:1 35:18 sheet (1) 4:14 shifts (3) 94:3,7,8 short (1) 22:20 shot (1) 55:23 shouldn't (s) 51:18 59:9 66:24 83:18 86:11 109:23 112:24 116:10,14 show isi 7:4 90:23 92:3 107:17 119:16 showed (4) 67:18 82:18 121:8 128:10 showing (1) 90:11 shown iii 39:11 shows (1) 57:3 shrubbery m 47:22 shut m 58:24 sic (4) 51:6,7 52:13 75:2 sick m 58:8 side (7) 103:13,14 124:5,6,8,9, sign in 20:20 signed in 9:2 significantly in 21:22 signs (1) 80:7 similar (3) 25:23 77:20 93:23 simple (3) 21:25 73:8 131:11 simultaneous (1) 21:19 since (m 8:23 24:15 25:14,20 44:8 45:9 60:20 62:3 68:25 72: 5 117:25 single (2) 28:21 39:20 sir in 61:6 site (17): 1:7,14 4:8,11,17,21 5:5 6:11,11,21 7:1,2,9,22 8:13,17, 20 9:18 10:4 11:20 12:7 13:16, 20 9:16 10:4 11:20 12:7 13:76, 18 14:1,6,9,10,12,15,24 15:2 16:13,18 17:4,8,15 19:4,4,9,25 20:1 24:9,19 25:6,8,25 26:4,6 27:5 28:5 29:19,23 30:9 31:23 33:6 35:12 37:4,13 38:3,17,23 39:3,14,17,23 40:6,10,17,21,24 41:7 42:5 44:16 47:4,7,22,25 48:24 49:12,17 21 50:16 51:2 48:24 49:12,17,21 50:16 51:2, 7,9,13,16,18 52:13,22 53:6,16 54:2,3,22 57:10 58:4,13 60:2, 15,17 61:1,2,5,17 62:15 63:7 64:23 65:11,11,15,17 66:2,3,5 68:18,22 69:5 70:23 71:11,25 72:15 75:19,21 77:6,25 81:4 83:4,10,19 85:20 86:15,17 87: split to 56:10 13.15 97:8 98:9 99:1 100:1,12, spoke (1) 82:25 sponsored in 117:14 19 101:10 103:7 106:25 107:

18,22 108:4 109:20 113:14,16, spot (1) 31:5 19 114:13 115:11,17 117:13 118:2,17,23 121:7,24 122:19, 20 123:8,19 125:16 126:1 131: 3,15 133:7 134:12 136:10 spread (2) 31:6 82:17 stabilization (1) 19:5 site-related (3) 11:13 99:25 site-wide (3) 14:25 15:13 18:2 sites (7) 8:22 15:25 53:8 86:18 114:3 135:9,13 sitting (a) 33:13 48:17 64:25 69: 15 75:19 99:16 105:11 134:12 situation to 27:12 32:2 69:12 79:18 116:2,5 six 121 42:7 66:14 size (2) 29:5 48:7 slide m 121:8 small (2) 24:13 29:6 smell (17) 48:12 87:25 88:10,12 13 89:21 99:16,17 100:14,22, 23 104:2 120:11 124:3,11,18, 21 smeil's 🖽 89:15 smelled (2) 120:5,9 smells (3) 120:5,9,12 snow (2) 46:12,12 snows m 43:19 soil (38) 10:8 15:5 17:8 21:1 28: 12 29:4 33:18 36:11 37:8 38:9, 13 43:25 52:16,23 53:1,14 56:4 65:12,22 66:9,11,14,21 82:7,13 89:2 92:21 119:23,24 126:48, 11,13,16 128:20 129:20 133:2 135:4 soils (2)) 9:8 10:11,12,20 11:9, 16 14:17 15:17 16:20,22,24 18: 3,24 28:12,24 35:19 38:11 95:6 128:19 131:19 134:4 solutions in 4:21 somebody is: 29:17 75:10 somebody's ii: 110:13 somehow iii 88:12 someone (e) 50:17 66:17 72:13 75:16,21 83:14 104:20 112:15 sometime (1) 7:20 somewhere (1) 44:14 **sooner** (1) 24:1 sorry (2) 64:1 131:11 sort (4) 46:17 55:23 80:16 85:8 sounds (1) 45:3 source (2) 22:15,16 sources in 22:19 south 121 64:6 94:4 space (1) 52:14 speaker (31) 28:25 29:16 33:21 36:14,22 37:5 56:22 79:23 82:3 84:11 85:10 86:20 88:8 89:25 96:11 97:15 99:2,7 115:4 133: 18,21,25 134:7,10,16,19,22,24 135:5,7,18 speaker/s 121 81:10 90:13 speakers (32) 45:19 46:3 53:22 58:21 59:12 63:11 64:10 65:4 67:24 71:13 75:22 76:16 77:22 79:5 82:10 84:4 87:5,22 89:19 90:5,19 91:10 93:3,9 96:23 98: 1 101:23 114:5 117:23 120:13 125:1 130:18 speaking (2) 5:21 62:24 special in 76:22 specific 121 37:21 125:19 specifically (3) 26:25 53:8 97:6 specifics (a) 40:9 85:17 96:20 specify m 132:12 speculating m 45:11 speech m 21:19 spelled to 83:25 spend (1) 73:20 spilled in 35:16 spills (1) 90:2

stabilizing m 19:3 stained m 43:6 stalling (1) 79:14 stand in 33:18 standards (3) 85:25 95:15,22 standing (1) 12:2 start (s) 6:20 15:12 23:19 29:11 60:1 83:12 92:23 118:24 started im 8:12,16 42:9 52:3 103:23 113:15,16 118:11,21,24 122:22 starts (13 16:4 state (2) 75:17,18 statement (3) 27:10 32:18 33:4 states (1) 135:1 station (2) 113:8 129:8 statistical m 37:14 stay (4) 41:18 59:2 107:20 129: stayed (2) 49:11 71:11 steel noi 6:21 7:3,14 8:8 10:4 11:2 13:18 35:14 49:22 115:17 stelor nsi 87:20,25 88:10,16,19 89:9,15,24 90:2 123:21 124:4, 14,18,23 125:3 stenographer (2) 5:20,24 stenographer 121 5.20,24 stenographically in 136:7 still in 13:22 18:16 32:17 39:13 ten 141 22:21 25 23:5 42:7 72: 88:5,13 97:3 118:13 119:9 127: 17 104:4,5,17 105:11 108:3 109:15,21 112:12 118:1 stirred in 126:2 terms 121 85:16 94:14 stock m 66:21 stop (n 5:11 stopped in 7:1 stored in 68:25 stories (1) 72:14 storms (1) 99:6 street (2) 42:14 46:1 stressed in 61:19 strong (1) 20:8 studied m 82:8 studies (a) 50:1 73:10 81:25 study (131 9:5,15,16,23 14:22 15:17,18,20 16:11 20:25 21:2 84:17 97:13 stuff (11) 29:25 44:2 54:6,17 55: 21 58:8 77:17,20 104:11 117:1 stuff's m 71:9 stumble in 54:16 stupid m 80:5 submit 121 40:22 68:2 submitted 121 31:11 34:15 submitting in 41:25 subpoensed in 72:14 subsequently in 24:17 substances (4) 14:14 59:15 83: 114:21 subsurface (6) 10:11,20 11:9, 16 16:24 92:20 sudden i2i 104:16 105:7 suits (1) 99:19 summarizing m 40:3 sun (1) 42:21 super (1) 48:22 superfund (17 1:7,14 4:8 8:22 15:25 27:4 29:19 41:6 51:15 60:17 85:19 86:17,18 101:13 108:4 114:2 136:10 superimpose in 85:5 supervisors (3) 33:3 102:23 109:14 supplied in 8:15 support in 20:8 supposed (4) 54:12 65:15 71:3 surely in 60:21 surface (20) 10:11,20 11:8,15 16:24 28:12 44:17,25 45:4,12 tonight (11) 4:3,7,16,23 5:9,20 6: 3 80:11 87:3 115:9 122:6

18,21 98:17 101:5 131:24 surprised (3) 21:21 25:3 27:13 surprising to 25:25 surround in 133:11 surrounding (2) 83:13 122:10 survey (2) 93:12 94:1 svocs (ii 12:3 swamp tii 129:9 swedeland (4) 91:4,5 99:5 104:

syphon (i) 44:1 system (2) 21:25 23:1

table noi 17:21 35:19,20 36:1 40:3 44:16,24 45:2,14,17 talked nzi 17:6 31:8 54:19 68:8, 10 71:19 75:25 79:25 97:11 102:8,20,22 tar (26) 20:15,17 21:7 24:7,10, 13,18 25:9 28:4 29:4,5 50:1,12 51:6 52:12,24 53:5,9 54:8 55:5 67:14 72:8,10 91:14 92:22,23 135:10,13 tars (3) 7:16 51:20 135:8 taxpayer (2) 105:12 110:16 taxpayer's in 109:23 team (1) 43:24 technical (1) 63:4 test tn 66:14 71:4 75:16 76:2 78:7,15 117:9 tested (6) 71:23,25 75:5 76:22 113.6,10 testing (4) 38:6 77:1,3 83:2 tests (2) 37:14 71:9 that'll m 5:23 themselves (2) 58:15 59:5 there's [49] 5:1 26:14 30:1,2-34:9 37:7 39:7,19 42:9 46:11 54:1,1,6,7,8 58:14 60:19 62:5 69:1 74:7,10 77:2 80:2 85:3 87: 8 91:16,16,23 94:2,5,7,10 97:5, 7 99:24,25 105:22 106:6,7 114: 7 120:17 123:22,23 125:23 126:9 127:24 128:1 132:25 thereafter (1) 136:12 they've isi 31:17 34:23 45:9 120:20 123:3 thick in 55:2 thinking (a) 27:9,10 30:17 third (2) 12:24 19:19 thorough (2) 52:6 55:4 thorough (3) 50:15 though (3) 34:25 102:19 117:18 thousand m 76:2 threat m 27:6 three (10) 8:23 11:3 14:25 18:1 25:7 26:2 42:13 53:3 74:3 99: 19 three-quarters in 62:14 throughout in 53:16 throw (n 18:11 thrown 🕫 133:3 thursday (71 106:6,15 108:7,10, 11 109:12,13 tip (1) 92:14 today 1121 19:22 24:22 34:21 35: 5 68:9,25 70:5 73:7 74:24 75: 12 87:16 135:12 toe (1) 99:20 together (n 18:18 tomchick (21) 42:4,4,19 43 17 44:18,21 45:8,15,23 46 47:2,10 79:22,25 80:14,20,2 81:6

١.

52:22 53:1,14 56:4 91:24 92: